1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA BEFORE THE HONORABLE HOWARD D. McKIBBEN,			
3	SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE			
4	000			
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,:	No. 3:16-cr-00016-HDM-WGC		
6	: Plaintiff, :	November 15, 2016		
7		United States District Court		
8	STEVEN EUGENE FORD, :	400 S. Virginia Street Reno, Nevada 89501		
9	Defendant.	TRIAL DAY 1		
10	·			
11				
12	TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS			
13				
14	APPEARANCES:			
15	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	BRIAN L. SULLIVAN		
16		Assistant U.S. Attorney 100 W. Liberty Street		
17		Reno, Nevada 89501		
18	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	DENNIS CAMERON Attorney at Law		
19		204 Marsh Avenue		
20		Reno, Nevada 89509		
21				
22	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography produced			
23	by computer-aided transcri	ption .		
24	Reported by:	LORI URMSTON, RPR, RMR, FAPR NEVADA LICENSE NO. 51		
25		CALIFORNIA LICENSE NO. 3217		

			2
1	INDEX		
2			
3	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES		PAGE
4	LILIA CLAUDE Direct Examination by Mr. Sullivan		28
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Cameron		35
6	GEORGE CHERETIS Direct Examination by Mr. Sullivan		53
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Cameron Redirect Examination by Mr. Sullivan	ı	64 89
8	Recross-Examination by Mr. Cameron		91
9	RYAN ASHTON Direct Examination by Mr. Sullivan		94
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Cameron		102
11	DEFENSE WITNESSES		
12	STEVEN EUGENE FORD Direct Examination by Mr. Cameron		122
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Sullivan Redirect Examination by Mr. Cameron		149 157
14			
15	EXHIBITS	MARKED	ADMITTED
16	1 - Evidence envelope with LG cell phone		64
17	2 - Threat form	33	52
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
1
      RENO, NEVADA; TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 2016; 8:56 A.M.
                            --000--
2
                    This is the date and time set for the
3
        THE CLERK:
   jury trial, Day 1, in Case No. 3:16-cr-16-HDM-WGC,
4
   United States of America versus Steven Eugene Ford.
5
   Defendant is present in custody with counsel, Dennis
6
7
   Cameron. Appearing on behalf of the government is
   Brian Sullivan.
        THE COURT: Good morning, counsel.
9
10
       MR. SULLIVAN: Good morning.
        MR. CAMERON: Good morning, Your Honor.
11
        THE COURT: This is the time set for the jury
12
13
            I've convened outside the presence of the jury.
   We'll bring the jurors up in just a moment.
14
15
        A couple of things. We have -- in the indictment I
   noticed that the indictment identifies the defendant as
16
17
   Steven Eugene Ford.
        Is that your true name?
18
19
        THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
        THE COURT: I'm not sure what all these "also known
20
   as" is all about.
21
2.2
        MR. SULLIVAN: One was an alias that the case agent
   found for him. The other, Elezar Melchizedek, is a
23
   name that was used -- as you will hear from the
24
25
   evidence, Your Honor, he identified himself to the
```

```
1
   White House operator as such. He also identified -- or
   used that name at one point in time when the agents
2
3
   were talking to him.
        THE COURT: So that has relevance.
4
       MR. SULLIVAN:
                       That one does.
5
        THE COURT: What about the other one?
6
7
       MR. SULLIVAN:
                       No.
        THE COURT: Okay. I don't see any reason not to
8
9
   just dismiss the other aka then.
10
       MR. SULLIVAN:
                       That's fine with me, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: Is that all right with the defense?
11
12
       MR. CAMERON: No, that's fine, Your Honor.
13
        THE COURT: All right. As long as it has
   relevance, then I can see why it would be there.
14
15
       How do you pronounce the -- Lilia Claude, George --
    is it Cheretis?
16
17
       MR. SULLIVAN: Cheretis.
                                  That's George right here.
        THE COURT: Mr. Cheretis. And Ryan Ashton; right?
18
       MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.
19
        THE COURT: Let's see. I think that's all I had.
20
21
       Have you received the list of prospective jurors in
2.2
    this case?
       MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.
23
        THE COURT: All right. Is there any objection to
24
25
   the random selection that was made by the Jury
```

```
Commissioner as to the first 32? They'll be seated
   when they come in here. They've been randomly drawn
2
3
   from the box. Any objection by the government?
       MR. SULLIVAN: So what you're saying is she's not
4
   qoing to do it right at --
5
                    I won't say Juror No. 1. They'll be
6
        THE COURT:
7
   placed right in the box, 1 through 32.
       MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. So she's already picked out
8
9
    the names is what you're saying?
10
        THE COURT:
                   They've been randomly pulled. We can
   randomly pull them too. But is there any objection
11
    from the defense?
12
13
       MR. CAMERON: No, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: All right. So the last four will be
14
15
   alternate jurors. Each side will have one challenge as
    to the alternate jurors. So stipulated?
16
17
       MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.
        THE COURT: All right.
18
19
       MR. CAMERON: Agree, Your Honor.
20
        THE COURT: All right. As to the others, the
21
   government will have six peremptory challenges and the
2.2
   defense will have ten. Is that stipulated?
        MR. CAMERON: It is, Your Honor. I'm just -- for
23
   my own edification, the list we got, page 1 through 6,
24
25
   those are the jurors that are going to come up and be
```

```
1
   seated in that order?
        THE CLERK:
                   No, Judge. They have the alphabetical
2
3
    list. We have the drawn list. They never receive the
   drawn.
4
                   Okay. I don't see any reason that they
        THE COURT:
5
   couldn't have a copy of the drawn list.
6
7
        THE CLERK: Do you want to start doing that?
                                                      We
   haven't ever done that.
8
9
        THE COURT: Would that make it easier for you?
10
       MR. CAMERON: It would, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: I think it probably would. So why
11
   don't I just make a copy for each of them at this
12
13
   point, because there's no surprises about that.
                   Do you want to start doing that, Judge?
14
        THE CLERK:
15
        THE COURT: Well, give it to them right now. Yeah,
16
   we can do that.
        In connection with Batson challenges, if there is
17
   going to be a Batson challenge, you need to raise it at
18
19
    the time that the other side makes the challenge. All
   right? And if you don't, you waive it.
20
        So stipulated by the government?
21
2.2
       MR. SULLIVAN: So stipulated.
        THE COURT: And by the defense?
23
       MR. CAMERON: Yes, Your Honor.
24
25
        THE COURT:
                    All right. If there's a request for a
```

```
1
   sidebar -- I don't grant sidebars very often.
   we have one, will you stipulate that we may conduct the
2
3
   sidebar without the presence of the defendant?
   then explain to the defendant what was done at the
4
   sidebar. At that point, if there is a request that we
5
   repeat what was done at the sidebar in the presence of
6
7
   the defendant, I will take a recess with the jury and
   we'll go over it again so that the defendant is present
   for it.
9
10
        Is that all right with the government?
11
       MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: With the defense?
12
13
       MR. CAMERON: Yes, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: Again, so it's clear, I want to make
14
15
   sure that Mr. Ford knows what happens at the sidebar.
   And we can either do it by continuous recesses or, if
16
    it's a fairly routine type of matter, we can handle it
17
    that way.
18
        I think that's about all that I have. Does either
19
20
   the government or the defense have any specific
21
   questions that you wish to have me ask the jurors?
2.2
   I'll go over, you know, the questions that I think are
   relevant to the case, but --
23
       MR. SULLIVAN: No, I don't.
24
25
        THE COURT: -- does the government have any
```

The defense, any additional? 1 specific ones? No, Your Honor. MR. CAMERON: 2 THE COURT: All right. I think we're ready as soon 3 as she brings the jurors up. 4 (Pause in the proceedings.) 5 THE COURT: All right. If the jurors will come 6 7 forward, please. You've been selected randomly, and you will be seated in the order in which you have been 8 randomly selected. The first juror will take the seat 9 in the back to my right, and then we'll fill the back 10 row and then the front row. And then we fill the row 11 over here and then the seats going all the way over 12 13 here. I thought -- I think we're going to do it -- the 14 front row and then the second row behind it, so we'll 15 keep those two rows open. 16 All right. You may go ahead and seat the jurors. 17 18 (The jury selection was reported but not transcribed.) 19 All right. We're going to start the 20 THE COURT: trial in just a moment. I'm going to take a brief 21 During all of the recesses you'll go through 22 this door and down the hallway, and there's a jury room 23 in there. And that's where you will be during the 24 25 I'll give you further instructions in just a

1 moment, but at this point I'm going to ask all of you to please stand, raise your hand and be sworn to try 2 this case. 3 THE CLERK: Raise your right hand. 4 (The oath was administered to the jury.) 5 Thank you. You may all be seated. THE COURT: 6 7 During the course of the trial I'll allow you to take notes if you want to. You don't have to. 8 9 don't want you to be so consumed by note taking that 10 you don't listen to the witnesses as they're called and 11 testify. I think you probably understood this as a result of 12 13 what I said earlier when we were selecting all of the jurors, but the important function that you have is to 14 15 listen carefully to the facts of the case. This case will be decided on the facts and law. 16 I will give you a series of legal instructions at 17 the end of the case that describe each of the two 18 19 counts and tell you what elements or things have to be proven beyond a reasonable doubt before you can find 20 21 the defendant quilty. And if the government fails to 2.2 find any one of those beyond a reasonable doubt -- and I'll define what reasonable doubt is for you -- then 23 the defendant is entitled to a not quilty verdict. 24 25 And so you will listen to the facts. The facts

will come in either by testimony of witnesses here or
by a stipulation if evidence is received without a
witness being called and I say that evidence is
received for purposes of this trial in evidence. And
then that can be taken to the jury room with you at the
time that you deliberate.

2.2

Keep an open mind. Listen to all of the evidence before you start forming any opinions about what you believe and what you don't believe. You decide the facts. You'll have no idea during the trial how I view the facts, because I don't decide what facts to believe or what facts not to believe. That's entirely up to you.

At the same time, I give you the instructions on the law. And even if you happen to disagree with the law when you read and understand those instructions, you're bound by it. You recall each of you told me at the outset of the trial that you would be bound by and agree to follow any instructions that I give. And even though you might disagree with an instruction, that is the law as stated by the court and you must follow it in this case.

If you have any questions during the trial, you can write them down on a sheet of paper and provide them to the bailiff and then the bailiff can provide it to me.

And

1 And if it's a legally proper question, I'll give it to counsel and they may or may not ask it. Don't be upset 2 3 if they don't. I don't allow you to verbalize the question, but if you want to write it down, feel free 4 to do that. 5 During all of the recesses you'll be in the jury 6 7 I would expect we'll take about a 10-minute recess now; we'll come in and we'll have opening 8 9 statements. An opening statement is a statement by the 10 government and by the defense, if the defendant chooses to make an opening statement, of what they expect the 11 evidence to show. It's not evidence. What the lawyers 12 13 say during the course of the trial, whether it's opening statements or closing arguments, is intended to 14 15 help you understand the evidence, but those statements are not evidence. The evidence is what you hear from 16 the witness stand and the exhibits received during the 17 course of the trial. 18 So we have the opening statements which is a 19 comment by the lawyers of what they expect the evidence 20 21 to show. Then the witnesses are called and they'll 2.2 testify before you here at the witness stand. After that I will instruct you on the law. And you'll get a 23 copy of the instructions to take to the jury room with 24

And that is the law that you'll be bound by.

25

you.

then the attorneys will make their closing arguments. They'll summarize what they believe the evidence 2 And, of course, if your understanding of the 3 evidence is different than what's stated by the 4 attorneys, your understanding of the evidence will 5 control. 6 And then you'll retire for deliberations to reach a 7 verdict, if you can. A verdict requires all 12 of you 8 9 to agree. So unless all 12 of you agree, you would not 10 return a verdict in this case. And if you're divided and you cannot agree, then I would call it a deadlock 11 jury and you would be discharged and the case would be 12 13 heard by another jury later on. So it does require a unanimous verdict, but each of 14 15 you has to make your own decision at the time that you deliberate in deciding what is right in this case and 16 legally proper and factually proper based upon what you 17 heard during the course of the trial. 18 You can't communicate with each other about this 19 case and talk about the case at all in the jury room 20 21 until you have retired for deliberation. So during the 2.2 recesses we take, you cannot go into the jury room and say, "Well, what do you think of that witness? 23

you think of that evidence?" That would be

25 inappropriate.

24

At lunchtime, if you go someplace for lunch, you can't discuss it with anybody. And in the evening, if you go home and this case isn't concluded today and concludes tomorrow, you simply say, "I'm in federal court. The judge has instructed me I can't talk about the case," and follow that instruction.

If I were to find out that you did, then I might have to declare a mistrial. And as you heard me say earlier, I've never done that in a case, because jurors are always very capable of and willing to follow my instructions. And I deeply appreciate it.

How many -- is there anyone here -- you know, I used to ask the question how many of you have a cell phone. Now I ask the question how many of you do not have a cell phone with you. I don't take your cell phones until you're deliberating, and then they'll be kept in a box outside the jury room, but I also admonish you not to use your cell phones in the jury room. It's probably not appropriate to disrupt others by talking on your cell phone while you're in the jury room.

If you want to step out in the hallway, which is right where the jury room is, and if you have something of an urgent nature to say on the cell phone, then you can use your cell phone to do that. But, again, you

can't talk about the case, you can't text about the case. You can simply say, "I'm in federal court and I'm in a jury trial."

2.2

We'll go until about noon. We'll take about an hour recess or an hour and 15 minutes for a lunch break and then we'll come back and we'll have testimony the rest of the afternoon. If we complete the testimony, I'll probably instruct you this afternoon and give the case to you late this afternoon. If not, I'll do it first thing tomorrow.

You might want to contact either your employer, if it's a problem of any kind, or someone in your family to advise them you are on jury duty and it is possible you could be here this evening. If you're deliberating and you want to stay for part of the evening to begin your deliberations, then I would allow you to do that.

I've never kept a jury here in the evening hours if the jury doesn't want to be here in the evening hours. I think it's inappropriate to force the jury to stay here in the evening hours if the jury wants to go home, but there is that possibility. If you decide -- if you get the case midafternoon or late afternoon and you want to continue your deliberations, then I would allow to you do that, but all of you would have to agree on that.

2.2

In fact, I did that once and I got a note back saying, "We're equally divided on whether we can stay or not." So we elected then to have you come back the next day.

I think that's all I have. Anna will be in the jury room with you for a few minutes to show you where the pads are and notepads, pencils, so you can take notes. If you have a question involving the trial at all, you should write it down and give it to me and I'll try to respond to it, but don't ask anybody on my staff about anything involving the trial, because they can't respond to it.

And so don't be upset if you were to say something and they say, "Well, I'm sorry. The judge tells me that I can't respond to it." They're not trying to ignore you. It's just that we can't and nor can any members of my staff ever talk with you about the case.

This is a relatively small building, so it's possible you would be in an elevator at noontime and the attorneys would be there. Please don't talk with them. They won't talk with you. And it's not because they're being disrespectful. That's just the decorum and the rules of the court, that there can't be any discussion between the attorneys or with potential witnesses and jurors. And so don't hold it against

2.2

them if they happen to be in an elevator and you're in there and they don't say anything to you, because you can't say anything to them.

I think that's all I have to cover right now.

There may be objections during the course of the trial. Because I decide legal issues, I'll decide whether to affirm, that is, I sustain the objection, that I agree with the objection, and I won't permit the witness to testify in that area, or I overrule the objection. That means I disagree with the objection and I will allow the witness to go ahead and testify. But those are legal matters and you don't have to be concerned about them.

I think that's all we have. I'm going to take about a 15-minute recess so that you can get organized and call if you need to call and tell everyone you're going to be on jury duty here today, possibly tomorrow, and then we'll reconvene. And when we reconvene, we'll have the opening statements and we'll move right into the evidence. And then we'll take a break around noontime or a little after noon for probably about an hour, hour and 15 minutes, and then we'll resume this afternoon about 1:15 or so and continue the case until we complete it.

Thank you very much. I'm pleased that you're going

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to be on this case. I think the attorneys have selected very wisely. And I'll see you back in here about 15 minutes. You may go to the jury room. (Outside the presence of the jury.) THE COURT: All right. We'll be in recess for 15 minutes. I did provide -- I did provide you last night or sometime, I think, yesterday afternoon a copy of the tentative jury instructions. I don't know if you've had a chance to go over them. I would be happy at noontime to spend a few minutes talking with you about If you could highlight for me right now if either side has any major problems with any or if you want to offer some that I haven't proposed here. And I don't know -- you know, I'll have to hear the evidence to know exactly what I'm going to give. But tentatively does the government have any objection? MR. SULLIVAN: The only correction I was going to ask you to make was on the interstate call, Count Two. In the preface you do talk about an interstate call. Ι know you took it from the Ninth Circuit. There's no mention of the fact that it's an interstate call. THE COURT: You know, I already have that. Count Two I have -- and I was going to give you a revised copy.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. THE COURT: I have first the defendant knowingly sent a verbal threat in interstate commerce over the telephone to injure a White House telephone operator. MR. SULLIVAN: That makes --THE COURT: So I had made that change. MR. SULLIVAN: And the other only thing, if you decide to give an instruction on diminished capacity --I know you put one in there anticipating that there may be a possible one. But we talked about it at the last hearing. I do have some additional language to add to that I would propose to the Court. THE COURT: Why don't you go ahead and provide that to us and we'll take a look at it. MR. SULLIVAN: It's from the case that I cited in the motion that I filed, Your Honor. It's -- to make it real quick and easy, it would be document No. 33. proposed -- if you decide to give an instruction and if you decide that it's warranted, I would propose adding to make things a little more fair and to give the jury a little bit more idea -- the language that I cite on lines 14 through 17 beginning with "The diminished capacity defense is not cognizable simply because a criminal, blah, blah, ending with the quotation marks, "defines the crime."

```
1
        THE COURT: Yeah, I don't know that I would use the
   word "cognizable," but I'll take a look at that
2
3
    language and see what we have here.
        MR. SULLIVAN:
                       Thanks.
4
        THE COURT: Otherwise you don't have any problems?
5
        MR. SULLIVAN:
                       No.
6
7
        THE COURT: What about the defense?
        MR. CAMERON: Your Honor, I'll look again at the
8
9
   diminished capacity instruction the Court gave, and we
   may have some arguments as to that.
10
        THE COURT: Okay. We'll take a look at that one.
11
   But otherwise you don't have any problems with the
12
13
   other ones?
                      I do not, Your Honor.
14
        MR. CAMERON:
15
        THE COURT: Okay. Fine. And we'll settle them on
    the record later, but I just wanted -- if I needed to
16
   be working on something right now, I could do it.
17
        So we'll take 15 minutes. Let's reconvene at a
18
   quarter of 11:00. Thank you.
19
                     (A recess was taken.)
20
21
                (In the presence of the jury.)
        THE COURT: You may all be seated.
2.2
        Why don't you just go ahead and put those monitors
23
   down for now. They're kind of in the way. And we're
24
25
   not going to be using them, at least not right now, so
```

1 just kind of slowly put them in there. Thank you very much. 2 3 All right. The jurors are all present. I want to express my appreciation to one of you. 4 know one of you had a conflict a little bit this 5 afternoon in terms -- I think you had to pick a spouse 6 7 up at a certain time. And I know you were able to make other arrangements, and I really appreciate it, because I didn't want to adjourn that early if we didn't need 9 10 to. I did talk to the jury commissioner about whether 11 we could make arrangements for taxi service or 12 13 something like that. I hadn't gotten a final answer on I'm not sure which one of you it was, but thank 14 15 you very much. It was very nice of you to make other 16 arrangements. I appreciate it very much. All right. We've reached the stage where the 17 attorneys may make their opening statement. 18 19 government has the burden of proof, so the government goes first on the opening statement. The defense 20 21 doesn't have to prove anything in this case. 2.2 defense doesn't have to make an opening statement or they could reserve on it until after the government 23 presents its case. 24 25 So, Mr. Sullivan, you may make your opening

1 statement on behalf of the government. MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 3 THE COURT: And keep in mind, again, the opening statement is intended to help you understand what the 4 evidence is going to be, but it's not evidence. 5 Evidence is what you hear from the witness stand and 6 7 the exhibits that are received during the course of trial. 9 You may go ahead, Mr. Sullivan. 10 MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you. 11 When Judge McKibben was talking to you just a 12 little bit ago about the old days, it got me thinking 13 about, you know, the old days and when I was younger and when I was in high school and didn't care much for 14 15 certain things like history, but as you get older you learn to like those things more. 16 Those of you that have studied U.S. history at 17 all -- I know I didn't realize this when I was in high 18 19 school but only later as I started reading about American history and some of the presidents that I'm 20 21 sure you may have been as startled and as shocked as I 2.2 was to find out that from the beginning of our nation when the White House was first opened until sometime 23 into the 20th century, you could just go up and knock 24

on the door and you might get a chance to be seen and

25

be heard by the President of the United States.

2.2

I think we all understand that we can't have that anymore. We need security based on 9/11. You know, a lot of different things that are happening in the world today, that just can't be. But the evidence in this case will show you that the White House still endeavors to be as open as possible to the citizenry of the United States to allow people to approach the President, maybe not in person, you're maybe not going to get a direct response, you may not get any response, but as you will hear from the evidence in this case, you can still call the White House. There's a main line.

And one of the first witnesses the government will call in this case will be one such person, an operator, Lilia Claude, who works as a White House operator, intakes calls from people who call up the main number. Twenty-four seven it's open. She usually works the midnight shift. And she'll tell you she gets a lot of calls sometimes even during the graveyard shift that she works.

The evidence will show that on March 1st -- I mean, this system that I was basically trying to describe to you I think is an effort by the President of the United States to be open and take in comments and act on them

1 or not act on them or pay attention to them or not, but the evidence is going to show you that on March 1st at 2 3 about 1:00 in the morning a caller, a male caller, called the White House line and spoke with White House 4 operator Lilia Claude, and the caller almost 5 immediately made some threats. 6 7 The judge read those to you. I'm going to repeat This particular caller said, "I'm going to kill 8 9 you, bitch. I'm going to kill your children by cutting 10 their heads off. I'm going to burn you alive. going to kill that president. I hate him. I'm going 11 12 to bury you alive." 13 You'll hear from Ms. Claude that she keeps a pad and notepaper and a pen handy and that she copied these 14 15 threats down when they came in. She immediately 16 presses a button or does something to notify the Secret Service that there's a malicious call coming in. 17 She will tell you that they don't record these 18 19 calls. And she will tell you that -- I believe what she's going to tell you is this started right around 20 21 the Nixon era that they wanted, as I mentioned, to be 2.2 open, an open government, have the people call in and not be afraid that everything was going to be recorded. 23 So the call was not recorded. 24 25 During the call she wrote down the address for this

1 individual. She also wrote his name. He identified himself as Eugene Ford. And she did notice on the 2 3 caller ID that she has set up at her operator station -- she did write down the number, the number 4 (775) 343-9041. 5 Now, about 20 minutes later this same person 6 7 called, called her back, and no real threats during this call, but he identified himself this time as -- I 9 don't know if I'm pronouncing it right -- Eleazar Melchizedek. 10 Later that morning the Secret Service back in 11 12 Washington, D.C., put together a little report and sent it to the Reno office of the U.S. Secret Service, to 13 this agent right here -- I introduced him to you 14 15 earlier this morning -- George Cheretis. He is the 16 resident -- he's a special agent with the United States 17 Secret Service. He's the supervisor here in Reno, resident agent in charge. 18 19 So first thing when he came in to work on March 1st of this year, 2016, he had something that 20 21 needed action, a little report that he needed to do 2.2 something on. He needed to go out and talk to this individual. He had conferred with his colleagues back 2.3 in Washington, D.C., and got the address, had the phone 24 25 number. And he, along with other Secret Service agents

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and Reno police officers, went to 320 Evans Street, apartment 206, here in Reno, Nevada, and found the defendant, Mr. Steven Eugene Ford, at his apartment. Initially he was very confrontational, abusive, using swear words, was not happy with their presence, and would not let them in the apartment, but he agreed to speak to them out in the hallway. And he calmed He was not in custody, but they nonetheless still advised him of his constitutional rights. And he understood them. And they told him why they were there, you know, "We're here because we believe you made a threatening phone call earlier this morning to a White House operator." The defendant admitted that he made that phone call and admitted that he made those threats. After they talked to him for a while, he at one point in time did identify himself as Eleazar Melchizedek. He also stated when they were talking to him -- he not only admitted making the call and admitted making the threat but told the agents, "Yes, I made the call. Yes, I made the threats. And if you were good Catholics, you would help me carry out these threats to erase evil from the world, " something to that effect, words to that effect.

Special Agent Cheretis talked to him a little bit

1 longer, gave him his business card, and said, "Look, if you want to talk about these issues some more, here's 2 3 my number. Give me a call, " and they leave. don't arrest. They have more work to do. They don't 4 arrest him at that time. 5 But later that day at his office he checks his 6 7 phone and he had several phone calls from that same number, the number -- and that was part of his 9 reasoning for giving the business card was to see what 10 phone number would show up. And it was, in fact, the same number that Lilia Claude captured from her caller 11 ID in Washington, D.C. It was (775) 343-9041. 12 13 Now, a few days later Special Agent -- after Special Agent Cheretis finishes his investigation and 14 15 it came to an indictment and warrant, he and a group of 16 agents go back on March 10th, back to the same apartment, and they arrested Mr. Ford on two counts. 17 You heard those counts. The judge read them to 18 19 Basically, Count One, making a threat against the President of the United States and Count Two, making a 20 21 threat -- an interstate threat by telephone against the 2.2 White House operator. Mr. Ford was agreeable verbally and later in 23 writing to give consent to search his apartment. 24 25 the agents seized one item, and that was only after

```
1
    they took their own phone and punched in that number
    that I just told you about, the 775 number, 343 number,
2
3
    9041, and the cell phone that was in Mr. Ford's
   apartment -- I think it was near his nightstand -- rang
4
   indicating to them that this, in fact, was the phone
5
   that was used to make the call during which threats
6
7
   were made.
        Once you've had a chance -- as the judge has
8
9
   already indicated to you, this is going to be a pretty
10
   quick trial. But once you've had a chance to hear all
   of the evidence, hear the witnesses, see the evidence
11
    in this case, I will be given another opportunity to
12
13
   come back and talk to you in a little more detail about
   that evidence and at that time I'll ask you to find the
14
15
   defendant quilty as he stands charged of both Count One
   and Count Two.
16
17
        Thank you.
        THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Sullivan.
18
19
        Mr. Cameron, do you wish to make an opening
   statement at this time or reserve on that?
20
21
        MR. CAMERON: Your Honor, we will be reserving the
22
   opening statement.
        THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.
23
24
        You may call your first witness.
25
        MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, we call Lilia Claude.
```

```
1
        THE COURT:
                    If you'll come forward here and take a
    seat up here, please. Thank you.
2
        Raise your hand and be sworn, please.
 3
          (The oath was administered to the witness.)
4
        THE WITNESS: I do.
5
                    Thank you. You may be seated.
        THE COURT:
6
7
        THE CLERK: Please state and spell your full name
    for the record.
8
        THE WITNESS: Lilia Claude, L-i-l-i-a, Claude,
9
10
    C-l-a-u-d-e.
11
                          LILIA CLAUDE,
               having been first duly sworn, was
              examined and testified as follows:
12
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
13
    BY MR. SULLIVAN:
14
            And in what city and state -- where do you
15
        0
    reside without giving us your street address?
16
        Α
            Washington, D.C., sir.
17
            You live right in the District of Columbia?
18
        0
19
        Α
            I do, sir.
20
        Q
            Okay. And where do you work?
            I work at the Executive Office of the
        Α
21
    President.
22
            Okay. And what is your position there?
2.3
        Q
            I am a telephone operator.
2.4
        Α
            For the White House?
25
        0
```

- A For the White House, yes, sir.
- Q Okay. How long have you been a telephone operator for the White House?
 - A Twelve and a half years, sir.
 - Q And what shift do you usually work?
- 6 A I work from midnight to 8:30 in the morning.
- 7 | Q Do you get many calls between midnight and
- 8 8 a.m.?

4

5

- 9 A Yes, we do, sir.
- 10 Q I guess it depends on the day.
- 11 A Yes.
- Q What -- tell us -- explain what your duties are
- 13 | as a White House operator.
- 14 A We screen and direct calls to the President,
- 15 the Vice President, the wives, the family, and the
- 16 | staff.
- Q Does the White House have a separate -- I think
- 18 | they call it the comment line.
- 19 A Yes, sir.
- 20 | Q What is that?
- 21 A It's separate from the switchboard where I
- 22 | work. The comment line, what we do is transfer calls
- 23 | between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, for
- 24 | those callers who want to leave a message for the
- 25 | President.

```
1
        Q
            Such as?
            If they're happy with an executive order or
        Α
2
3
    not, if they're unhappy with a certain bill, or if a
    talk show host suggests that they should call the
4
    President to give them -- to give his opinion -- or
5
    their opinion, I should say.
6
7
        Q
            If I could have you -- if I could direct your
    attention back to March 1st of this year in the early
8
9
   morning hours around -- kind of right after you started
10
    your shift, maybe around 1:00 o'clock in the morning.
    Were you working that day as a White House operator?
11
        Α
12
            Yes, sir, I was.
13
            Okay. And you were working the midnight to
    8:00 o'clock shift?
14
15
        Α
            Yes, sir.
16
        Q
            Do you recall receiving a threatening telephone
17
    call early in your shift?
        Α
            I do remember, sir.
18
            What time?
19
        Q
            1:00 o'clock.
20
        Α
21
            And was the caller male or female?
        0
            It was a male.
2.2
        Α
            Okay. Did this person ever identify himself to
23
    you during the call?
24
25
        Α
            He gave his name.
```

1 Q As --Eugene Ford. Α 2 3 0 Eugene Ford? Α Yes, sir, Eugene Ford. 4 And please tell us about the threats. 5 Q "I'm going to kill you, bitch. I'm going to Α 6 7 kill your children by cutting their heads off. I'm going to burn you alive. I'm going to kill that 9 president. I hate him. I'm going to bury you alive." 10 0 Now, did those calls come fairly early in the call, or when? Can you tell us about when those 11 threats came? 12 13 As soon as I answered, "The White House," he started saying those very words that I have recited. 14 15 Did you understand the threats? Were they 16 clear? They were very clear, sir. I was writing them 17 Α down as he was saying them. 18 19 Is that your normal practice, to keep a pad of paper and pencil down? 20 21 Α All operators are always handy or prepared with 2.2 a piece of paper and a pen or a pencil. Okay. Did the caller speak clearly? 23 Yes, sir, he did. Yes, sir. 24 Α

And did he at some point in time give you his

25

Q

```
address?
        Α
            I remember, yes, sir, he did give his address.
2
3
        0
            Do you remember what it was? Do you remember
    what city?
4
        Α
            He said Reno. And he said 720 -- 720 Seventh
5
    Street.
6
            Was it a Reno address?
7
        Q
            In Reno, Nevada.
8
            Okay. And do all White House telephone
9
10
    operators have a caller ID system?
            Yes, sir. We have a console that shows caller
        Α
11
    ID unless the caller blocks his or her number when
12
13
    calling the White House.
            What about this particular call? Did your
14
15
    caller ID show an incoming number?
            As far as I remember, sir, it was on the
16
        Α
    console.
17
            And what was that number?
18
        Q
            I do not recall, sir.
19
        Α
            Do you have a -- when you -- after you received
20
        Q
21
    this call did you refer it immediately to the United
2.2
    States Secret Service there in the White House?
            After I had written down all his statements, I
23
        А
    immediately pushed the button that says "MCT," which
24
25
    means malicious call tracing, and connected him to a
```

```
1
   Secret Service agent.
            And what happens then?
2
        Α
            The agent who answered it -- am I allowed to
3
4
   say the name of the agent?
            Well, there was a Secret Service agent. Yes,
5
   you're allowed to say it. But basically the important
6
7
    thing is a Secret Service agent answered?
            Yes, sir. And he repeated the same message to
8
   me, the one that -- I'm sorry. He repeated the same
9
10
   things to the agent.
            And did you provide the information that you
11
        Q
   had on your console to that agent?
12
13
            We type the information on a form. It's called
   a threat form --
14
15
        Q
            Okay.
            -- after which we either email it or fax it.
16
   We don't fax anymore, because we don't have a fax
17
   machine, so I believe I emailed it to the office where
18
19
   it's supposed to go.
        MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I'm going to show this
20
21
   witness -- I'll see if the clerk can mark this as
2.2
   Government's Exhibit No. 2.
                    (Exhibit 2 was marked.)
23
   BY MR. SULLIVAN:
24
25
        Q
            Ms. Claude, I'm showing you Government's
```

```
1
    Exhibit No. 2. Can you take a look at that and tell me
    if you recognize it.
2
3
            Yes, sir, I recognize it.
        Q
            What is it?
4
            It's a threat form that I had filled out and
5
    emailed to the MCT office.
6
7
        Q
            Okay. And just take a minute to look it over
    and tell me if you had written down the exact number
9
    that it showed on your caller ID.
10
        Α
            Yes, sir. It's (775) 343-9041.
11
            And what line was used -- is there a general
        Q
12
    number that -- when people call the White House is
13
    there a general telephone number?
            Yes.
                  It's a published number. It's (202)
14
    456-1414.
15
16
        0
            And did you write that down on the form as
    well?
17
18
        Α
            Yes, I did.
19
            Okay. When people make calls to the White
20
    House are they recorded?
21
        Α
            No, sir, they are not recorded.
2.2
        Q
            Why not?
            Since the Watergate incident recorders have
23
24
    been -- have not been allowed. They're -- I'm sorry.
25
    Recording equipment are not available to operators at
```

```
all.
1
            And what type of message does one hear if you
        Q
2
3
   call that number, that White House number that you just
   mentioned, the (202) 456-1414?
                                     Is there a message
4
   that's going to go out first?
5
            There's an automatic recording, and it says,
        Α
6
7
    "Thank you for calling the White House. This call may
   be monitored but not recorded."
8
9
            Okay. Thank you.
10
        MR. SULLIVAN: No further questions, Your Honor.
11
        THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12
        Are you offering that exhibit in?
13
        MR. SULLIVAN:
                       No.
        THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Cameron.
14
15
        MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
   BY MR. CAMERON:
17
            Is it Mrs. or Ms. Claude?
18
        Q
19
        Α
           Ms. Claude, sir.
            Okay. Are you married?
20
        Q
21
        Α
            I am divorced.
22
            Okay. And you've worked 12 and a half years
23
   for the White House telephone operation service;
   correct?
24
25
        Α
            Yes, sir.
```

```
1
            And please straighten it out for me.
                                                   There are
    two lines that you can call in, the White House line
2
   and a comment line as well?
3
4
            Yes, sir. For those callers who do not know
    the comment line number, we either give it to them or
5
   we connect them.
6
7
        Q
            Okay. And in this particular case was it the
   comment line that was called or the White House line?
8
            It was -- I received the call, so it was the
9
10
   1414 number.
        Q
            Okay. And is that the White House line or is
11
    that the comment --
12
            That's our -- that's the switchboard operator's
13
   line.
14
15
        Q
            Okay. And the switchboard operator, is that --
16
    I'm sorry if I'm maybe not catching it. Is that the
    comment line or the White House line?
17
        Α
            That's the White House line, sir.
18
19
        Q
            Okay. So when you're -- when you take this
   call, do you ask them if they want to make a comment or
20
21
   not?
2.2
            This particular caller, all I had to say was
    "The White House," which is our normal response when
23
    the phone rings, and he immediately took over.
24
25
        Q
            Okay.
                   And by immediately take over, he started
```

```
1
    speaking to you?
        Α
            Everything that I had written on this report.
2
3
        0
            Okay. And in terms of the report, did you
    review this report before testifying today?
4
            Yes, sir, I did.
        Α
5
            Okay. And have you testified before?
6
        0
            No, sir.
7
        Α
            This is your first time?
        Q
8
9
        Α
            This is my first time, yes.
10
        Q
            And did you make any other reports other than
    this threat form?
11
        Α
            This is the only one that we fill out.
12
13
            I'm sorry. Go ahead and finish.
        Q
            This is the prescribed form. What we have is
14
15
    just scratch paper in order to write down numbers.
    case a caller drops off, at least we know when the
16
    caller calls back, and we have our paper and pencil
17
    ready.
18
            And you had scratch pad and paper that evening?
19
        Q
            All the time, sir.
20
        Α
21
        0
            And if I followed your testimony correctly, you
2.2
    did make some notes about the call.
        Α
            Yes, sir.
23
            How many notes did you make about the call?
24
        Q
25
        Α
            Exactly as I have written here, because I was
```

```
1
   very attentive. Whenever we receive a threat call, we
   have to be very alert and attentive and be ready to
2
   write.
3
            And you didn't know it was a threat call until
4
   the threat is made, of course.
5
        Α
            Yes, sir.
6
7
        Q
            Okay. So they, to your recollection, came
   fairly early during the telephone call?
8
            Yes, sir.
9
        Α
10
        0
            How early did they come?
        Α
            If you're referring to this, sir --
11
            Yeah.
                   The telephone call was answered by you.
12
        0
13
   You say, "White House."
            I would say, "The White House," and the caller
14
15
   would say something, but in this case Mr. Ford
    immediately said that "I'm going to kill you."
16
        0
            Okay. Immediately made these one, two, three,
17
   four, five comments?
18
            Yes, sir.
19
        Α
            And how long did that take? Ten seconds?
20
        Q
21
        Α
            According to the time, that ended in 14 minutes
2.2
   which included the transfer to the Secret Service.
            Okay. So the call lasted 14 minutes, but, if I
23
   understand your testimony correctly, these threats came
24
25
   in the first 10 or 15 seconds; correct?
```

A Yes, sir.

1

- 2 Q And you wrote them down?
- A The ones that he spoke to me, but the ones that he did for the agent --
- Q Well, I'm only asking about what happened with you.
 - A Yeah, these are -- yes, sir.
- 8 Q So you wrote them down on a piece of paper or 9 wrote down some notes on a piece of paper?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q And what did you do with those notes?
- A Well, after I had put them here, we normally throw them away, because they have already been sent out.
- Q Okay. And it's your testimony that the only thing you wrote down in those notes are verbatim what's on this threat report?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- Q So there were other 13 minutes and 50 seconds or so of conversation, but you don't have any record of it because there's no recording; correct?
- A No, sir. And if I -- we are advised to get the gist of the message. If it's not relevant or if it's unnecessary, we do not include it on the report. And as far as I know, we have not been advised other than

to give the exact words as it appears here, exact wording of the threat. That's all we write. 2 3 And so you know, I'm not criticizing you. I'm just trying to find out if there's any context to 4 the conversation, because it sounds like you wrote down 5 these things in the first 15 seconds. And we don't 6 7 know what else was said or there's no record of what else was said and what other comments may have been made; is that correct? 10 Α As far as I remember, sir. Okay. And you said several times, "We filled 11 Q out the threat form." Did you fill it out or did you 12 13 fill it out with help? Each operator, when he or she receives a threat 14 15 call, is responsible for preparing this form. 16 0 Okay. And this was -- this happened about eight and a half months ago; correct? 17 Α In March, yes, sir. 18 19 Do you have independent recollection now of the context of that conversation, in other words, do you 20 21 remember it other than what you wrote on the threat 2.2 report? Only this one, sir. 23 Α Okay. Only the context of --24 Q

Only the ones that I -- yes, that I have

25

Α

```
1
   written here.
            Okay. Well, I'm going to ask you -- when you
        0
2
   answered the call, you indicated earlier in your
3
   testimony that somebody began talking immediately;
4
   correct?
5
        Α
            Yes, sir.
6
7
            And were they rational when they were talking?
   Were they irrational? Were they excited? What was the
8
    tenor of the conversation?
9
10
        Α
            He was rather clear, angry, and he spoke
   rapidly.
11
           And do you have your -- do you still have your
12
13
   report?
       Α
            It's right here, sir, when I filled out the
14
15
   report. I don't have my original, because we don't
16
   keep the scratch paper.
            Okay. But in this report, the threat form,
17
    there's a section that says, "Well-spoken. Irrational.
18
    Incoherent. Foul, " or, "A message read." You marked
19
    "Irrational."
20
21
        Α
            Well, because it didn't seem that he -- in my
2.2
   judgment at that time, sir, it appeared that he was
    irrational because of the threat.
2.3
            Okay. Was he irrational because he was
24
        Q
25
   shouting? Irrational because he was rambling?
                                                     Do you
```

```
remember any of that?
        Α
            I do remember that he was angry, yes.
2
3
            And the anger was evidenced by loudness of his
        0
    voice or --
4
        Α
            Yes, sir, and the rapidity of his accusations.
5
            And "accusations," are you talking about the
6
7
    threats or accusations that he made against the
    President as to his performance?
9
        Α
            For both, sir, for me.
10
        Q
            Okay. He was angry about the President's
    performance as well?
11
            I would imagine so and because -- well --
12
        Α
13
            Did he sound excited?
        Q
            He would have been, sir, because he --
14
        Α
15
        O
            So your answer is "Yes"?
            I would imagine, because, yes, he wanted to
16
        Α
    express himself so much in this manner.
17
            Now, how often does this happen that you take
18
19
    threats against the President and fill out this
    particular kind of form? I'm talking about you
20
21
    personally.
2.2
            In the 12 and a half years, sir, or in this
   particular administration?
23
24
            Well, let's just take from March 1st to the
25
   present time.
```

1 Α In my email -- less than ten. Less than ten times over the last eight 0 2 and a half months? 3 Α Yes, sir. 4 And this may sound like a silly question, but 5 Q do you know Mr. Ford? 6 No, sir. 7 Α Never heard of him before? 8 Q Never heard, no, sir. 9 Α 10 Q And he doesn't know you? No, sir. 11 Α Okay. Do you have any reason to believe he 12 Q holds any animosity towards you? 13 14 Α No, sir, not unless I look at this 15 transcription. 16 0 We'll get to the transcription. I'm just 17 asking if you have any knowledge of why he would have 18 any animosity towards you. No, sir. 19 Α And you were writing quickly; right? 20 Q Yes, sir. 21 Α 2.2 Q Okay. And he was speaking quickly? Yes, sir. 23 Α 24 And he was irrational while he was talking

Q

25

according to your report?

```
1
        Α
            Yes.
            Okay. And in trying to write down the words --
2
        0
3
    and that's the only recollection we have of -- I'm
          Do you have independent recollection of this
4
    telephone call?
5
        Α
            Yes.
6
7
        Q
            Okay. Then we'll go over it line by line, and
    please excuse some of the verbiage. But "I'm going to
8
9
    kill you, bitch," that was the first words he spoke
10
    after you said, "White House"?
        Α
            Yes, sir.
11
            Okay. You guys hadn't gotten into any argument
12
13
    or anything over the phone?
        Α
            Oh, no, sir.
                          No.
14
15
        Q
            And because of the common usage of the gender
16
    of the word "bitch," did you assume he was talking to
17
    you?
            Yes, sir.
18
        Α
19
            Okay. Have you ever heard that term used by a
20
    male about another male in a derogatory manner?
21
        Α
            No, sir.
2.2
            Okay. So you've never heard in music or
    anything like that one male referring to another male
23
24
    as a bitch in a derogatory manner?
25
        Α
            No, sir.
```

```
1
        Q
            Okay. "I'm going to kill your children by
    cutting their heads off." Okay. Is that a threat that
2
3
    you thought was against you?
        Α
            I thought so at the moment, yes.
4
            Do you have children?
5
        Q
            No, sir.
        Α
6
7
        Q
            So you don't have any children?
        Α
            No.
8
9
            And there would be no way for him to know
10
    whether or not you had children; is that correct?
11
        Α
            That's correct.
12
            Do you know if the President has any children?
        Q
13
        Α
            Yes.
            So that threat could have just as well have
14
        O
15
    been against the President who actually does have
    children?
16
17
        Α
            Yes.
            "I'm going to burn you alive." Okay. Again,
18
19
    that threat in context could have been just as much
    against the President as you?
20
21
        Let me make it a simpler question. Does he have
2.2
    any reason to want to burn you alive?
            I am the representative of the President when I
23
24
    answer the phone.
25
        Q
            Okay. So is it just as possible it was a
```

```
threat made against the President as against you?
        MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
2
3
   this line of questioning. He's asking for her to
   speculate on what the words meant. The words speak for
4
   themselves.
5
        MR. CAMERON: Well, Your Honor --
6
7
        THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. Go ahead.
   BY MR. CAMERON:
            Could these words have been directed towards
9
10
   the President within the context that you wrote them
   down as well as against you?
11
            Since I was the operator who received the call,
        Α
12
13
   then I took it to mean that he's directing it to me.
            That's your interpretation.
14
15
        "I'm going to kill that president." That was
16
    clearly not directed against you; right?
            No, sir.
17
        Α
            And that's within the same 15 words as the
18
   beginning of these threats; correct?
19
20
        Α
            Yes.
21
            And you're certain you wrote it down verbatim,
    "that President" instead of "the President"?
2.2
        Α
            Yes, sir.
23
            Okay. That's your recollection --
24
        Q
25
        Α
            Yes.
```

```
1
            -- from eight and a half months ago?
        "I hate him." That was directed against the
2
    President?
3
        Α
            Yes.
4
            "I'm going to bury you alive." Again, within
5
    the context of what you wrote down, was that possibly a
6
7
    threat against the President or you?
            Perhaps both of us.
8
            Okay. So you really don't know who these were
9
    directed at?
10
11
        Α
            Like I said, sir, I -- when I answer the phone,
    I represent the President and --
12
13
            Well -- and I'm sorry. I want to let you
    finish. I understand that. But the issue I'm asking
14
15
    you about is these innocuous threats -- and by
    "innocuous" I don't mean they're not serious -- but
16
    they're not gender specific either; is that correct?
17
            Except the first one.
18
        Α
19
            Okay. And, again, that's within the context as
    you understand it?
20
21
        Α
            Yes.
2.2
            Okay. And he certainly wasn't calling you that
    evening; correct?
23
24
        Α
            He was calling the White House.
25
        Q
            He was calling the -- he was calling to leave
```

```
1
    comments to the President, if I understood your
    testimony correctly.
2
            He did not specifically say he was leaving a
3
    comment. He just directed his statement to me.
4
            Now, again, I'm going to ask you to reach back.
5
    You do remember the conversation; correct?
6
            I try to remember, sir. I try very hard.
7
        Α
            Was there rambling during the conversation?
8
            No, just repetitions of everything that he --
9
        Α
    that is written here.
10
11
        Q
            So he would go over and over again the same
12
    thing?
13
        Α
            Yes.
14
            Did he ever make comments about the President's
15
    performance?
16
        Α
            No.
            Okay. Did anybody in the government when they
17
        0
    investigated this case ask you if you had children?
18
        Α
            No, sir.
19
            So they never checked to find out whether or
20
21
    not the threat about children was directed at you;
2.2
    correct?
            Correct.
23
        Α
24
            Now, there were two calls, as I recall.
    Correct?
25
```

```
1
        Α
            Yes.
            Did you take both calls?
2
            Yes.
3
        Α
            Okay. And the second call was a different
4
        Q
   name; correct?
5
        Α
            Correct.
6
            And do you know what that name is?
7
            Yes. It's Eleazar Melchizedek which is a
8
   biblical name.
9
10
        Q
            And you recognized that yourself or you were
    told that later?
11
            No, I recognized the name.
12
        Α
13
            Did it sound -- seem strange to you that the
14
    same person would call back using a biblical name this
    time?
15
            No, sir.
16
        Α
            And he called back about six minutes later?
17
        0
18
        Α
            Yes.
19
            And what was the gist of that conversation?
    Because that conversation doesn't appear to be reported
20
21
    on the threat form.
2.2
        I'm sorry. Do you need a better question or are
    you just considering it?
23
24
        Α
            I'm trying to remember if he hung up right
25
    away.
```

```
1
            So that was a short call?
            I believe he just said his name is Eleazar
2
   Melchizedek.
3
4
            And if you don't remember --
            And there was no end time, sir. So it was just
5
    a call and then he hung up.
6
            And it's all right if you don't remember.
7
        Q
    There's not -- it's not a quiz. I just wondered if you
9
    could remember the gist of that conversation.
10
        So you think it was just you answered the phone,
    and you knew it was same number; correct?
11
        Α
            Yes.
12
13
            And he gave the name Eleazar Melchizedek;
14
    correct?
15
        Α
            Yes.
16
        0
           And that was it?
17
        Α
            Yes.
            Okay. Did you transfer that call to the Secret
18
    Service as well?
19
        Α
            I could not. Whenever the caller hangs up, I
20
    do not have the chance to transfer.
21
2.2
            Okay. Well, let's take the first call then.
    It's a 13-minute call; correct?
23
            Yes.
24
        Α
25
        O
            And do you recall how far into the call you
```

transferred it to the Secret Service agent?

2.2

A That would be after I had taken notes. Some time, sir, because -- this instant I really cannot recall, but as soon as the threat begins we connect the caller to the MCT. And while I'm taking notes, the Secret Service on the other end is also listening in. So it could have been before he finished or it could have been after. I cannot be sure at this time.

Q Okay. And so I'm clear, you're still involved in the conversation after you switch the call, or are you not?

A I do not speak anymore when the Secret Service agent begins. I just take note.

Q Can you still hear the conversation?

A I can. When I -- let's see. There was a time when we could listen in, but I cannot recall when our system was changed and after being connected to the MCT line if we could still listen in. So I cannot this time -- that's why -- because our phone system has been upgraded. The previous system, we could listen in, but we will not join the conversation, we'll just listen in until the interview with the agent has ended.

Q So if I follow your testimony correctly, the only input you ever had into this conversation is you said, "White House"?

```
Yes, sir. I -- well, let's see. If I may, let
1
       Α
   me rewind. I did ask him for the phone number to make
2
   sure, because we have to confirm that what is on the
3
   console is what -- the phone number belongs to the
4
   caller. And we also ask for the name to make sure that
5
   what I report is accurate as far as we can report it.
6
7
        Q
            So that would be some limited conversation as
   to the telephone number, which you had, and the address
8
9
   which you got?
10
        Α
            Yes.
            Okay. So for the other 12 minutes or so, it
11
        Q
   was just Mr. Ford ranting?
12
13
            As far as I can recall at this time.
        MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Your Honor. That's all I
14
15
   have.
16
        THE COURT: Are you offering that Exhibit 2 in
   evidence?
17
        MR. CAMERON: Your Honor, I believe that I will
18
19
    since it's the best evidence we have of part of the
20
   call.
21
        THE COURT:
                    Is there any objection?
2.2
        MR. SULLIVAN:
                       No objection.
        THE COURT: It will be received in evidence.
23
                   (Exhibit 2 was admitted.)
24
25
        THE COURT: All right. Any redirect?
```

```
1
        MR. SULLIVAN:
                       No, Your Honor.
        THE COURT:
                    Thank you very much. You're excused.
2.
        THE WITNESS:
                       Thank you.
 3
        THE COURT: You may call your next witness.
4
        MR. SULLIVAN: The government calls George
5
    Cheretis, Your Honor.
6
7
          (The oath was administered to the witness.)
        THE WITNESS: I do.
8
        THE CLERK: Would you state and spell your full
9
10
   name for the record.
11
        THE WITNESS: George Cheretis, G-e-o-r-g-e.
    C-h-e-r-e-t-i-s.
12
13
                        GEORGE CHERETIS,
               having been first duly sworn, was
              examined and testified as follows:
14
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
15
    BY MR. SULLITVAN:
16
            And what city and state do you currently reside
17
    in?
18
19
        Α
            Reno, Nevada.
20
        Q
            And where are you employed?
            United States Secret Service.
21
        Α
            Your position with the Secret Service?
22
        Q
            I'm the resident agent in charge of the office.
2.3
        Α
2.4
            How long have you worked as a Secret Service
        Q
25
    agent?
```

A Going on 25 years now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

15

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- Q Can you tell the jury what the duties are of a Secret Service agent?
- A The Secret Service has two missions, to ensure the financial integrity of the United States and to protect the President, the Vice President, and a few folks -- a few other folks that are directed by Congress or presidential executive order.
- Q When you say to help ensure the financial integrity of the United States, what do you mean by that?
- A Well, we are the law enforcement branch of the Treasury, so we investigate financial fraud, things of that nature, counterfeit.
 - Q Credit card fraud?
- 16 A Credit card fraud.
- 17 Q Did you conduct an investigation of Steven 18 Eugene Ford?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Can you tell us how that investigation began?
 - A On March 1st we -- I received messaging from our Protective Intelligence Division that a call had been received into the White House switchboard of a threatening nature, and I was directed to go out and investigate.

```
1
        0
            What was the date of that call? When did you
    qet so advised?
2
            March 1st.
3
        Α
        O
            Of this year?
4
        A
            Correct.
5
            And was that right when you came in to work
6
        0
    that morning?
7
        Α
            Yes.
8
            And did you speak with anybody from the Secret
9
10
    Service in Washington, D.C., or you just had a little
11
    report?
            No, I called the Protective Intelligence
12
13
    Division, and they had provided me some background
14
    information on who the caller was and an address they
   had determined.
15
            And what address did they give you?
16
        Q
17
        Α
            320 Evans Road, apartment 206.
18
        Q
            In Reno?
19
        Α
            Correct.
            Okay. And did you take some action that day?
20
        Q
21
        Α
            I did. We went out to 320 Evans Road,
2.2
    apartment 206, and interviewed Mr. Ford.
            Was he home then?
23
        0
24
        Α
            Yes.
            And about when was that? Was that on the same
25
        Q
```

```
day, March 1st, 2006?
        Α
            Same day.
2
3
        0
            I'm sorry.
                        2016.
        Α
            We're mandated to respond within 24 hours.
4
            And about what time was it? I'm sorry.
5
        0
   missed it.
6
7
        Α
            2 p.m.
            Okay. Was he home?
8
        Q
9
        Α
            Yes.
10
        Q
            What happened after you knocked on his door?
            We knocked on his door. Mr. Ford came out and
11
        Α
12
    closed the door behind him and was not very happy to
13
    see us.
            Who all was present?
14
        Q
15
            Myself, Secret Service Agent Steve Martinez,
16
    Reno Police Detective Ryan Ashton, and two other
    uniformed police officers.
17
            Was he -- you say he was not very happy to see
18
19
    you? How did he react to your presence?
            He was very agitated, anxious, excited,
20
        Α
21
    confrontational, verbally abusive.
2.2
        Q
            Did you tell him why you were there?
            I did.
23
        А
            Was he willing to talk to you eventually?
24
        Q
25
        Α
            Eventually he calmed down and we did have a
```

```
conversation.
        0
            And this took place out in the hallway still?
2
            In the hallway in front of his apartment.
3
        Α
            Okay. Did you advise him of his constitutional
        Q
4
    rights?
5
            I did.
        Α
6
7
        Q
            Was he in custody?
        Α
            No.
8
            Why did you advise him of his rights?
9
        Q
            It's our procedure to advise of constitutional
10
        Α
    rights, because the situation could change.
11
            Okay. Did he seem to understand what you told
12
        0
    him about his rights?
13
14
        Α
            He seemed to.
            Was he willing to be interviewed?
15
        Q
            He was.
16
        Α
            Did he during this conversation identify
17
        Q
    himself to you?
18
        Α
            He did.
19
20
        Q
            As?
21
        Α
            Steven Eugene Ford.
2.2
        Q
            Did he use any other names during the
    conversation?
23
            Not initially.
24
        Α
            But later?
25
        O
```

```
Later he identified himself as Eleazar
1
        Α
   Melchizedek.
2.
            Melchizedek?
3
        0
4
        Α
            Melchizedek.
            Did you talk to him about a certain telephone
5
    call to the White House that he made earlier that day?
6
            I did.
7
        Α
            That was your main purpose in going there;
        Q
8
9
    correct?
10
        Α
            Correct.
11
            And did he answer your questions with respect
        Q
    to that issue?
12
13
        Α
            He did.
            What did he tell you?
14
        Q
            When I asked him about if he had made the call
15
        Α
16
    to the White House and the subject threats, he
    acknowledged that he had made the call and he had made
17
    the threats.
18
19
            Did he tell you why he made the threats?
            I asked him why, and he said that he was duty
20
21
    bound to carry out the threats because a good
2.2
    Catholic -- a good Catholic's responsibility is to
    eradicate evil from the world.
2.3
24
            And did he make any further statements along
    those lines?
25
```

```
1
        Α
            He did.
                     He challenged me and the agents and
    the officers there to help him carry out the threats
2
3
    and said that if we were good Catholics that we were
    duty bound also to eradicate evil from the world.
            Did you arrest him after this interview?
5
        Q
            No, sir.
        Α
6
7
        Q
            Why not?
            It was pretty serious, so I wanted to consult
8
9
    with the U.S. Attorney's Office and develop a course of
10
    action on how to move forward.
        Q
            Before you left the interview did you -- well,
11
    let me back up. How did you leave it with him before
12
13
    you left?
        Α
            I left him my business card.
14
15
        Q
            Why?
16
            Well, if he called me, I would get the number.
    I was thinking maybe if he used his cell phone, I would
17
    get the cell phone number that he called me from.
18
19
        Q
            And did you go back to your office?
        Α
            Yes.
20
21
            Did you get any calls on your cell phone?
        0
2.2
        Α
            Multiple calls.
            From what number?
23
        0
        Α
            (775) 343-9041, nine, zero, four, one.
24
25
        Q
            And was that -- did that draw your -- were you
```

```
1
    concerned about that number?
        Α
            Yes, because that's the number that was
2
    recorded on the White House line threat form as the one
3
    that was captured as the originating call.
4
            Did there come a point in time when you did go
5
   back to that same apartment and arrest Mr. Ford?
6
            We did.
7
        Α
            And did you have a properly issued arrest
8
    warrant at that time?
9
            We did.
10
        Α
            And when did you execute the warrant?
11
        Q
            9:30 that morning on March 10th.
12
        Α
13
            2016?
        Q
            2016, yes.
14
        Α
15
        Q
            Who was present during the arrest?
16
        Α
            Myself, Agent Jeff DeLuca from our office,
    Detective Ryan Ashton, Reno Police Department, and two
17
    other uniformed Reno police officers.
18
            Okay. Did you have any problems during the
19
    arrest?
20
21
        Α
            No, sir.
2.2
            Was Mr. Ford again advised of his
    constitutional rights?
23
        Α
24
            Yes.
            And did he seem to understand them?
25
        Q
```

1 Α Yes. Did you obtain his consent to search his 2 3 apartment? Α I asked if we could search his apartment, 4 and he acknowledged that we could. 5 Was it given freely? 0 6 7 Α Yes, I believe so. Did he later give you or sign a written Q 8 9 consent --10 Α Yes. -- to search? 11 Q Α 12 Yes. 13 Did you seize any evidence from the apartment before you left? 14 15 Α We did. We searched the apartment and seized one item. 16 17 0 And can you describe the item that you seized? Α It was an LG cell phone. 18 19 Q And where did you find that? It was on the nightstand next to his bed. 20 Α 21 0 And why did you seize that cell phone that you 2.2 found on the nightstand? Α Because we believed it was the cell phone used 23 to call the White House and issue the threat. 24 Why do you believe that? Why did you believe 25 Q

```
that?
1
        Α
            Detective Ashton punched in the number that we
2
3
    thought was that cell phone's number and the phone
    rang.
4
            Okay. Let me back up. So Detective Ashton was
5
        0
    with you --
6
7
        Α
            Yes.
            When you say "punched in the number" --
        Q
8
9
        Α
            I'm sorry. He dialed the number on his cell
10
   phone.
11
        Q
            Which number are you talking about?
            The (775) 343-9041.
12
        Α
13
            That's the number that showed up on
        Q
   Ms. Claude's caller ID?
14
15
        Α
            Correct.
16
            And what happened after -- on his own personal
    cell phone what happened after he made that call?
17
18
        Α
            When he sent the call, the phone rang that was
19
    on the nightstand.
            Okay. Now, I'm going to show you -- I'm going
20
        Q
21
    to show you an envelope that's marked Government's
22
    Exhibit No. 1. Would you just take a minute and look
    it over.
23
        Α
24
            Yes.
25
        Q
            Do you recognize it?
```

```
1
        Α
            I do.
            How?
 2
        0
 3
        Α
            Because I prepared it.
        Q
                  Can you tell us generally what it is?
 4
            It is the evidence envelope followed with an
 5
    evidence receipt containing the LG cell phone that we
 6
 7
    seized from Mr. Ford's apartment inside here.
            So inside the envelope is that cell phone that
 8
 9
    you talked about?
10
        Α
            Yes.
11
        Q
            And did you -- what did you do with that phone
    when you got back to your office?
12
13
            I immediately put it in this envelope and
    sealed it and put it in our vault. We have an evidence
14
15
    vault.
16
        Q
            Did it stay in that vault or in your office
    until you brought it over to court today for this
17
    trial?
18
        Α
19
            Yes.
            Okay. And is it in substantially the same
20
        Q
21
    condition now as when you seized it?
2.2
        Α
            Yes.
        MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, at this time I'm going
23
    to move for admission into evidence Government's
24
    Exhibit No. 1.
25
```

```
1
        THE COURT:
                    Is there any objection?
        MR. CAMERON: There is not, Your Honor.
2
        THE COURT: It will be received in evidence.
3
                    (Exhibit 1 was admitted.)
4
   BY MR. SULLIVAN:
5
            Now, I don't think -- did you make any -- did
        0
6
7
    you check the phone yourself personally to see if any
    calls were made from that call [sic] to Washington,
   D.C.?
9
10
        Α
            I did not.
            Did anyone in your office?
11
        Q
        Α
            Yes.
12
            Who was that?
13
        Q
14
            Reno Police Detective Ryan Ashton.
        Α
15
        O
            Does he work in your office?
                    He's a member of the task force we run
16
        Α
            He is.
    out of the Reno office, so he's a deputized federal
17
18
    agent.
19
        Q
            Okay. Thank you.
        MR. SULLIVAN: No further questions, Your Honor.
20
21
        THE COURT:
                   Thank you.
2.2
        Mr. Cameron.
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
23
   BY MR. CAMERON:
24
            Good morning, Agent Cheretis.
25
        Q
```

- A Good morning.
- Q I understand from your direct testimony you've
 3 been with the Secret Service for 25 years?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q And, in fact, you are the resident agent in 6 charge of the office here?
- 7 A Yes.

1

- Q So you make the decisions for the Reno office on investigations; correct?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And how long have you been the resident agent 12 in charge?
- A I reported to Reno October 2015, so a little over a year now.
- Q Okay. And this was in March of 2016 that this case took place?
- 17 A Correct.
- Q And if I understood your testimony correctly,
 after receiving the information from Washington, D.C.,
 you went directly -- or not directly, but you went that
 same day to Mr. Ford's residence?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q And the information that you got from the agent in Washington was basically the same words that were written down by the earlier witness today?

A Yes.

1

4

14

- Q And you didn't have any idea what the context of that threat was, did you?
 - A Just other than what the words --
- 5 Q The words themselves, but you didn't have the 6 rest of the conversation?
- 7 A No, sir.
- 8 Q Nobody talked to you about that?
- 9 A No.
- Q Okay. So you did arrive at Mr. Ford's apartment. And I think you indicated that he was aggressive and not cooperative.
- 13 A Yes.
 - Q Can you kind of run through what happened? I mean, you knocked on the door.
- A We knocked on the door, he came outside and began -- he was obviously angry and anxious that we were there. And he began to verbally taunt all the officers and agents that were there.
- Q Well, let's stop right there and see if we can't break it down a little bit.
- 22 A Sure.
- Q When you knocked on the door did you see a cell phone or part of a cell phone Scotch-taped to the door?
- 25 | A No, sir.

1 0 That wasn't there? Α No. 2 And did he first acknowledge your 3 0 presence through the door and you told him who you 4 5 were? He opened the door. I told him -- I asked him Α 6 7 if he was Steven Eugene Ford. He said he was. then I identified myself as "George Cheretis, Secret 9 Service. Can we talk to you for a moment?" 10 0 And you indicated he was angry and agitated? Α He came outside, closed the door behind him, 11 and then started with profanity and what I had said 12 13 earlier. Okay. In addition to that, was he irrational 14 15 and rambling? 16 Α He was. And could you make sense of everything he was 17 0 saying? 18 Α 19 I could understand what he was saying. I don't understand the content of what he was saying. 20 21 0 So when you say he calmed down, how long did it 2.2 take him -- I'm sorry. Bad question. How long did it take you before you could start 23 making sense of what he was saying? 24 Well, he kept talking, rambling, and he 25 Α

```
wouldn't let us talk, so I made a deal with him
   actually. I told him, "Just hold on. I'll let you say
2
3
   whatever you want to say, but then you got to just let
   me talk." And then he agreed to that.
4
           Okay. And when you say he was rambling, what
5
   was he rambling about? What was he trying to say that
6
7
   he was talking over you?
           He was quoting biblical scripture.
8
9
   would insult us. Then he would go back to scripture,
10
    insult us some more. He said that he had a poison
   pipette in his ribs and he was taunting us to break it.
11
            In other words, he indicated to you that he had
12
13
   some device between his ribs that if you broke it he
   would die and he wanted you to do that?
14
15
       Α
            Yes.
16
            Okay. During this initial contact with him
   when he's rambling and asking you to kill him and
17
   quoting scriptures, did you have any concern about his
18
   mental state?
19
       Α
            That's -- no, I didn't. That's not for me to
20
21
   determine.
2.2
            Well, I'm not asking you for a diagnosis.
   asked from the context of his conversation and your
23
24
   observations, did you have any concern about his mental
```

state?

```
1
        Α
            What do you mean by "concern?" For my personal
    safety?
 2
            Not for personal safety. Did you think you
 3
    were talking to a rational thinking human being during
 4
    the beginning of this interview?
 5
            Not initially.
        Α
 6
 7
        Q
            Okay. So you did have some concerns about
    that?
 8
            In that context, yes.
 9
        Α
10
        Q
            To the extent that you tried to calm him down?
11
        Α
            Correct.
12
            And how did you do that?
        Q
13
            By making -- by striking this deal with him, he
    calmed down, he said his peace, and then he let us --
14
    he let me talk.
15
16
        0
            And what did you say to him?
        Α
            I explained to him why we were there, and then
17
    I went right into the phone call that we believed he
18
           I had asked him if he had, in fact, called the
19
    White House and issued these threats. And he had -- he
20
21
    said that he had.
2.2
            Did you tell him what the threats were?
    you read verbatim the information you had on what the
23
24
    threats had been?
25
        Α
            I didn't read it off the paper, but I had read
```

```
it before.
            To him?
        0
2
                    So I went off my memory and I said,
3
        Α
            To him.
    "Did you really say this?" And he acknowledged that he
4
    did.
5
            Did you try to make any determination as to who
6
        0
7
   he was allegedly threatening?
            No. I let the words speak for themselves.
8
        Α
9
        Q
            Well, you read the words?
10
        Α
            Right.
11
        Q
            Correct?
        Α
            Yes.
12
            And if I follow correctly, basically they were
13
14
    the identical words that was testified to by the prior
    witness.
15
16
        Α
            Yes.
        MR. CAMERON: Can I have the Court's indulgence for
17
    one moment?
18
   BY MR. CAMERON:
19
            Did you have a copy of the threat form?
20
        Q
21
    that one of the things that was sent to you?
2.2
        Α
            It was.
            Okay. So on the threat form when you reviewed
23
24
    it, did you understand that he was irrational when the
    threats were made?
25
```

1 Α Yes. And the threats themselves, "I'm going to kill 0 2 3 you, bitch," okay, those were the words that you understood were uttered? Α Yes. 5 In that context have you ever heard another 6 7 male refer to a male in a derogatory term by calling him bitch? Α 9 Yes. 10 Q So that's something that you're familiar with? 11 Α Yes. "I'm going to kill your children by cutting 12 13 their heads off." Okay. Was that one of the threats that you understood was made? 14 15 Α Yes. 16 And during your investigation did anybody ever 17 bother to ask the White House operator if she even had 18 any children? Α I did not. 19 Okay. And you heard her testimony this morning 20 Q 21 that she doesn't; is that correct? 2.2 Α Yes. "I'm going to burn you alive. I'm going to 23 kill the President. I hate him. I'm going to bury you 24

alive." Now, the context of that you determined was a

1 threat against the President of the United States; correct? 2. Α 3 Correct. And this was a threat that my client, 4 irrational as he was in the beginning, admitted making; 5 is that correct? 6 7 Α Yes. In other words, you talked to him and he said, 8 "Yes, I said that, and it's my duty as a good Catholic 9 to help stamp out evil." Did that ring any bells for 10 you? Did you -- let me make a better question. 11 Did you think there may be some problem with him 12 13 mentally to be undertaking this mission from God? 14 Α Yes. 15 And within the context, these words, if you take them for their plain meaning, could have been 16 directed towards the President, who he clearly was 17 upset with, or towards an operator he had never met and 18 knew anything about or had no grudge against; correct? 19 Α Correct. 20 21 So as you sit here today, do you know who he 2.2 was really threatening? Was it the President or was it the operator? 23 Well, I asked him if he had said that to the 24 Α 25 operator, if he had threatened, and he said he had.

```
Did you use the term "operator"? Because
1
    that's not in your report. In your report you said you
2
   read the words.
3
            Right. I just assumed that he meant the
4
   operator.
5
            So everybody kind of assumes that he meant the
6
   operator; correct?
7
        Α
            Correct.
8
            Okay. Even though the call was to the
9
10
   President; correct?
            I don't know who he was trying to call. I know
11
        Α
   he was calling the White House, the White House
12
   switchboard.
13
            Now, you took his telephone. And you indicated
14
15
   on your direct testimony that the White House was
    called; is that correct?
16
            The White House switchboard.
17
        Α
            Yeah. And that would be the number -- if I can
18
   make my fingers work, I'll give it to you.
19
20
        Α
            The switchboard number? 456-1414?
21
        0
            Yes. (202) -- I have it here. (202) 456-1414;
2.2
   correct?
        Α
23
            Yes.
            Okay. And you heard the operator testify about
24
        Q
   two telephone calls; is that correct?
25
```

Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

24

25

- How many telephone calls did you find that were 0 made that evening by the phone?
 - Α There were multiple phone calls.
 - At least four, maybe more? Q
 - I think there was a few more. Α
- 7 Q Okay. And you conducted an investigation on Well, let me go back. this. 8

Even after my client admitting to you that he had made those calls and saying that, you know, it was his duty to stamp out evil and encouraging you and the other officers to join him as good Catholics to try to stamp out the evil, you made a determination not to arrest him; correct?

- 15 Α Correct.
- And he had confessed? 16 0
- 17 Α Correct.
- And you were there with four or five other 18 19 officers, but you wanted to investigate further; would that be a fair statement, sir? 20
- 21 Α I wanted to consult with the U.S. Attorney's Office. 2.2
- So it wasn't part of your determination that he 23 appeared mentally unstable to you and you wanted to follow through on that as well?

```
1
        Α
            Well, consult with the U.S. Attorney's Office
   but also begin doing some background checks and to find
2
3
    out what other things he may have done or history and
   mental issues.
4
            And you did that? That was part of your
5
    follow-up investigation for the next eight or nine
6
7
    days?
            Correct.
        А
8
            Okay. And that's something that you do as a
9
10
    matter of course as a Secret Service agent, because you
    get threats -- well, I'm not going to put words in your
11
    mouth.
12
13
        Do you get threats from people who are not mentally
14
    stable?
15
        Α
            We do.
16
            And you investigate that to kind of find out
    what the validity of the threat is?
17
18
        Α
            We do.
19
            And that's been done almost on every case if
    it's an issue; correct?
20
21
        Α
            Correct.
2.2
        Q
            Okay. In fact, it was done in this case?
23
        Α
            Correct.
24
            Now, in determining what calls were made --
        Q
25
    there were a number of telephone calls -- well, more
```

1 than the two that we heard about this morning. were at least four, maybe more, calls made to the White 2 3 House that evening; correct? Α Yes. 4 Is it unusual in your experience to have 5 somebody identify themselves and give their home 6 7 address when they're making a threat? It's not unusual. Α 8 9 Q It does happen? 10 Α It happens more often than you think. Okay. And is that sometimes an indicator that 11 Q the person is not mentally right when they make a 12 13 threat like that, which is against the law, and then say, "Oh, by the way, I'm right here"? 14 I don't think I can determine that. 15 16 Okay. That's fair. We act on the threats, the state of mind. 17 Α can't just ignore the threats. 18 And I understand that. But state of mind is 19 something that you do take into consideration when 20 21 you're investigating it so you can give that 2.2 information to the prosecutors? Α 23 Correct. Now, in investigating the phone calls that were 24 Q 25 made, did you find phone calls made to the Russian

1 Embassy? Α Yes. 2 Did you find phone calls made to the Israeli 3 Embassy? 4 Α I don't remember one to the Israeli Embassy, 5 but I know the Russian Embassy. 6 You know the Russian Embassy, and you just 7 Q don't recall whether there was one to the Israeli 9 Embassy? I don't recall if there was one to the Israeli 10 Α 11 Embassy. And you don't know what the nature of those 12 13 calls were, or did you discuss that with Mr. Ford? No, I did not. 14 Α 15 That came up afterwards? No, I didn't discuss anything that I found 16 Α during our investigations with Mr. Ford. 17 Okay. And one of the things that you were 18 investigating, as we discussed already, was kind of 19 20 where he was mentally; correct? 21 Α Where he was mentally when he made the threats? 2.2 When he made the threats, when you interviewed him, where he was --23 One of the factors we considered, yes, is where 24 Α was his state of mind, his mental health, of course. 25

```
1
        Q
            And, in fact, in your investigation were you
    able to determine where he was on March the 2nd through
2
   March the 8th?
3
        Α
            No, I could not.
4
            Okay. You don't recall subpoenaing his records
5
        Q
    from the Veterans mental health hospital?
6
7
        Α
            We did, we subpoenaed his records, and -- we
    did.
8
9
            In fact, you subpoenaed his records for that
    date, from the 2nd to the 8th?
10
11
        Α
            Correct.
            And they sent you those records?
12
        0
13
        Α
            Yes.
            Did you review them?
14
        O
15
        Α
            Yes.
16
            Were you able to determine as part of your
    investigation --
17
        MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
18
    further questioning here. He's talking about records
19
    from the Veterans Administration, and no one is here
20
    from the Veterans Administration to talk about those
21
2.2
    records.
        MR. CAMERON: Actually, Your Honor, if I could be
23
   heard.
24
25
        THE COURT: Well, I'm going to allow it for -- I'm
```

going to allow it for the purposes of the investigation 2 that he undertook and whether or not he formed any opinions in connection with this investigation, not 3 necessarily for the truth of what's in there. So go ahead. 5 Thank you, Your Honor. 6 MR. CAMERON: BY MR. CAMERON: 7 And were you able to obtain those records? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 0 And you reviewed them? 11 Α Yes. And were you able to determine as part of your 12 13 investigation that he was taken there the next day by 14 Reno Police Department on a legal 2000? 15 Α Yes. And that the reason was he had held a knife to 16 0 his throat and tried to kill himself? 17 18 Α Yes. And he also threatened people at the mental 19 hospital; correct? 20 21 Α Correct. 2.2 And they kept him for eight days because he was psychotic? 23 2.4 Α That's what the reports say, yes. Okay. Now, you obtained an indictment in this 25 Q

```
1
    case by testifying at the grand jury. You were the
    agent who testified there?
2
        Α
3
            Yes.
            And you answered the questions that you were
4
        Q
    asked; correct?
5
        Α
            Yes.
6
            And you were never asked about his mental state
7
        Q
    and whether he had been in the mental hospital for
    eight days for being psychotic, were you?
9
10
        Α
            No, I don't recall.
            You basically were asked did you get follow-up
11
        Q
    from Washington, did you go there, did the guy admit
12
13
    making threats? That was the gist of the grand jury
14
    testimony?
15
        Α
            Yes.
            Okay. Nothing about him being irrational when
16
        0
    you spoke to him, him rambling, him being admitted to
17
    the mental hospital for a psychotic breakdown, none of
18
19
    that went to the grand jury?
        Α
            Just the -- Mr. Ford's demeanor when we
20
21
    originally talked to him the first -- the first time on
2.2
    March 1st, I believe that was in -- that was in the
    grand jury testimony, but the other stuff, no.
23
24
        Q
                   Now, when you talked to him on the 1st
25
    did he appear to have been drinking?
```

```
1
        Α
            No.
            Okay. When you went to his house and got the
        0
2
    consent search didn't you see inside the house empty
3
   bottles of booze, gallon bottles of booze, laying on
4
    the floor?
5
        Α
            We did see alcohol, the alcoholic containers,
6
7
    yes.
            Quite a bit of alcoholic containers that were
        Q
8
9
    emptied?
10
        Α
            Yes.
            What was the -- what did the house look like
11
        Q
    when you went in?
12
13
        Α
            It was unkempt.
            It was in a shambles, wasn't it?
14
        Q
15
        Α
            Yes.
                  Well, not a shambles, but it was messy
16
    and unkempt.
            Okay. Empty booze bottles laying on the floor;
17
        Q
18
    correct?
            Well, piled off, I think, off to the corner.
19
        Α
            In fact, everything was in a pile, the entire
20
        Q
21
    apartment --
2.2
        Α
            Yes.
            -- was a giant pile of junk? Is that correct?
23
        Q
24
        Α
            We don't call it junk. His things.
25
        Q
            Okay. Well, that's probably a better
```

```
description.
                  Thank you.
       Now --
2
3
        THE COURT: How much longer do you think you'll be?
    I'm going to take the noon recess.
4
       MR. CAMERON: We can take it, Your Honor. I'll be
5
   a while.
6
7
        THE COURT: You'll be a little bit longer.
                                                    All
   right.
8
9
        I'm going to go ahead and take the noon recess.
10
   Please do not discuss this case among yourselves during
   the recess. Let's try to reconvene at about 1:15.
11
   That's a little more than an hour. You're free to go
12
13
   as you wish.
        Please do not discuss this case with anyone during
14
15
   the recess and don't discuss it among yourselves.
   We'll reconvene at 1:15 back here and then we'll
16
   proceed this afternoon. I think it's likely we're
17
   going to complete the evidence this afternoon and it's
18
19
   also likely that I'll instruct you and you'll start
   your deliberations this afternoon. It may not be until
20
21
   tomorrow.
               I don't know. But you may plan to be here
2.2
   at least all the way through the afternoon. So I'll
    see you at 1:15. Thank you very much.
23
24
        You may just leave your notepads on your seat
25
   there, if you want to, or take them back in the jury
```

```
1
   room, whatever you prefer.
              (Outside the presence of the jury.)
2
3
        THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You're excused.
   Thank you.
4
        THE WITNESS: Should I just leave this here?
5
        THE COURT: That's fine. Sure.
6
7
       All right. We'll reconvene at 1:15 this afternoon.
       Mr. Cameron, just for my own schedule here, you
8
9
   think you'll be another 30 minutes or so?
10
       MR. CAMERON: I wouldn't think that long, maybe 15
   more minutes.
11
12
        THE COURT: And you've got -- what? -- one more
13
   witness?
       MR. SULLIVAN: One more witness. It shouldn't take
14
15
   too long.
        THE COURT: Who is the other witness that you have?
16
17
       MR. SULLIVAN: Ryan Ashton. He's been mentioned
   already.
18
        THE COURT: That will be fairly short.
19
       MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, he's not going to be that
20
21
   long.
2.2
        THE COURT: Do you know whether or not -- will you
   be calling any witnesses?
23
24
       MR. CAMERON: Your Honor, I'm anticipating we'll be
   calling one witness. That will, again, be up to my
25
```

```
1
    client, but that's my understanding as --
        THE COURT: I didn't hear all that. I'm sorry.
2
3
       MR. CAMERON: I'll get close to the mic.
   anticipating one witness, Your Honor. That will be up
4
   to my client at the time, but that's my understanding
5
   as we stand here today, he will be testifying.
6
7
        THE COURT: You think it's likely that the
   defendant will testify?
8
       MR. CAMERON: I believe it is likely that he will
9
10
   testify.
        THE COURT: Okay. We'll take a recess when we get
11
    to that point and I'll give him the admonitions at that
12
13
   point then, if that's all right.
       MR. CAMERON:
                     That's fine.
14
15
        THE COURT: Let me know -- if that's the only
16
   witness, then just signal to me that it's a good time
17
   to take a recess and I'll take one. Thank you.
       MR. CAMERON: I can tell the Court right now that
18
19
   will be the only witness we call if we call a witness.
        THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, I think we'll
20
21
   definitely finish this this afternoon then and
2.2
   definitely give the instructions to the jury this
   afternoon. So what I'll plan to do is take a recess
23
   when the government rests. Then we'll deal with
24
25
   whatever issues we have at that point. And then if
```

```
there is testimony, fine. If there is no testimony,
    then I'll go ahead and instruct at that point. And
 2
    then we'll have the final arguments. I do instruct
    first.
        All right. I'll see you at 1:15. Thank you very
 5
   much.
 6
          (The lunch recess was taken at 12:10 p.m.)
 7
                             --000--
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
      RENO, NEVADA; TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 2016; 1:22 P.M.
                            --000--
2
3
        THE COURT: We're still waiting for one juror.
                                                         Ι
   would say I'll wait until about --
4
        What's that?
5
        MS. HUBBARD: She rode up in the elevator with me.
6
7
        THE COURT: Okay. So she should be here very soon
           Okay. I thought I would wait until 1:30 and
8
9
    then make a decision on what to do, but if she's on her
10
   way up, we're ready to go. Thank you.
11
                  (Pause in the proceedings.)
                (In the presence of the jury.)
12
13
        THE COURT:
                    The jurors are all present. You may
   all be seated.
14
15
        Mr. Cameron, you may continue.
16
        MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Your Honor.
   BY MR. CAMERON:
17
            Special Agent, going back to the date of your
18
    interview with Mr. Ford, do you recall advising him
19
20
   that if he was upset or wanted to call somebody, he
21
   should call you rather than the White House?
2.2
        Α
            Yes.
            Okay. And you made that offer because in the
23
   state he was in you didn't want him calling back to the
24
25
   White House, you would rather have him call you?
```

```
1
        Α
            No, I made that number so I could capture his
    cell phone number. I made that offer so I could
2
    capture his cell phone number should he choose to call.
3
            It wasn't any concern about him being upset or
4
    anything, you wanted to try to capture the cell phone
5
   number?
6
7
        Α
            Yes.
            Okay. And he did call you, didn't he?
8
        Q
9
        Α
            Yes.
10
        Q
            How many times did he call you?
11
        Α
            I have it written down exactly how many, but
    off the top of my head, between seven and ten.
12
13
            Okay. He called you seven and ten times over
14
    what period of time?
15
        Α
            Two days.
16
            Two days or one day?
            Two days, I think. I think the first day was
17
        Α
18
    seven-ish and then the second day was three and then
    the calls stopped.
19
            Okay. But he was committed at the Veterans
20
        Q
21
    Hospital from the 2nd to the 9th.
2.2
            It must have been early in the 2nd, because
    I --
2.3
24
                   It could have been two days?
        Q
            Okay.
            I can check the records. It might have been
25
        Α
```

1 early in the morning before he was committed, but I believe that there were a couple of calls on the 2nd. 2 3 I can check the records. I actually have them. Now, did you speak with him or did you record 4 the messages? 5 I did not speak with him. He left messages. Α 6 Ι 7 listened to the messages and then deleted them. Okay. So all those messages have been deleted. 8 9 You no longer have them? 10 Α No. Do you recall what the message or the tenor of 11 Q the messages were? In your report you view them as 12 13 rambling and nonsensical. I was listening -- so I just deleted 14 А Correct. 15 It was the same stuff that we were -- that I heard when I had talked to him on the 1st. 16 So he was again delusional to the effect that 17 he thought he was a biblical person and quoting 18 scripture? 19 I don't know what his state of mind was. 20 I can just say what he was saying. 21 2.2 What was he saying then if you can recall? know that you don't have the recordings. 23 Α I don't have the recordings, but, again, 24

referring to biblical scripture, world events, just

1 ramblings that I couldn't put together. So he wasn't making any sense at all? 0 2 3 Α No. Q On the 1st or the 2nd? 4 Α Correct. 5 MR. CAMERON: Can I have the Court's indulgence one 6 7 I may have asked this series of questions that I'm looking at now, so I don't want to go through it 8 9 again. 10 I think that's all I'm going to ask, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 Do you have redirect? 13 MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, I do, Your Honor. 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SULLIVAN: 15 Special Agent Cheretis, when did you receive 16 0 the VA records that Mr. Cameron asked you about? 17 it before or after the grand jury presentation? 18 Α After. 19 Okay. And the conversation that you had with 20 21 Mr. Ford on the 1st, you characterized it as him 2.2 talking about biblical stuff and other things; is that 2.3 correct? 24 Α Initially we couldn't have a conversation No. 25 because of the rantings, but then after Mr. Ford calmed

```
1
   down, we actually did have a conversation.
            And was it a logical conversation that you had
2
3
   with him? I mean logical in the sense were you able --
   was he able to understand you?
4
        Α
            Yes.
5
            And were you able to understand him then?
6
        0
7
        Α
            Yes.
            And how long did that conversation last?
        Q
8
9
        Α
            It couldn't have been more than four to five
10
   minutes.
        Q
            The person that -- the person that identified
11
   himself to you as Steven Eugene Ford, and also I think
12
13
   you stated one time he also said his name was Elezar
   Melchizedek, that person -- do you see that person here
14
15
   in the courtroom, the person you arrested?
16
        Α
            Yes.
            Could you point him out for the record and
17
    identify him?
18
        MR. CAMERON: Your Honor, we'll stipulate to
19
    identification. Mr. Ford is sitting right next to me.
20
21
        THE COURT: The record will so reflect. Thank you.
2.2
        MR. SULLIVAN:
                       No further.
                    Thank you, Mr. Sullivan.
23
        THE COURT:
        Mr. Cameron, do you have anything else?
24
25
        MR. CAMERON:
                      I do, Your Honor. And I think I can
```

1 sum it up in just a few questions to the officer. RECROSS EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. CAMERON: 3 So he was going in and out of coherency during 4 the time that you were interviewing him? Sometimes you 5 could understand, sometimes you couldn't? 6 I think he could understand what I was 7 Α No. asking, but his answer didn't make sense to me, if that 8 9 makes sense to you. I think it does. I mean, the answers you got 10 0 were nonsensical and rambling? 11 Correct. I believe he understood what I was Α 12 13 asking, but the reasoning for why he did it didn't make sense to me for the answer he provided as to why he 14 15 made the call, why he made the threats. It didn't make 16 sense to me why he -- his reasoning. Okay. I think that's a fair answer. I will 17 ask you, though, sir, he was not in a good state when 18 19 you first started your conversation with him; correct? Α Correct. 20 21 And you certainly don't have any idea what 2.2 state or mental state he was in when he made these calls; correct? 23 I have no idea. Α 2.4 25 Q Okay.

1 Α Correct. Mr. Sullivan asked you about when you received 2 3 the Department of Veteran Affairs records for his hospital stay from the 2nd to the 9th. Do you recall 4 what date that was? 5 Α I don't recall what date it was, but it was way 6 7 after. Do you recall when you ordered them? Q 8 9 I do recall -- I recall ordering the Veteran 10 Affairs records. I wasn't targeting his stay there from the 2nd, I believe it was, until the 8th. 11 didn't even know that had happened until after I 12 13 received the records. You had actually ordered twice, didn't you, 14 15 because they came in two packets? 16 Α I think we issued one subpoena. 17 But they came in two different packets? 0 Α I believe so. 18 19 MR. CAMERON: And if I could approach the witness, Your Honor, I would like to have him -- just ask him if 20 21 he recognizes this packet. 2.2 THE COURT: That's fine. BY MR. CAMERON: 2.3 You can take your time. 24 Q

Yes, this is -- I don't want to go through and

25

Α

```
1
   read the whole thing, but the format is the same, and I
   do recognize the packet.
2
            And that's the VA medical records from the
3
   2nd to the 9th that you received on Mr. Ford's stay
4
   there?
5
        Α
            Yes.
6
7
        MR. CAMERON: Your Honor, at this time I would ask
   to have that admitted as an exhibit as records that he
8
9
   had received in the course of his investigation that he
10
   reviewed.
        MR. SULLIVAN: We object, Your Honor. There's no
11
12
    foundation from the VA personnel. It's hearsay.
13
   not a competent witness to authenticate these records.
                    The objection is sustained.
14
        THE COURT:
15
        MR. CAMERON: Okay. I'll get it back, Your Honor.
16
        THE COURT:
                    Okay.
   BY MR. CAMERON:
17
            And, sir, is there any reason that you deleted
18
19
    the messages that you got from him other than they were
   nonsensical and you couldn't understand them?
20
21
        Α
            That's the reason.
2.2
        MR. CAMERON: That's all I have, Your Honor.
        THE COURT:
23
                    Thank you.
        Anything else, Mr. Sullivan?
24
25
        MR. SULLIVAN: No, Your Honor.
```

```
All right. Thank you. You're excused.
1
        THE COURT:
        You may call your next witness.
2
        THE WITNESS: Do I just leave this up here?
 3
        THE COURT: That would be fine. Thank you.
4
        MR. SULLIVAN:
                       The government calls Ryan Ashton.
5
          (The oath was administered to the witness.)
6
7
        THE WITNESS: I do.
        THE CLERK: Will you please state and spell your
8
    full name for the record.
9
10
        THE WITNESS: My name is Ryan Ashton, R-y-a-n,
11
   A-s-h-t-o-n.
12
                          RYAN ASHTON,
               having been first duly sworn, was
              examined and testified as follows:
13
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
14
   BY MR. SULLIVAN:
15
16
        Q
            And what city and state do you reside in?
            Sparks, Nevada.
17
        Α
18
        0
            And are you currently employed?
19
        Α
            I am.
20
        Q
            How so?
21
            I work for the Reno Police Department.
        Α
22
            In what capacity?
        Q
            I'm assigned to the Financial Crimes Unit.
23
        Α
2.4
        Q
            As a detective?
25
        Α
            Yes.
```

1 0 And how long have you worked for the Reno Police Department? 2 I've worked there for just over 12 years. 3 Α What is your current assignment with Reno PD? 4 Q So I'm assigned to the Financial Crimes Unit as 5 a detective, but I work full-time for the U.S. Secret 6 7 Service. It's called the Electronic and Financial Crimes Task Force. How long have you been doing that? 9 Q 10 Α Since January of this year. 11 And did you -- while you were working with the Q United States Secret Service task force did you have 12 13 occasion to participate in any part of the investigation of Steven Eugene Ford? 14 Α I did. 15 16 And do you know -- when were you involved in that investigation? 17 18 Α March of this year. 19 Q What day? Α March 1st. 20 21 Okay. And what exactly was your involvement? 0 2.2 I was there just to assist with an investigation that originated from that location. 23 24 Q And did there come a point in time -- what type

of allegation were you investigating?

```
1
            It was some threats that were made against the
    President of the U.S. made to the White House.
2
3
        0
            All right. And did you try to find the
    individual that had allegedly made the threats?
4
            We did.
        Α
5
            And when was that?
        0
6
7
        Α
            The same day, March 1st, 2016.
            At about what time?
8
        Q
            Approximately 1400. Sorry. 2:00 o'clock p.m.
9
        Α
10
        Q
            Okay. And where did you go exactly?
            It was on Evans Street here in Reno downtown.
11
        Α
12
            Okay. And did you find an individual at that
        0
13
    address?
14
        Α
            We did in room No. 206.
15
        Q
            And did that person identify himself?
            He did.
16
        Α
17
            And can you give us the name?
        0
18
        Α
            Steven Ford.
19
        Q
            And do you know if this person was read his
    constitutional rights?
20
21
        Α
            He was.
2.2
        Q
            Did he seem to understand them?
        Α
            He did.
23
24
            Can you tell us about the initial encounter
        Q
25
    with this person? Did he let you in the apartment?
```

1 He did not. When we initially contacted him -the door was knocked on -- Mr. Ford opened the door 2 3 pretty abruptly, stepped outside, and then closed the door behind him. 4 Did you identify yourselves? 5 Q We did. Α 6 7 Q And did you tell him why you were there? So Special Agent Cheretis was the one that had Α 8 9 contact with him and explained to him why we were 10 there. Q Was he doing most of the talking with Mr. Ford? 11 12 Α Yes, he was trying to. 13 Okay. Did there come a point in time when Special Agent Cheretis talked to him about some alleged 14 15 threats that were made against the White House? 16 Α Yes. And did he acknowledge the threats? 17 0 He did. Α 18 19 Q Did he acknowledge making the threats? Α He did. 20 21 Do you recall if he identified himself in any 2.2 other fashion while you were talking to him? This was outside the door in the hallway? 23 24 Α Correct, it was in the hallway. He identified himself. 25 I don't remember the exact first name, but

the last name he used was Melchizedek.

- Q Okay. And do you recall what he said about the threats?
 - A He was asked about the threats and he said that he had made them and that it was his duty as a good Catholic to rid the world of evil.
 - Q Did he say anything else or add anything else?
- A Just a lot of just kind of nonsensical rambling, just a lot of talking.
 - O About what?

1

4

5

6

7

10

15

2.2

- A He said that he wanted us to kill people and
 then kill ourselves. He said that he wanted us to kill
 him, that he was going to kill himself with a pipette
 that he had installed in his chest.
 - Q Okay. Was he arrested then?
- 16 A He was not.
- Q Did there come a point in time when he was arrested?
- 19 A Yes, on March 10th of this year.
- Q And did you participate in that arrest?
- 21 A I did.
 - Q Who else was present?
- A It was Special Agent Cheretis, Special Agent
 DeLuca from Secret Service. And then from Reno Police
 Department was Officer Espinoza and Officer Welch along

```
with myself.
            Did you have any trouble arresting Mr. Ford?
2
            No.
3
        Α
            Was this done pursuant to an arrest warrant?
4
        Q
        Α
            It was.
5
            Did he give you consent to search his
6
        0
7
    apartment?
            Yes, he did.
8
        А
            Did you seize any evidence in his apartment?
9
        Q
            We did.
10
        Α
            What did you seize?
11
        Q
            It was a LG flip phone, cell phone.
12
        Α
13
            Okay. And why did you seize that particular
    piece of evidence?
14
            Because the number that had called the White
15
16
    House that was used to make the threats, that phone
    number was documented. And while we were inside the
17
    apartment, I called that phone number from my cell
18
    phone after blocking my phone number and that LG cell
19
    phone rang, so we presumed that it was the same phone
20
21
    that was used to call the White House and make the
2.2
    threats.
            Okay. And do you see the person here in the
23
    courtroom today that was arrested on March 10th, 2016?
24
        Α
            I do.
25
```

```
1
        Q
            Could you identify him for the record?
                      Again, Your Honor, we'll stipulate to
        MR. CAMERON:
 2
    identification.
 3
        THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
 4
        MR. SULLIVAN:
                       Thank you.
 5
    BY MR. SULLIVAN:
 6
 7
        Q
            Now, have you had occasion to look at that cell
    phone and look at its call log?
 8
            I did.
 9
        Α
10
        0
            And were you able to determine whether or not
    from the call log any calls were made from that phone
11
    to the Washington, D.C., area, the 202 area code area?
12
13
            I did. So looking at the phone's call log, I
    looked at the outgoing phone calls and specifically I
14
15
    found five phone calls that were made to -- the phone
16
    number was (202) 456-1414 which was the, I guess,
    recipient phone number that the threats were called
17
    against. Of those five phone numbers, the dates
18
19
    were -- February 29th there was four of them, and then
    there was another phone call made on -- it was
20
21
    March 1st.
2.2
            Let's start with the first phone call that was
    made on -- February 29th of 2016?
23
            That's correct.
24
        Α
            At about what time?
25
        Q
```

```
1
        Α
            It was at 10:06 p.m.
            10:06 p.m.?
2
        MR. CAMERON: Will you specify whether it's Eastern
3
    Time or Pacific Time?
4
        THE COURT: That's fine.
5
   BY MR. SULLIVAN:
6
7
        Q
            Do you know what time it was -- did it indicate
    on the phone itself what time it was, whether it was
    Pacific Time or Eastern Time?
9
10
        Α
            It didn't specify which time zone it was in,
    just that it was 10:06 p.m.
11
            Okay. And then was there another call after
12
13
    that, shortly after?
        Α
            There was. There was another call at
14
15
    10:21 p.m. the same day.
            And was that call to the same number?
16
        0
17
        Α
            It was.
            To which number again?
18
        Q
            (202) 456-1414.
19
        Α
            Okay. And then you mentioned that there were
20
        Q
21
    some more to that same number or other numbers?
2.2
            There were -- in addition to those two,
    specifically to that phone number there were three more
23
24
    calls that were very short duration, less than a minute
           And then there were also other area code 202
25
    each.
```

```
1
    phone numbers that were called. I didn't specifically
    look into those ones just because I wasn't sure of
 2
    their relation to the case.
 3
            Okay.
                   Thank you.
 4
        MR. SULLIVAN: No further questions.
 5
        THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 6
 7
        Mr. Cameron, do you have any questions?
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
 8
   BY MR. CAMERON:
 9
10
        0
            Detective Ashton, you went out on the 1st when
    Mr. Ford was first contacted by agents of the Secret
11
    Service?
12
13
        Α
            That's correct.
            And during your direct testimony you said that
14
15
    the agent was trying to talk to him. What did you mean
16
    by that?
            Mr. Ford was extremely agitated and from the
17
    initial onset of him opening the door he started
18
19
    talking constantly.
            And he was rambling nonsensically, if that's
20
21
    the correct terminology that you would use?
2.2
            Yeah, there were times where he was rambling
    nonsensically, but he was also able to engage in
23
    conversation with Special Agent Cheretis.
24
25
        Q
            But at the beginning it was nonsensical
```

```
1
    rambling, if I follow what the testimony was?
        Α
            Yes, that's correct.
2
            And that was nonsensical to the extent that he
3
        0
    was telling you that he had a pipette in between his
4
    ribs that contained poison and urging you to smash it
5
    to kill him?
6
7
        Α
            Correct, or he also threatened to do it
   himself.
            And additionally he was claiming biblical
9
10
    references to being this person of biblical
    significance from 2,000 years ago?
11
        Α
            That's also correct.
12
13
            And that he was encouraging people to stamp out
    evil, including you agents; correct?
14
15
        Α
            That's correct.
16
        0
            Did that make any sense to you from an
17
    observer's standpoint as to what this guy was doing?
18
        Α
            Just in the sense that he was really angry or
19
    upset.
            Angry and upset to the point of being mental?
20
        Q
21
   Did you have a question as to his mental state?
2.2
        Α
            No, I didn't question it.
            Because that wasn't your job or because you
23
24
    didn't think he was having mental problems with the way
    he was rambling?
25
```

```
1
        Α
            It's hard for me to say. I was -- I worked on
    the street as a patrol and police officer for 10 years,
2
3
    so I encountered people of all walks of life, so it's
    hard for me to say.
            There are a slot of ramblers on the streets of
5
    Reno?
6
7
        Α
            That's correct.
                  Now, at some point in time there were
        Q
8
9
    some admissions made; correct?
10
        Α
            That's correct.
            To your recollection did he admit making phone
11
        Q
    calls?
12
13
        Α
            I don't remember that specifically, just that
    he admitted to making the threats.
14
15
        Q
            Okay. And if I follow your testimony
16
    correctly, there were five telephone calls starting out
    at about 10:00 -- I had it here a moment ago --
17
    10:30 -- 10:02, is that -- well, I'll let you testify.
18
19
    When did the phone calls start?
            So according to the phone, the phone that was
20
21
    taken from the apartment, that phone's call log, the
2.2
    first phone call was made at 10:06 p.m. on
    February 29th.
23
            10:06 p.m. would be around 1:06 p.m. Eastern
24
        Q
25
    Time when you figure in the time differences?
```

A Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- Q Okay. And that there were five calls starting then and then ending up sometime later in that evening?
- A The last phone call was made -- so the first four phone calls were made between 10 p.m. and 11 p.m. and then the fifth and final phone call was made at approximately 8:30 a.m. on March 1st.
- Q Okay. So that would have been well after these alleged threats were made?
- 10 A Correct.
 - Q Because my calculation is they were all made at 1:00 o'clock in the morning Eastern Time which would still have been 10:00 o'clock in the evening Western Time, so that's why they're listed as the 29th rather than the 1st?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Okay. Now, do you have any knowledge as to why
 only two phone calls were reported on back there if
 five were made?
 - A Sorry. I don't follow.
 - Q Okay. Well, there's a report of two telephone calls being made that contain these alleged threats, but according to your investigation there were five telephone calls made.
 - A Correct.

```
1
        Q
            Do you have any information from your
    investigation as to what happened to the other three
2
    calls?
3
        Α
            I don't, but, like I said, the three additional
4
   phone calls, I believe one was about 10 seconds long,
5
    another one was 40 seconds long, and I don't remember
6
7
    the third one. So, like I said, they were all less
    than a minute.
9
        Q
            But they were all to the same number?
10
        Α
            Correct.
            Okay. Now, when you went back to effect the
11
        Q
    arrest of Mr. Ford, you got permission to go into his
12
13
    room?
            Yes, we did.
14
        Α
15
        Q
            Did you ever see a cell phone taped to his
    door?
16
            I believe there was one.
17
        Α
            Did you recover that cell phone as well?
18
        Q
19
        Α
            No, because that wasn't the one that rang when
    I called the phone number.
20
21
        Q
            When you went into the room how did it look?
2.2
        Α
            It was in, I would say, a stage of disarray,
23
    messy.
            Do you recall seeing empty alcohol bottles on
24
        Q
    the floor of the room?
25
```

```
1
        Α
            Yes, there were.
            Were there a lot of them?
2
        0
3
        Α
            Yes, there were.
            Were they gallon bottles? Half gallon bottles?
4
        Q
            I can't specifically recall.
5
        Α
            Do you recall what kind of alcohol they were?
6
        0
7
        Α
            I don't remember that either.
            You don't remember seeing vodka bottles?
        Q
8
9
        Α
            There may have been. I didn't --
10
        Q
            You just don't remember. Okay.
11
        But you did call the number and the phone rang;
    correct?
12
13
        Α
            Yes, sir.
            Okay. And you seized that telephone?
14
        Q
            I did.
15
        Α
16
            Did you do any other follow-up investigation
17
    related to this particular case other than seize the
    telephone and look at the phone logs?
18
            I did. So I submitted what's called -- well,
19
    first I found out that the phone number was registered
20
21
    to AT&T.
              And from there I sent an administrative
2.2
    subpoena which is just a request for information
   belonging to that specific phone number. AT&T sent a
23
    response to my work email. I printed it out and gave
24
25
    it to Special Agent Cheretis.
```

```
1
        0
            And those are the documents from which you were
    able to determine that there were five phone calls made
2
    to that number?
3
            I didn't look specifically at that to count the
4
    number of calls made to that phone number.
5
    come up with the five is from the phone's actual call
6
7
    log.
            From the phone's call log?
8
        Q
9
        Α
            Yes, sir.
10
        Q
            Now, having completed your investigation and
    taken him into custody, were you aware of him being
11
12
    subsequently picked up by the Reno Police Department?
13
        Α
            After we arrested him on the 10th?
            No, after you interviewed him on the 1st.
14
        Q
15
            I don't know that he was arrested, no.
16
        Q
                   Was there some interaction between him
    and the Reno Police Department?
17
        Α
            I believe there was.
18
19
        Q
            And what type of interaction was that?
            So when we went there on the 10th, so this
20
        Α
21
    would be about a week and a half after we interviewed
2.2
    him, the two patrol officers from RPD that went to help
    us said that they were -- well, they questioned whether
23
    or not it was the same person that was placed on what
24
```

we call a legal hold. It's a -- technically it's not

```
1
    an arrest, it's more of a detention that's done for
   people who threaten to hurt themselves or other people,
2
3
    among other things.
            It's basically a civil commitment for somebody
4
    who has a mental impairment that needs to be seen by a
5
    psychiatrist; correct?
6
7
        Α
            Yeah, I would say so.
            Okay. And these officers had picked him up the
8
9
    following morning?
10
        Α
            I don't know if it was those specific officers.
    They just mentioned hearing a call about an individual
11
12
    with a knife that was threatening other people and was
13
    placed on a hold.
        MR. CAMERON: Okay. That's all the questions I
14
15
    have. Well, I do have one other, Your Honor.
16
    sorry.
    BY MR. CAMERON:
17
            As nonsensical and rambling as he was when you
18
19
    first arrived, you don't have any idea what his mental
    state was when he allegedly made these calls, do you?
20
21
        Α
            No.
                 I'm not qualified to make those kind of
2.2
    judgments.
                I know I wasn't --
            And you weren't there?
23
        0
            On the 1st or when he was taken --
        Α
24
            When the calls were made.
25
        Q
```

```
1
        Α
            No, of course not.
                   That's all I wanted to establish.
                                                       Thank
        0
            Okav.
2
3
   you.
        MR. SULLIVAN:
                      No further questions, Your Honor.
4
        THE COURT:
                    Thank you. You're excused.
5
        The government may call their next witness.
6
7
        MR. SULLIVAN: The government rests its case, Your
   Honor.
8
9
        THE COURT: Okay. The government has rested its
10
   case, so I'm going to take a brief recess at this
            I'll call you back shortly. It should only
11
    take us a few minutes and then I'll call you back.
12
13
   Please do not discuss the case during the recess.
   Thank you.
14
15
              (Outside the presence of the jury.)
16
        THE COURT:
                    All right. We've convened outside the
   presence of the jury.
17
        Do you have any witnesses that you intend to call?
18
19
        MR. CAMERON: Your Honor, prior to that I would
20
   make a motion to the Court regarding Count Two, the
21
   alleged threat against the White House operator. And I
2.2
   move to have that count dismissed since there hasn't
   been evidence adduced that the jury could find beyond a
23
   reasonable doubt that he's quilty of that crime.
24
25
        THE COURT:
                    All right.
```

```
1
       MR. CAMERON:
                      In this particular case, if the Court
   wants to just make a ruling, that's fine. Otherwise
2
3
    I'll explain my reasoning.
        THE COURT: Well, you know, I think there is
4
   evidence that would support the separate threat against
5
   the operator factually based upon the testimony that's
6
7
   been given and the language used, and so it is a jury
   issue in my opinion. So that motion is denied.
8
9
       MR. CAMERON:
                      That's fine, Your Honor.
10
        In regards to the Court's other inquiry, I have
   discussed with Mr. Ford his right to testify or not
11
    testify and explained to him that's his choice.
12
13
   I've explained to him what merit that would be to his
   case and what amount of risk it may also entail. And I
14
15
   believe at this point in time he's made a decision that
16
   he wants to testify.
17
        THE COURT: That he does want to testify?
       MR. CAMERON:
                      That's correct.
18
19
        THE COURT: Mr. Ford, do you understand that you
   have -- you may remain seated. Do you understand you
20
21
   have the right to remain silent?
2.2
        THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
        THE COURT: And the presumption of innocence
23
   applies throughout the proceedings here.
24
25
   understand that? You have to say audibly "Yes" or
```

```
"No."
1
                        Yes, sir.
        THE DEFENDANT:
2
3
        THE COURT: And that means that you're not required
   to call any witnesses and you don't have to give any
4
   testimony and that can't be held against you. And I
5
   would so instruct the jury. Do you understand that?
6
7
        THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
        THE COURT: You have the right to waive that
8
9
   privilege and give testimony on your own behalf.
10
   you understand that?
        THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
11
        THE COURT: Now, your attorney doesn't make the
12
   final decision on that; you do. Do you understand
13
14
   that?
15
        THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
16
        THE COURT: He can decide trial strategy and he
   examines the witnesses and what have you, but the
17
   ultimate decision on whether you testify is up to you
18
19
   to make. Do you understand that?
        THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
20
21
        THE COURT:
                    If you make the decision to testify,
2.2
    then you are open to full cross-examination by the
   government. Do you understand that?
23
24
        THE DEFENDANT:
                        Yes, sir.
25
        THE COURT: And you can be impeached by virtue of
```

```
1
   evidence presented by the government if you testify.
   Do you understand that?
2
3
        THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
        THE COURT: Okay. Are you freely and voluntarily
4
   making the decision to give testimony?
5
        THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
6
7
        THE COURT: Have you been forced in any way by
   Mr. Cameron to make that decision?
8
9
        THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
10
        THE COURT: Have you been promised anything in
   exchange for making that decision?
11
        THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
12
13
        THE COURT: All right. That's something you are
   doing of your own volition and freely and voluntarily;
14
15
   is that correct?
        THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
16
        THE COURT: And you understand the consequences of
17
   it, if you are cross-examined and the jury doesn't
18
   believe your testimony, that that could impact your
19
   case? You fully understand that?
20
21
        THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I sure do.
2.2.
        THE COURT: It may help your case, it may hurt your
   case, but in any event, you're going to make yourself
23
   vulnerable by virtue of testifying because you can be
24
   examined. Do you understand that?
25
```

```
1
        THE DEFENDANT:
                        Yes, sir.
                    And it's still your choice to do that;
        THE COURT:
2
3
    is that right?
                       Yes, sir.
        THE DEFENDANT:
4
        THE COURT: And you understand what you're doing;
5
   is that correct?
6
7
        THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
        THE COURT: Your responses are very appropriate to
8
9
   the Court.
                I'm watching you. I believe that you fully
10
   understand what we're talking about. So when I call
   the jury back in, then you will be called to testify.
11
   You still don't have to if you decide not to between
12
13
   now and then, but you'll be asked questions by your
   counsel, and then Mr. Sullivan on behalf of the
14
15
   government will have the right to cross-examine you.
16
   Do you understand that?
17
        THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
        THE COURT: And understanding all of that, you're
18
19
    still freely and voluntarily making the decision to
   testify; is that correct?
20
21
        THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
2.2
        THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
        I expressly find that the defendant, one, is
23
   competent to make that determination just based upon
24
25
   the reports that I've had earlier in connection with
```

```
his ability to assist in his defense. At all times he
   has appeared to the Court to be competent to make those
2
3
   determinations and certainly competent to decide
   whether he'll testify and that he has assisted in his
4
   defense here. He's been communicating with his counsel
5
   and he's been very appropriate in his conduct in
6
7
   connection with the Court here, so I believe that he is
   competent to make the decision to go ahead and testify
8
9
   in his defense. And, therefore, we'll call the jury
10
   back and the defendant may go ahead with his testimony.
       As long as I have the jury out, I'm just going to
11
   discuss -- well, I'll discuss the instruction we were
12
13
   talking about earlier on diminished capacity after we
   hear from the defendant, so --
14
15
        THE CLERK: Judge, would you like the defendant to
    take the stand?
16
        THE COURT: We can call the jury back in just a
17
    second.
            Let's go ahead and have the defendant come up
18
   here.
19
        I think it's probably not necessary to have both of
20
21
   you come up here. Okay. Maybe just sit in the back in
2.2
    the corner.
                 That's fine. And we'll have Mr. Ford come
23
   up.
       Do you anticipate that you're going to have any
24
   rebuttal testimony?
25
```

```
1
        MR. SULLIVAN:
                       No, Your Honor.
        THE COURT:
                    So when Mr. Ford is finished
2
3
   testifying, we'll take another recess.
        MR. CAMERON: And, Your Honor, before -- I'm
4
   sorry -- before the jury comes in, I had a brief
5
   opening statement to make. I had reserved --
6
7
        THE COURT: Oh, I had forgotten about that. Yeah.
   That was my fault. I should have remembered that.
8
9
   quess I ought to take two recesses then.
10
        MR. CAMERON:
                      Judge, this trial has been so short,
    I'm just going to waive the opening.
11
        THE COURT: No, I want you -- it's not a problem.
12
13
    It's not a problem at all.
        So let's go ahead and have you be seated over here
14
15
   and we'll just take another quick recess.
16
                (In the presence of the jury.)
        THE COURT:
                    I'm sorry. You may all be seated.
17
        You may make your opening statement on behalf of
18
19
    the defense, Mr. Cameron.
20
        MR. CAMERON: Thank you.
21
        Ladies and gentlemen, in making my opening
2.2
   statement, I always like to reiterate what the judge
   has already advised you. What we say in these opening
23
   statements is not evidence. Evidence is what you hear
24
25
   testified to from the witness stand and the reasonable
```

inferences that you can draw from that testimony.

2.2

My job is a little easier than Mr. Sullivan's job, because a lot of the testimony is already in, so you can see what an opening statement is about. It's supposed to be a roadmap as to what each side believes the evidence will show to you. The final determination of what that evidence says or what it means to you is the determination that you get to make as triers of the fact.

In this case you were promised things in the government's opening statement, that there were phone calls made to the Washington, D.C., White House comment line. I think there's been testimony to show that that took place.

You've also been told that there were threats that were made. And I think the testimony of the first witness indicates that she believes there were threats made and it was her job to write down what those threats were. What you didn't hear was a tape recording of them because none exists. For some reason since the Nixon era they don't like recordings at the White House. And that's something I think some of you that are as old as me can understand and appreciate. But there are no recordings.

There's also evidence adduced that there's a

1 13-minute long call but only 20 to 30 seconds of it were reduced to writing. And in all honesty, the 2 evidence adduced was that all that she remembered other 3 than the fact that the caller was irrational. 4 introduced in the exhibit which is the call log. 5 was an irrational call at 1:00 o'clock in the morning. 6 7 Other than that, we don't know much about that telephone call. 8 9 What we do know from the testimony of the special 10 agent is that there was an immediate response, because these are matters that are important and they require 11 an immediate response. And the resident agent in 12 13 charge here did that. He went and interviewed Mr. Ford. 14 15 The roadmap is pretty clear from there. He was nonsensical. He was erratic. He was babbling about 16 17 biblical scriptural things. He was telling the agents to kill him, that he had pipettes in his ribcage, that 18 they should smash them, if they didn't, he would. And 19 it took the agent quite a while to get him calmed down. 20 21 When he did, he asked him if he had made threats. 2.2 And he said, "Yes. And, in fact, you should join me, because I'm a good Catholic and you're good Catholics 23 and it's our duty to stamp out evil." Even during what 24

was said to be the rational part of the conversation,

he was still irrational in his responses.

2.2

The agent invited him to call him -- and that was testified to -- if there were any other instances where he was unhappy, not to call the White House, to call him specifically. The resident agent in charge indicated that was so he could capture the phone number. I leave that to you as to what that evidence tells you, whether it was to capture the phone number or for a number of purposes, to keep him from making more irrational calls to the White House.

Having said that, Mr. Ford availed himself or at least the telephone number that they had rang at his office ten times. And each of the ten times each of the calls were ranting and irrational and biblical scripture. Again, a man who is not in a right mental state.

That's the evidence that was adduced by the government. But the government goes on, because part of this agent's job is to investigate the validity of the threat, what the mental state may have been of the person making it. He continued the investigation.

He found out there were calls to the Russia Embassy as well, found out that Mr. Ford had been picked up the very next day on a legal 2000, as testified to by both of the law enforcement officers, because he was a

```
1
    threat to himself and others and needed to be examined
   by a psychiatrist before he could be released.
2
3
        The agent testified that his investigation had
4
   shown that he was there for eight or nine days before
   he was reduced from the psychotic state he was in to
5
   one where he could be released back into the public.
6
7
   This is the government's case, not our case.
        What you will hear next is from Mr. Ford himself as
8
9
   to what was going on in his mind, what happened, his
10
   vision of what went on that night, what the
   conversations between the agents were, what he was
11
   doing, where he called, how many times he called, what
12
13
   his recollection, if any, of the conversations were.
        After that the judge will instruct you and you'll
14
   follow those instructions and return what I believe
15
   will be a not quilty verdict in this case based on the
16
17
    instruction of diminished capacity.
        Thank you very much.
18
19
        THE COURT:
                   Thank you. Mr. Cameron, you're getting
20
   your exercise today.
21
        I'm going to take about another five-minute
   recess -- do not discuss this case -- and then we'll
2.2
   call the witness. We'll call you back in just a
23
            Please do not talk about the case.
24
   moment.
25
              (Outside the presence of the jury.)
```

```
1
        THE COURT:
                    I'm going to have an instruction
    revised somewhat and then I'll give a copy of it to
 2
 3
          It will take about three or four minutes and then
    we'll call the jury back. So I'll be right back.
        MR. SULLIVAN: Can we take a quick bathroom break,
 5
    Your Honor?
 6
 7
        THE COURT: That's fine.
                     (A recess was taken.)
 8
        THE COURT: You can take a look at that. We'll
 9
10
    talk about it later when we finish up. What I'm doing
    is just tracking the language from the -- as best I can
11
    from the Christian decision. I'll explain everything
12
13
    about that at the time. In fact, most of the language
    is precisely the language of that decision, but we will
14
    talk about it later.
15
        Okay. Let's go ahead and have Mr. Ford come up
16
17
   here.
        And I understand the government's position on it.
18
19
    I think that's a legal issue for the Court to decide,
    whether or not there's a threshold basis for giving the
20
21
    instruction in the first place. And that was the
2.2.
    concern the Court had on Judge Mahan's case.
   believe at least at this point -- I have to hear all
23
    the rest of the testimony -- that that threshold has
24
25
    probably been met.
```

```
1
        All right. Let's go ahead and bring the jury in.
    We'll talk about it later.
2
                (In the presence of the jury.)
 3
                    You may all be seated.
4
        THE COURT:
                    If you'll raise your right hand and be
5
        All right.
    sworn, please.
6
7
       (The clerk administered the oath to the witness.)
        THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Yes, ma'am.
8
        THE CLERK: Please state and spell your full name
9
    for the record.
10
11
        THE WITNESS: Steven Eugene Ford, S-t-e-v-e-n,
    E-u-q-e-n-e, F-o-r-d.
12
        THE COURT: All right.
                                 Thank you.
13
        Mr. Cameron, you may go ahead.
14
                      Thank you, Your Honor.
15
        MR. CAMERON:
                      STEVEN EUGENE FORD,
16
               having been first duly sworn, was
              examined and testified as follows:
17
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
18
    BY MR. CAMERON:
19
            And, Mr. Ford, what town do you live in?
20
        Q
            Reno, Nevada.
21
        Α
            And how long have you been here?
22
        Q
23
        Α
            Eleven years.
            Okay. And, sir, were you ever in the Armed
2.4
        Q
    Services?
25
```

```
1
       Α
                   I was an aerospace med tech. I went in
   as EOD and then I transferred over to aerospace
2
   medicine and I did -- I went to Brooke medical school
3
   to become a medic. And then I went to Pope Air Force
   Base on Fort Bragg, North Carolina, to do medical
5
   coverage for Delta Force with JSOC.
6
7
        Q
            And were you -- when did you leave the Air
   Force?
            I think it was back in 1990, somewhere around
9
        Α
10
   there.
        Q
            At some point in time were you declared to be a
11
   disabled veteran?
12
13
        Α
            Yes.
           And when was that?
14
15
            I think that was in -- a couple years after I
   left the service.
16
            And the basis for your disability -- in
17
   addition to your knees, did you have a panic disorder
18
19
   and posttraumatic stress disorder?
            I've been diagnosed with posttraumatic stress
20
21
   disorder. I also had a head injury too. I had a --
2.2
   under my right eye I got sutures right there when I was
   in Fort Bragg. And also when I was out doing some drop
23
    zone coverage for the 82nd and also for -- I hit a
24
25
   tree.
```

- Q Did they also diagnose you with agoraphobia?
- A Yeah, they did. I don't like being around a lot of people.
 - Q Okay. Do you like leaving your house at all?
- 5 A Not too much.

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q Okay. You were also diagnosed with a schizoid personality disorder?
 - A Yeah, that's what they tell me.
- Q Okay. Now, during the time that you were disabled did you work part-time as an inventor?
- 11 A Yeah, I have invented some stuff, yeah.
- Q Okay. What are some of the things that you've invented?
 - A One is to knock out drones. It's -- I have a frequency generator. I had one that I could put up to an invisible fence where you can jam all the frequencies of drones coming over -- like over your house or whatever. That's one of the things.
 - Q And did you patent that particular idea?
 - A No, I never -- I haven't had a chance to.

 There hasn't been a drone -- you know, there has never been drones like there are now. Before this day there was no use to have an invisible fence that just knocks down drones.
 - Q But you invented this some time ago is what I

understand.

2.2

- A Yeah, probably about 12 to 14 years ago.
- Q Okay. What about the invention you had to fix the oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico?
 - A I also had to where you could use pipes like lead pipes attached to a water -- a water jet pretty much with high explosives to bring them down inside the actual well itself to cause an explosion. Actually you can also use hydrogen gas to also pump through your line and it would just -- it would collapse the whole oil drilling pipe from below the surface. It would be almost like a small earthquake. It would collapse anything.
- Q And it was your belief that that would stop the oil leak into the Gulf of Mexico?
 - A It would have stopped it for sure. It would have definitely ended it.
 - Q And did you try to get support for these inventions to try to have them commercially used?
 - A Some of the inventions that I have are really not -- you can't really go out and get support for them. I mean, kind of -- you know what a hovercraft is. So you can use that almost like -- to put an IED on it for a long range to help personnel in the Middle East or also have like what is known as a foam bomb.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I think most people have seen what you can do with canned foam when you spray it on your house. You can actually drop that from like 30,000 feet, like five gallon buckets, in an area so you don't cause injuries and get some people's radiators. It also clogs up people's guns so they can't use them. And then when they try to clean them, they have to use gasoline to clean that stuff. So it would make a great -- you know, you would have like almost like a vapor line when you cleaned your weapons. And in the Middle East that would save lives, because they can't use their weapons when you have foam like a .50 caliber or an AK-47. It jams the --And, in fact, sir during and around the timeframe of March 1st of this year, did you call the Israeli Embassy and try to help them in their situation with your invention? Yeah, I sure did. I gave them the foam disbursement which just basically allows them to drop it in an urban setting to take out the vehicles, because you can't drive a vehicle in the Middle East without a radiator. If you block that radiator up --

you know, I imagine you all have seen what happens to a

gets hot. You put foam in the radiator, it knocks the

carburetor from the radiator when it's really cold.

vehicle out completely.

2.2

It also would knock out any kind of anti-aircraft guns, because the foam gets in your mechanism and it doesn't allow the fire. If you do try to fire it, it's going to explode on you. So I gave them that design.

Q And then in this same timeframe, around March of 2016, did you also call the Russia Embassy to try to help them out?

A Yeah. They said they had a jet that went down with no reason why.

Q And that was something you read in the newspaper?

A I read it in the newspaper. I also saw it on TV. But nowadays you can actually make a liquid hydrogen bomb and use a pipette as a detonator. So basically it's a glass pipette with liquid hydrogen. There would be a cursory explosion. There would be something you could throw in a briefcase or in a suitcase. As the liquid hydrogen starts to expand, because you can't keep it at those temperatures, it would cause a hydrogen bubble in the suitcase which once that pipette ignite the hydrogen gas around the cylinder, that compression along with the hydrogen bottle itself would also explode.

Q And that was your theory as to how the

aircraft --

2.2

A The Russia aircraft that went down on the Sinai peninsula.

Q And were the Russians receptive to your theory?

A We talked for a little bit, and they kind of got upset with me when I said, "You need to stop bombing, you know, certain places in Syria and go after the place in Turkey." There's some places in Turkey right now that are hot spots for ISIS. Actually they use Starbucks of all places. There's three Starbucks right above Aleppo. And those three Starbucks is where they access the direct web. It also is where they put all their propaganda video.

I said, "That's the places where you need to start getting ISIS and not -- you know, don't be bombing innocent civilians in Syria. Make a few innocent strikes in Turkey."

Q And when you were rebuffed by the Russian Embassy, did you --

A I wasn't rebuffed. They just -- they didn't know what to do with me.

Q Well, did you then call the CIA?

A I called them to let them know that I talked to the Russians. That was about it.

Q Okay. Now, do you believe that you were being

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

investigated by the government prior to the March incident? Α Yes. And was that as a result of a conversation you had on a bus ride to Washington State? Α No, Sak'N Save. No. Sometimes I -- people will sometimes ask me, you know, "What do you think of this idea?" or "Do you have an idea about this?" ask me, you know, "How would you solve this problem?" So I might take a bus ride up to Moses Lake in Washington and on the way up there I might read a manual or listen to something. And on the way back, you know, I can drop a manual off or whatever, so --And, in fact, sir, is it your belief that you were contacted on a bus ride to the lake in Washington by someone who was giving you the schedule for ICBMs that were being transported about the country? Somebody said, "How would -- what would be the easiest way to do a quick response time for an ICBM?" The most quickest way you could do that is by having a tube launcher, which your ICBM goes into like a submarine. You've seen nuclear submarines with a tube. You put that tube in like a storage container and have it -- like an ROV to where you could actually

drop it off the coastline.

2.2

And what would be the response time from the time it takes off -- let's say if you wanted to hit New York City and/or Washington, D.C., and make it look like a terrorist got in there, instead it was an ICBM or even a cruise missile, you would want to drop the storage container off the coast of Washington, D.C., or New York. And your response time, you would have like five minutes from the time that storage container went vertical to launch to hit a major city.

Q And did you, sir, remember calling Edwards Air Force Base to advise them of this?

A There was things that the Russias were talking about, but, yeah, I did call Edwards Air Force Base and I did say, you know, "Why don't you show the Russians what we have?" because we have cruise missiles that are stealth and we could just as easy put them in storage containers with ROV -- remote-operated vehicle storage containers that would be almost like a small submarine, but they would be unmanned. They would just float an antenna every 30 days to get an update signal. They would stay stationary until they needed to be moved. The batteries would be hydrogen cell batteries so they wouldn't have an internal resistance so you would just keep on going and going and going. So yeah. But that

1 was back in November. So yeah. As a result did you get visited by the Reno 2 3 Police Department and tell you not to call them anymore? 4 Α They didn't like me calling Edwards Air Force 5 Base and talking to a private, so yeah. 6 7 Q Okay. Now, sir, getting back to on or about March 1st of this year, what were your feelings towards the government of the United States? Well, I love my country. I mean, that's why I 10 Α joined the military. And I was -- I'm a military brat 11 actually. I was conceived at Edwards Air Force Base. 12 13 I was born in Hawaii. I was born shortly after the Viet Nam War started. And I was in the Philippines as 14 15 a kid when the Viet Nam War ended living off base. 16 And that's actually where people -- my first episode of posttraumatic stress disorder came from, 17 because at that time in the Philippines it was really 18 19 rough and we had people actually try to come to my base -- off base in the Philippines, off Clark, and 20 21 actually try to kill me as a kid. So I was under the 2.2 age of 10 when somebody first tried to kill me. And what, sir, during this time was your 23 biblical connection with --24 Yeah. 25 Α

Q -- Mr. Melchizedek?

2.2

A Melchizedek, Eleazar Melchizedek. When you're talking to Iranians -- Melchizedek is actually in -- it's in the Old Testament, the New Testament. It's even in the Koran. So if you want to use a name that someone would recognize when you're talking to the Middles East or somebody, that's a good name to use, because everybody knows that name. You could go to any holy book and find that name in it.

Q And did you, sir, adopt that name as your own?

A I use it if I go on line, if I go like at a Starbucks. Actually you can actually access some things on the web and use that name from the Koran and go a lot farther than if you use Steven Ford.

Q And you were investigating activities in the Middle East with the pseudonym?

A Yeah.

Q Okay. And do you often feel a religious calling to where you have to stamp out evil?

A No. I'm a Baptist. I've never been a Catholic in my life. Actually I don't know where they got that from. I'm a Baptist. I actually went to the ministry at a Baptist school. It's called Bible Institute. It was a Baptist school. So I don't know where they got the Catholic stuff at. I'm a bible-breathing Baptist.

1 Q But you're a religious person? Yeah, I am a Christian. Α 2 3 Q And in regards to telephone calls made on the 1st, aside from the Russia Embassy and the 4 Israeli Embassy and perhaps the CIA in that timeframe, 5 do you have a recollection of calling the White House? 6 7 Α No, I do not. I have no knowledge of any threatening phone calls at all. 8 9 Okay. And do you have a recollection of 10 speaking to a White House operator at any time? Α 11 No. And you're aware, sir, that there are 12 five telephone calls from your phone to that number 13 during that timeframe? 14 15 Α From the call logs, yeah, I am aware of that. And do you have any explanation for that? 16 I -- the VA had me on medication where all 17 Α during those times I was unconscious. I actually --18 19 waking up with my door open and me on the floor. yeah, I have very low blood pressure as it is. And 20 21 they also had me on Trazodone, Prolixin, 2.2 diphenhydramine, and a few other things. And, sir, not to be embarrassing to you, were 23 you having a problem with alcohol at this point in 24

time?

```
1
            I do drink, yeah, so I do have a problem with
   alcohol. And I also had back surgery. Also I'm a
2
3
   disabled vet. Also my knees too. So I'm in a lot of
          So I do drink and I do --
            The alcohol that you were drinking, what were
5
   you drinking?
6
7
        Α
            Vodka, vodka or rum. It's depends on how cheap
   it is, so --
8
9
        Q
            Okay. Because you're on a fixed income?
10
        Α
            I'm on a fixed income.
            And how much were you drinking during the
11
        Q
    timeframe of, say, February 27th to the time you were
12
13
   admitted to the hospital on the 2nd of March?
            Well, I'm like my dad. He's a drinker too.
14
                                                          Ι
15
   can probably down a gallon of vodka like, you know,
16
   White Wolf or McCormick probably in about a day and a
   half, not even a day, sometimes two or three days.
17
            And this would be during the same time you were
18
19
    taking your Trazodone and other prescription
20
   medication?
21
        Α
            Yeah, the same thing.
2.2
            Okay. And do you recall having a conversation
   with this gentleman here?
23
            Yeah. He's the one who threatened me with the
        Α
24
25
   SWAT team. Yeah, he said he was going to come back and
```

```
1
    threaten me with the SWAT team. I remember him quite
   well.
2
3
            Let's just go back to when you think the
   conversation took place.
4
            It was -- it was around the 1st, I imagine.
5
    it was around the 1st. I remember that.
6
7
        Q
            And do you recall from that conversation ever
   telling this gentleman that you had some sort of poison
9
    implanted in your ribs?
10
        Α
            That's -- it's -- if you don't want to be
   captured -- I mean, you know, I personally would never
11
   want to be captured by anybody that would want to
12
13
   torture me or whatever. So they call it a kill switch
   where you take a pipette and you fill it up with a list
14
15
   of poisons and you seal it up over a torch and then you
16
    insert it into your ribs. So that way if you ever do
17
   get captured, you can actually do, you know, a rib
   break and you don't have to worry about being tortured.
18
            That's the theory. Have you ever to your
19
   knowledge inserted a pipette between your ribs so you
20
21
   wouldn't be captured?
2.2
        Α
            No.
            So --
23
        Q
24
        Α
            Some of this stuff was -- you know, at Fort
25
   Bragg is where they have like Jay Sofford (phonetic) of
```

1 Delta Force and also like Camp Mackall where the CIA flies him in and out of. So that's where some of this 2 3 stuff you just pick up. Okay. So on the night of the 1st, or I quess 4 it would be the early morning hours of the 29th for us 5 in this timeframe, were you drunk, or do you remember? 6 7 Α I don't remember. Had you been drinking up to that point? 8 Yeah, because it was the end of the month. 9 10 always -- I always see how much money I have left over and then I usually buy all my vices. 11 0 That's when your checks come in? 12 13 No, that's before my checks come in, because I always pay all my bills, my food, and then I buy my 14 15 vices. 16 Q Okay. So in terms of a meeting with special agents from the Secret Service, do you recall them 17 asking you if you had made threats against the 18 President? 19 No, I don't. And I know I didn't volunteer it 20 Α 21 either, because I don't do that. That's -- I don't 2.2 know where they got -- that's them; that's not me. But you're saying that knowing that you don't 23 have a good recollection of that evening? 24 Right, but I know -- I'm a Christian, right, so

25

Α

I know that there's no use of killing those people that 1 they say I threatened, because even like the current 2 3 President, he has to go off and become like the head of the United Nations. You know, if you look at the bible, you know, you really can't kill him. You have 5 to go off and become a globalist. 6 7 Q So you believe President Obama will become the head of the United Nations? Right, I think that's going to be his next job. 9 Α 10 Q Now, you heard the testimony today, especially the young lady from the White House answering service? 11 Α Right. 12 13 Do you know that lady? Q Α No. 14 15 Q Do you know whether she has children? 16 Α No. Would you have any reason at all that you can 17 Q imagine as to why you would ever threaten that person? 18 Α 19 None. Okay. 20 O 21 Α I still don't believe I done it. 2.2 Well, let me ask you this, sir. Have you ever used the terminology "bitch"? 23 24 Α I mean, I've used it before, but, no, not

25

in threats or whatever.

```
1
        Q
            When you've used it before, is that gender
   neutral to you?
2
3
        Α
            Yes. But usually it's for a female doq.
    mean, I don't use profanity like that.
4
            So if the agents testified that you were using
5
    profanity --
6
7
        Α
            Yeah, that's wrong.
        Q
            That's not your usual makeup?
8
9
        Α
            Right.
                    I just don't use it.
10
        Q
            But you don't remember what you did that night?
11
        Α
            Right.
12
            Okay. Now, the following morning did you have
13
    occasion to go to the mini mart or the 7-Eleven?
            Yeah, I went to the 7-Eleven to buy -- their
14
15
    hot dogs are cheap. You can get a hot dog and a Big
16
    Gulp for two bucks.
17
            And on the way to the 7-Eleven -- this would be
    on the morning of the 2nd --
18
19
        Α
            In front of the police station.
20
            -- did anything unusual happen?
        O
21
        Α
            They arrested me and took me to the VA
2.2
   Hospital.
23
        Q
            Who arrested you?
            The Reno Police Department.
24
        Α
25
        Q
            Okay. And were there allegations that they
```

```
1
    were making that you're aware of?
        Α
            They said I was threatening, so that's when
2
3
    they took me to the VA Hospital.
            And, in fact, sir, didn't they say you
4
    threatened to kill yourself with a 10-inch knife?
5
            I had it around, so just, you know, just
        Α
6
7
    like -- it was a hanging knife, but it was just that.
    I mean, they could probably read into that if you just
8
    see somebody doing that. And I think three or four
9
10
    days after that the deputy did arrest somebody for
    having the same knife situation, but he was actually
11
12
    robbing the place.
13
            Okay. But they took you to the Veterans
    Hospital on what's generally termed a legal 2000, in
14
15
    other words, you had to have a mental evaluation before
    you would be allowed to leave?
16
17
        Α
            Right, I did.
            And how long were you at the VA Hospital?
18
        Q
19
        Α
            I think I was there for seven or eight days.
            You were there from the 2nd to the 9th?
20
        Q
21
        Α
            Yeah.
2.2
        Q
            During that time were you diagnosed as
23
   psychotic?
24
        Α
            Yeah, they were saying I was psychotic, I
25
    was --
```

```
1
        Q
            Schizo personality?
            Yeah, schizo personality, suffering from
        Α
2
3
    posttraumatic stress disorder.
            Did they say you were delusional?
4
        Q
            Yeah, somewhat.
5
        Α
            Did they say you threatened hospital staff as
6
        0
7
    well?
        Α
            That's what they said.
                                     That's what they
8
9
    stated.
10
        0
            And what's your recollection?
        Α
            I remember I always quote one verse over and
11
    over again whenever I get upset, and it's -- you know,
12
13
    it's from the Book of Revelations, that thou art worthy
    O Lord to receive glory and honor and power for thou
14
15
    hast created all things and for thy pleasure they are
16
    and were created. That's what I say over and over
    again when I get nervous, but I don't threaten, no.
17
            Do you recall being nonsensical and rambling --
18
        Q
19
        Α
            That's what they said I was.
            -- at the VA Hospital?
20
        Q
21
        Α
            That's what they stated I was.
2.2
        Q
            And did they put you on medication?
23
        Α
            Yeah, Risperdal.
            And how long did they keep you on Risperdal?
24
        Q
25
        Α
            While I was there.
                                 They put me on Risperdal
```

and they took me off all my other medications.

2.2

Q And were you counseled and visited several times while you were there before they could make a determination to release you back into --

A It was every day they -- actually twice a day I was counseled and also I had to go with the group therapy too.

Q Okay. And, sir, despite all the testimony, the fact that you were irrational on the phone, the fact that you were rambling incoherently, the fact that you were nonsensical, the fact that you weren't making any sense at all, do you believe you have mental problems?

- A That's a tough one.
- Q Well, I'm here to get your answer, sir.

A I think anybody who is a Christian would get that diagnosis, so yeah.

Q So you think it's your Christian beliefs they're diagnosing rather than your mental state?

A Right. Yeah, I mean I -- yeah, because I -- I think the medical community don't like Christians and not to mention they also don't like -- I mean, I have posttraumatic stress disorder, like I know that. And I do drink and all that kind of stuff. So I know I probably do have mental issues, because you can't go through a gallon of liquor --

1 0 But even at times you're not drinking and mixing your medication, you hold some beliefs and ideas 2 3 that are not held by the general public as to your ability to divine how to plug the leak in the Gulf of 4 Mexico, why the airliners came down, how to drop foam 5 bombs in the Middle East so there can't be any qunfire, 6 7 those are things that you believe in and have worked on? 9 Α Yeah. 10 Q And that's not odd to you? Yeah, it is a little odd, I think, when you 11 Α look at it from a perspective of further away. 12 13 you're in it, it's not, but when you're looking at -because, I mean, I don't want to see people get killed 14 15 no matter anywhere, especially in the Middle East right now. So that's --16 And your testimony is you bear no malice 17 towards the President of the United States? 18 Α 19 He has to go on and do other things, so 20 no. 21 And certainly the young lady that testified 2.2 today, you don't know her from Adam, had no reason to threaten her? 23 Α That's right. I have no reason to know 24 No. 25 her, no reason to threaten her or do her harm

whatsoever.

2.2

Q And your testimony today is you have no recollection of the verbiage that was testified to by the young lady from the White House?

A I still have no knowledge and I still think it was done. I can figure out ten ways how to do that.

Q What ways would those be, sir?

A One, you could clone my phone. That's the simplest way. And I have a broken phone on my door that all someone has to do is clone your phone and make those same phone calls. They can also clone your phone and listen to your conversations. They can clone your phone and listen to your conversations and then re-edit it. They can clone your phone, tape your conversations, edit it, and then make phone calls to whoever they want.

Q So your theory is it could have been a cloned phone, it could have been reconstructed conversation?

A Right.

Q And as for the interviews with the agents, you simply remember parts and don't remember parts?

A I remember them coming to my door. I remember George threatening me with the SWAT team. That's -- I'll never forget that. I remember seeing the Catholic rings on them and them being Catholic. I also remember

```
1
    the same -- not him but having a run-in with Secret
   Service agents at a Hillary Clinton campaign rally too.
2
3
   And that's when all my mail stopped coming to me and
   that's when I started getting notes under my door too.
            So you feel as though you were being persecuted
5
   because of going to the Clinton rally?
6
7
       Α
            Yeah, because Clinton had a chance to
   kill Osama bin Laden when he was President and he
   didn't take that chance. He didn't do it because there
9
10
   was a Saudi prince with him. If he would have killed
   Osama bin Laden then in that cave where he was hiding
11
   at, then we wouldn't have had 9/11. That was the
12
13
   biggie right there.
           And how is it you know things like this that
14
15
   are at the highest levels of government?
16
       Α
            I was at Pope Air Force Base, North Carolina,
   when -- JSOC was right there. I remember going right
17
    there with JSOC. I remember Camp Mackall. I remember
18
19
   when Clinton came to Pope. That was a big deal too and
20
   that's what everybody was talking about. Everybody was
21
   saying why didn't he kill that no good SOB when he had
2.2
   a chance.
23
            So this is just rumors you've overheard at the
   Air Force base?
24
25
       Α
            Well, usually when you have some stars on your
```

```
1
   shoulder and he's complaining or even anybody in the
    special forces like Delta Force -- when Delta Force is
2
   complaining that the President didn't kill Osama bin
3
   Laden when he had a chance because some Saudi prince
4
   was with him -- and after that you also -- right after
5
   that is when they started getting the expensive
6
7
   speaking engagements from all the universities that all
   these Saudis went to. And, yeah, there was -- that
   was -- that upsets me, because 9/11 shouldn't have
9
10
   happened.
        Q
            Okay. When you were released from the mental
11
12
   hold on you at the Veterans Hospital, where did you go?
13
        Α
            I went home.
14
        Q
           Okay.
15
            I was going to go home and start donating
   plasma. I actually went to the -- to see if I could
16
   donate plasma again. So yeah.
17
            And at some point during that day were you
18
19
   again recontacted by the Secret Service?
            Yeah, they came and arrested me. And then,
20
        Α
21
   again, you know, when they arrested me, they said,
22
    "Don't worry. You'll be out before dinner." And now
    I've been locked up 250 days.
23
24
            Okay. You recall that comment by the agent?
        Q
            Yeah.
25
        Α
```

```
1
        Q
            Okay. And did you, in fact, give them
   permission to search your house?
2.
3
        Α
            Yeah, because I didn't think there was nothing
   to it.
4
            Okay. And did you give them permission to
5
    search a storage shed you had?
6
7
        Α
            Yeah, again, because I didn't think there was
   nothing to that either.
            Because at this point in time you hadn't read
9
10
   any reports as to what the allegations were?
        Α
            Exactly.
11
            Okay. Is there anything, sir, that would have
12
13
   caused you on the evening of the 1st to be angry enough
   to call the White House line and threaten the
14
   President?
15
16
        Α
            No. No. As I said, I don't -- no. No, there
   was not.
17
            Okay. And that's with the knowledge you had
18
19
   been drinking that night; correct?
        Α
            That's with the knowledge I had been drinking
20
21
   and I had been taking my VA medication like I was
   supposed to and everything else.
2.2
            Do you recall being angry and irritated when
23
    the agents knocked on your door?
24
```

Yeah, I might have been, because that morning,

25

Α

```
1
   you know, it's a hangover or whatever, so, yeah, I
   might have had a hangover.
2
3
            Okay. But you don't recall the exact
   conversations you had with them?
4
            I remember him knocking on the door. And then
5
   I remember opening the door, going out, because I
6
7
   didn't know what was going on, because I never like to
   see local police around me, because that's something
8
9
   that I just don't like seeing. And I even told him, I
10
   said, "Please come and knock on my door without these
   guys and that would be just fine. I'm not going to
11
   hurt anybody."
12
        And then we made a deal that he could talk for
13
    seven minutes and I could talk for seven minutes or
14
15
   whatever. And in that seven minutes, that's when they
16
    started -- that's when he started going on his bit
   about coming back with the SWAT team and threatening
17
18
   me.
19
            Okay.
                  And, sir, do you recall making 17 calls
   to the Secret Service office --
20
21
        Α
            No.
2.2
        Q
            -- on the 1st and 2nd?
23
        Α
            No.
            You don't recall making any of those calls?
24
        O
25
        Α
            No, not too much. No, I mean, my phone has
```

```
those things where you can -- it auto dials, so like
    the last number or first number or whatever.
2.
3
            So you don't have any recollection of making
   those calls and rambling on nonsensically about
4
   biblical issues?
5
            No, but I'm always talking about biblical
        Α
6
7
    issues even on the bus. On the RTC bus I ramble, so --
            And who talks to you on the bus?
8
            If somebody -- you know, if you want to talk --
9
10
    if somebody wants to talk about Christianity or how to
   get to heaven, I tell them, you know, trust the Lord
11
   Jesus Christ as your personal savior and Jesus Christ
12
13
   as God and you're going to be saved. So I give them
   the gospel. And from there we go to you believe we're
14
15
    living in the end times. And that's the other thing.
16
   People don't like me talking about the end times.
17
            Have you ever heard voices on the bus?
        0
        Α
            No.
18
19
        Q
            Okay. So these are just conversations with
   people you have on bus No. 2?
20
21
       Α
            Right.
2.2
        MR. CAMERON: Your Honor, that's all the questions
   I have.
2.3
24
        THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Cameron.
        Mr. Sullivan.
25
```

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SULLIVAN: 2 3 0 Mr. Ford, you testified that you were admitted to the local Reno VA Hospital for about seven days, 4 from March 2nd to about March 9th of this year? 5 Α Yeah. Can I get the medical reports and 6 7 refresh my memory? I can't hear you. Can you speak up? Q 8 9 Α Can I get the VA medical reports and refresh my 10 memory? 11 Q But you just testified --Yeah. 12 Α 13 -- that you were admitted for about a week. Q Yeah, about a week. 14 Α 15 Q And do you recall on the day when you were 16 discharged by the VA Hospital, you said you went home right after that? 17 I walked home. Α 18 19 Q You walked home from the VA Hospital? Α Yes. 20 21 And when you were being discharged, isn't it 2.2 true that you told two of the doctors there that you were going to continue harassing the government but 23 would take it down two notches? 24 Not harassing. I said I would take it down --25 Α

```
1
    I would take my lifestyle down a few notches.
            But you told those doctors that you were going
        0
2
3
    to continue harassing the U.S. Government, didn't you?
        Α
            I said I would take it down a few notches.
4
            Okay. Now, if I could show you this exhibit.
5
        MR. SULLIVAN: Do you have a letter opener or a
6
7
    pair of scissors?
        Your Honor, could the record reflect that I'm
8
9
    taking Government's Exhibit No. 1 -- it's a sealed
10
    envelope -- and I'm going to open it and take out the
11
    contents.
        THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
12
13
    BY MR. SULLIVAN:
            Mr. Ford, I'm showing you -- this has been
14
15
    admitted into evidence. It's Government's Exhibit
            And take a look at that.
16
    No. 1.
            Yes, sir.
        Α
17
            Does that appear to be the phone that they
18
19
    seized on the date of your arrest?
        Α
            Not all of it.
20
21
            What do you mean "not all of it"?
        Q
2.2
            When I left the VA Hospital, my cell phone
    didn't have a back.
2.3
24
            Okay.
        Q
            And this one does.
25
        Α
```

```
1
        Q
            But you had a cell phone like that?
            Yeah, I had a cell phone like that, but when I
        Α
2
3
   left the VA Hospital with my phone or this phone, it
   didn't have a back to it. I made them go back to the
   back storage room and look all over for the back.
            Okay. But you're not disputing that you had
        0
6
7
   this cell phone?
            I had a cell phone like that, but mine
8
9
   didn't -- it did not have a back on it.
10
            And you're not disputing that you gave them
   permission to search your apartment, are you?
11
        Α
            That's right. Again, my cell phone didn't have
12
13
             I have a cell phone just like that, but when I
   left the VA Hospital, it didn't have a back on it.
14
15
   said, "Could you please go in the backroom and look for
    the back."
16
           Mr. Ford, my question is you're not disputing
17
    that you gave them permission to search your apartment;
18
19
   correct?
        Α
            That's correct.
20
21
            And you also stated -- Mr. Cameron asked you
2.2
   did you -- you gave them permission to seize your
    storage shed; correct?
23
        Α
                 They had a warrant, so -- they said they
24
25
   had a warrant. "Can we search your apartment?"
```

```
1
        I said, "Yeah, if you have a warrant."
            Do you recall signing a permission form
2
3
    allowing them permission to search your apartment and
    your storage shed?
4
        Α
            Back here at the courthouse.
5
            Okay. But you agree that you did that
6
        0
7
    voluntarily; correct?
            Back here at the courthouse?
        А
8
9
        Q
            Yes.
10
        Α
            Yeah.
            Okay. How long have you been using that cell
11
        Q
    phone, the one that I just showed you, Government's
12
13
    Exhibit 1?
        Α
            I think maybe nine months, something like that.
14
15
        0
            Nine months. And the number is (775) 343-9041;
16
    correct?
            There's like three or four cell phones going
        Α
17
    around, so I have no idea.
18
            Does that sound like a familiar number?
19
        Q
            Yeah, but I don't know what the phone number is
20
        Α
21
    on the one on the door. I mean, that might be it too.
2.2
        Q
                  Let me repeat the number again. You had
    it for several months; correct? (775) 343-9041.
23
        Α
            Yeah, that might be right. One is 41 and one
24
25
    is 91.
            There's a picture of the door phone too.
                                                       That
```

```
1
    also had a phone number.
                   I just want to -- you basically agree
        0
 2
 3
    that that is a phone number that's associated with you;
    correct?
 4
        Α
            Well, yeah, one of them.
 5
            One of them. Now, you basically -- you heard
 6
        0
 7
    Ms. Lilia Claude testify this morning, didn't you?
            Yes, I did.
        Α
 8
 9
            And you heard the threats that she reported;
10
    correct?
        Α
            Yes.
11
            And are you denying that you made those
12
13
    threats?
        Α
            I'm denying that -- I have no knowledge of
14
15
    those threats at all. I did not make those threats.
16
        0
            Okay. And you also heard the testimony of this
    agent here, George Cheretis, didn't you?
17
        Α
            Yeah, I heard all his testimony.
18
19
            And you also heard the testimony of Ryan
    Ashton? You were present here in trial during that
20
21
    testimony, weren't you?
2.2
        Α
            Yes.
            And both of them testified that you admitted on
23
    March 1st later in the day -- threats came in during
24
25
    that morning. Later in the day they're out there about
```

```
1
    2:00 o'clock. You admitted -- there's two witnesses
    there that are testifying -- you're under oath -- that
2
3
    you admitted to them that you made those threats to the
    White House operator.
4
        Α
            The most I would ever have said is I made
5
    calls.
            No, I did not say I made White House phone
6
7
    calls.
            So you deny that you made those threats?
        Q
8
9
        Α
            Yeah. Yeah, sure am. Now, I called Russia.
10
        Q
            I'm sorry. Let me ask a question first.
        Now, your attorney asked you if you made other
11
    phone calls to the Secret Service on the evening of
12
13
    February 29th, 2016, and March 1st, 2016.
        Α
            Right.
14
15
            And you testified you did; correct?
16
        Α
            Right, because I was upset about the SWAT team.
            And you testified that you made a phone call to
17
        0
    the Russian Embassy?
18
        Α
19
            Yes.
            Isn't it true you also called the FBI?
20
        Q
21
        Α
            I might have.
2.2
            Well, isn't it true that you called the FBI
   numerous times, over 20 times, in that same time
23
    period?
24
25
        Α
            I might have. From the -- from what I saw in
```

```
the logs in the evidence, it says that I did.
            And didn't you threaten to fill up balloons
2
   with anomia and bleach and throw them into
3
   kindergarten, first, second and third grade classes?
        MR. CAMERON: Your Honor, I'm going to object at
5
   this point in time. I object based on relevance as to
6
7
   why conduct of prior bad acts is being entered without
   entering --
8
9
        MR. SULLIVAN: He opened the door to this.
10
        THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection now
   without a further hearing at some point, so --
11
        MR. SULLIVAN: I'm sorry. What's your ruling?
12
        THE COURT: I'm sustaining the objection at this
13
   point.
14
15
        MR. SULLIVAN: Okay.
   BY MR. SULLIVAN:
16
           But you do admit that you did call the FBI;
17
   correct? You may have?
18
19
        Α
            I may have. The evidence is what it shows,
   those phone logs. I can read the phone logs and --
20
21
   that Ashton --
2.2
            Now, when the Secret Service came out to visit
   you on March 1st, 2016, you recall that; right?
23
        Α
            Right.
24
25
        Q
            When George Cheretis came out with the other
```

```
1
    agent --
        Α
            Right.
2
            -- you do remember that; correct?
3
        Α
            Yeah.
4
            That wasn't the first time the Secret Service
5
        Q
   had been to your apartment, is it?
6
7
        Α
            March 1st?
                 I mean, they've been out before. Five
8
   years before in 2010, weren't they out there then?
9
10
        Α
            Not at Evans Avenue.
            No, at a different apartment.
11
        Q
12
        Α
            A different apartment, yeah.
13
            In Reno?
        Q
14
            Right.
        Α
15
        Q
            And do you recall why they came to see you
    then?
16
            Because somebody threatened the President.
17
        Α
    said, "You can't do that." So I was trying to save the
18
    President's life.
19
            Wasn't the allegation that you had threatened
20
    the President?
21
            No. Why would I call the Secret Service and
2.2
    tell them that somebody else has threatened the
23
    President?
24
            Well, I didn't say you called them, but they
25
        Q
```

```
came to talk to you.
        Α
            I called them. No, I called them. I'm the one
2
   who called them.
3
            Okay. But you do recall them coming out and
4
   talking to you?
5
            Right, because somebody in the complex was
        Α
6
7
   threatening.
            Somebody said, "Who wants to kill the
8
   President?" Correct?
9
10
        Α
            I'm not sure about the exact words. They said
   they wanted to kill Obama as they was walking by my
11
   window. And then I called the Secret Service, because
12
13
   I didn't want -- I said, "You can impeach him, but
   don't kill him."
14
15
        And then I went on to say, "Go ahead and try to
16
    impeach a constitutional attorney who's trained in the
   Constitution." I'm the one who called the Secret
17
    Service on somebody else.
18
19
        MR. SULLIVAN: No further questions, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Sullivan.
20
21
        Mr. Cameron, do you have any redirect?
2.2
        MR. CAMERON: I do, Your Honor.
                     REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23
   BY MR. CAMERON:
24
           And on the incident, the earlier incident with
25
        Q
```

```
the Secret Service that Mr. Sullivan questioned you
   about, you weren't arrested on that, were you?
2
3
        Α
            No.
                 They came out and they talked to me and I
   told them -- I was living in another apartment complex,
4
   and I was having disagreements with the manager.
5
   it was also right around the time of the oil spill.
6
7
   submitted my plans. And people were really upset with
   that whole oil spill. I think somebody committed
8
9
    suicide over losing their ability to shrimp.
10
        And that's when they walked by my window and they
    said, "Well, we'll just kill the President."
11
        And I said, "Well, you can't do that." I said,
12
13
    "You can impeach him, but you can't kill him."
            And not to cut you short, sir, but clearly
14
15
   after interviewing you, you were not arrested?
16
        Α
            I was not arrested. I was not arrested. And
    they said, "If you know who it is that was yelling
17
    that, let us know and we'll come back and we will get
18
    them out of here."
19
        MR. CAMERON: That's all I have, Your Honor.
20
                                                       Thank
   you.
21
2.2
        THE COURT:
                    Thank you. Anything else?
        MR. SULLIVAN: Nothing further, Your Honor.
23
        THE COURT:
                    All right. Thank you.
24
25
        Do you have any additional witnesses that you're
```

```
1
   going to call, Mr. Cameron? Do you have any additional
   witnesses?
2.
3
       MR. CAMERON: I do not, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: Does the defense rest?
4
       MR. CAMERON: We do.
5
        THE COURT: Does the government have any rebuttal?
6
7
       MR. SULLIVAN: No, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: All right. All of the evidence that
8
9
   you're going to hear has now been presented to you.
10
   What remains to be done is for me to instruct you on
    the law and for the attorneys to make their final
11
   concluding arguments. It will take me about 15 or 20
12
13
   minutes to settle the instructions with the attorneys.
   I provided them with copies of what I intend to give,
14
15
   but I need to talk with them about it, so we'll take
    the afternoon recess. We'll come back in about 15 or
16
   20 minutes, and then I will instruct you on the law and
17
   we'll have the final arguments.
18
19
        Please do not discuss this case among yourselves
   during the recess. The jury may retire.
20
21
              (Outside the presence of the jury.)
2.2
        THE COURT: All right. You're excused. Thank you.
       All right. We've convened outside the presence of
23
24
   the jury. I've given you a packet of instructions.
25
   You've had a chance to take a look at them.
                                                 They are
```

```
the same as what I discussed with you this morning
   except for -- and I haven't marked these yet and
2
3
   numbered them, because I'm not sure, you know, what
   additional ones I may have to give.
        First, there has been some testimony that just
5
   occurred that if I understood it correctly may
6
7
   relate -- I sustained the objection as to another
   alleged bad act, but there was also testimony that was
9
   elicited just now that relates to another potential bad
   act. And I believe under those circumstances that I
10
   may be required to give some type of an instruction on
11
   the extent to which prior bad acts might be considered
12
13
   by the jury in deciding the case beyond the issue of
   intent and for no other purpose.
14
15
        Is there any objection by either party if I type up
   an instruction on that?
16
        MR. SULLIVAN: No, Your Honor. I don't see the
17
   number on it, but I remember submitting it, and I see
18
19
   it here, the one that says you're only here to
   determine whether the defendant is quilty or not quilty
20
21
   of the crime charged, he's not on trial for any conduct
2.2
   or offense not charged in the indictment. I think that
   covers it.
2.3
        THE COURT: Well, I'm -- that's in the
24
    instructions.
25
```

```
1
        MR. SULLIVAN:
                       That's one of the instructions
    that's in your packet.
2
3
        THE COURT: That's a pattern instruction, but I'm
   talking about one that even goes "You've heard
4
   testimony of other bad acts, " and that's a separate
5
    instruction. I mean, I'm perfectly willing to go with
6
7
   that one if the defense is willing to go with that one,
   but I would be prepared also to give one on prior bad
   acts with the admonition that its limited purpose of it
9
10
   being admitted is on the issue of intent and for no
   other purpose, but that's up to both of you to tell me
11
   what you want to do on that.
12
13
        MR. CAMERON: And, Your Honor, I'm kind of on the
   horns of a dilemma because I don't think it was prior
14
15
   bad act evidence, because he was not charged. And his
16
   answer to the question was "I didn't make a threat and
   I wasn't the one. I was the one that called it in."
17
   So I'm satisfied, I believe at this point, with the
18
19
    instruction that Mr. Sullivan alluded to saying that
   you decide it only --
20
21
        MR. SULLIVAN:
                       I agree.
2.2
        THE COURT: If both of you will stipulate to that,
23
    I'm prepared to give that one. I have it in the packet
24
   here.
25
        MR. CAMERON:
                      I would be willing to do that.
```

```
1
        THE COURT:
                    I would agree that that's a gray area,
   but I specifically wanted you to know that the Court
2
3
   would be prepared to consider such an instruction if
   you thought it wasn't so gray and that I ought to go
4
   ahead and give that one. I do have the pattern
5
    instruction on prior bad acts for the limited purpose
6
7
   for which they may be considered. So based upon that
   stipulation, I'll give the instructions here.
8
9
        And you're not offering any additional ones, is
10
    that correct, Mr. Cameron?
        MR. CAMERON: I am not, Your Honor, but I was
11
    looking for the one instruction --
12
13
        THE COURT: Wait a minute until I just get to that.
    I'll go on to the rest of them. I want to solve this
14
15
   problem first.
16
        And the government has no objection; is that
   correct?
17
        MR. SULLIVAN: No objection. You're talking about
18
19
   the --
        THE COURT: I'm talking about the other bad act
20
21
    issue and whether I give that or not. You're satisfied
2.2
   with what's in the instructions?
        MR. CAMERON: We'd stipulate to the one that's in
23
    the packet would suffice, Your Honor.
24
25
        THE COURT:
                    Okay.
                           Thank you.
```

```
1
        So now let's go to the packet and put aside
   diminished capacity for a moment. And we'll discuss
2
3
   that in a moment, but first I would like to settle all
   the rest of them, if I can.
4
       Does the government have any objection to any of
5
   the instructions I'm giving except possibly the one on
6
7
   bad acts and the language in that? I mean except the
   one on diminished capacity. Does the government have
8
   any other objections? And I'm not sure if you have
9
10
   objections to it, but assuming there are some, we'll
   handle that in a minute.
11
       MR. SULLIVAN: I'm just going through. I read them
12
13
   the other day when I got the packet. I'm just looking
    through them. Most of them I just wrote "Okay, Okay,
14
15
   Okay."
16
        THE COURT:
                    I'll come back to you.
       Does the defense have any objections?
17
       MR. CAMERON: Your Honor, I'm looking for the
18
   one -- it's the instruction we crafted to replace the
19
   withdrawn Ninth Circuit instruction. For some reason
20
21
    I'm not coming up with it in the packet.
2.2
        THE COURT: Well, the withdrawn Ninth Circuit
    instruction dealt with the -- where it could be -- and
23
   that was the case we were just talking about.
24
25
       MR. CAMERON:
                      I think it's the instruction right
```

```
1
   after the indictment.
        THE COURT:
                    It is. It's the one on the elements.
2
        MR. CAMERON: And the problem that I have, Your
3
   Honor -- Mr. Sullivan and I went over this ad nauseam.
4
        THE COURT: Well, let's -- is it the one relating
5
   to charged in Count Two or Count One?
6
7
        MR. CAMERON:
                      I think it is.
        THE COURT: I want the specific instruction.
8
9
        MR. CAMERON:
                      I'm looking at both of them, Your
10
   Honor.
        No, I'm fine with the White House telephone
11
   operator.
12
13
        THE COURT: All right.
                      The problem that I had was the one --
14
        MR. CAMERON:
15
   and I think I've got it correct -- on the threats to
16
    the President. The intent part of that was withdrawn
   because they didn't like the reasonable man standard
17
    that the Ninth Circuit had.
18
        THE COURT: Correct. And that's not in here.
19
        MR. CAMERON: Yeah. And I know it's not in here.
20
21
   But the problem I have with the instruction is that it
2.2
   was clear from the reading of the case that they didn't
   like the reasonable man standard because that standard
23
   had to be higher than a reasonable man. And there's no
24
   standard elicited in this one other than new
25
```

```
1
    communications would be viewed as a threat against the
   President of the United States. And to me that's
2
3
   fairly nebulous when the standard has to be higher.
        THE COURT:
                    That they intended the threat to be.
4
   It couldn't be just negligence, and it can't be the way
5
   it's perceived by somebody else. A reasonable person
6
7
   standard. So it's a higher standard. It has to be a
   threat and he has to know the communication would be
8
9
   viewed as a threat against the President of the United
10
   States, not that a reasonable person would have
   understood it to be, or that he did it negligently or
11
12
    that he did it recklessly.
13
       MR. CAMERON: But that language isn't in there.
                                                          Ι
   mean, it's just inferred that it has to be a threat.
14
15
    So the Court is okay with me arguing that?
16
        THE COURT:
                    Absolutely.
       MR. CAMERON: Okay. Then I'm fine with it.
17
        THE COURT:
                    Absolutely, because negligence is not
18
   sufficient.
19
        Does the government have any problem with that?
20
21
       MR. SULLIVAN: With this particular instruction for
2.2
    the 871 charge?
23
        THE COURT:
                    Right.
                            I submitted it.
       MR. SULLIVAN:
                       No.
24
25
        THE COURT: Okay. Well, you didn't submit it in
```

1 that precise language. I modified it. MR. SULLIVAN: You did? It looks good to me. 2 3 THE COURT: Okay. Then let's -- so there are no objections that anyone has to any of the instructions 4 except we'll talk about now the diminished capacity 5 instruction. And the diminished capacity 6 instruction --7 I guess we won't be needing that one after all. 8 9 They stipulated that we don't need that. 10 On the diminished capacity instruction, what I have done on that one is take the Ninth Circuit pattern 11 instruction and I've modified it slightly to track the 12 13 language of the Ninth Circuit in the case of United States versus Christian. 14 15 Now, I agree with the government and Mr. Sullivan's comments about the fact that a jury instruction is 16 required only if there is some evidence supporting a 17 link between the mental illness of the defendant and 18 his ability to form a specific intent to threaten, 19 though the evidence may be weak, insufficient, 20 21 inconsistent, or of doubtful credibility. 2.2 In my opinion that language clearly indicates that that's a threshold legal issue that the Court has to 23 24 decide on whether or not I'm going to give the instruction. 25

1 MR. SULLIVAN: I agree. THE COURT: In this case I believe that there is 2 more evidence than in that case on that issue, and the 3 jury will have to make that determination. So I think 4 that that's the legal analysis that I have to go 5 through. And I've gone through that in deciding to 6 7 give the instruction. So now let's look at the instruction. Does either 8 9 the defense or the government have any objection to the 10 language I've used in the instruction which I think parrots pretty closely what is specifically stated in 11 12 the United States versus Christian case where they talk 13 about whether or not the defendant had the ability to form the intent to threaten? And it says, "If any 14 15 evidence -- his ability to form the intent, that is, to show whether or not he could form the intent to 16 threaten." And those are the exact words that I've 17 used here, "form the intent to threaten the President 18 of the United States and/or the White House telephone 19 operator and acted with the intent required to commit 20 21 the crimes charged in Counts One and Two of the 2.2 indictment." So maybe I don't have to talk about it. Maybe no 23 one has an objection. 24 25 MR. SULLIVAN: Here's my point, Your Honor. This

1 is why I wanted you to look at that language. agree with what you've just said, that it's your 2 decision as the judge to decide if the threshold has 3 been met. And I can't dispute, you know, what the case 4 It can be weak or, you know, not a whole lot of 5 evidence to be able to get this instruction, but my 6 7 point is that this case makes it very clear, the language that I was proposing, part of which you did adopt, that the diminished capacity defense, you don't 9 10 get it simply because you may be mentally ill. I think what they're trying to say is you can still be mentally 11 ill and commit a crime. 12 13 What I'm worried about here is the jury, especially after seeing Mr. Ford's testimony and all of the other 14 15 evidence, they're going to go, "Well, he's got some problems here, so I quess he wins." 16 And I realize that you've tried to craft it so that 17 it's just basically you're telling them acted with the 18 intent required, whether it's --19 THE COURT: Yes. And I'm going to allow you to 20 21 arque in closing argument. I don't think it's 2.2 inappropriate closing argument to say that the fact that the defendant may suffer from a mental illness 23 does not defeat the government's position that the 24 intent was formed here --25

```
1
        MR. SULLIVAN:
                       Okay.
        THE COURT: -- as set forth in the instructions.
2
3
   And I think that's a fair argument --
        MR. SULLIVAN:
4
                       Okay.
        THE COURT: -- because I think that's what the law
5
   is.
6
7
        MR. SULLIVAN:
                       Okay.
        THE COURT: Okay. Well, if there's no objection,
8
9
   let's just go ahead and mark these instructions then.
10
        Does the defense have any that they wish to offer
   or the government wish to offer that I've rejected?
11
        MR. SULLIVAN:
12
                      No.
13
        MR. CAMERON: No.
        THE COURT: Let's go ahead, and I hope we come up
14
15
   with the same numbers.
        The first one is -- well, I don't have an
16
    instruction number at the bottom of it. Let's see.
17
                                                          So
    I'll put an instruction number at the bottom of the
18
19
    second page. So that would be No. 1.
        And then No. 2 is "The indictment is not evidence."
20
21
        3, "A defendant in a criminal case."
2.2
        4, "Proof beyond a reasonable doubt."
        MR. CAMERON: Your Honor --
23
        THE COURT: I'm sorry.
24
25
        MR. CAMERON:
                      He did testify.
```

```
1
        THE COURT:
                    What?
        MR. CAMERON: He did testify.
 2
 3
        THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. That comes out. Yeah,
   No. 3 is "Proof beyond a reasonable doubt."
 4
        MR. SULLIVAN: And what should come in would be the
 5
    corollary, the instruction that --
 6
 7
        THE COURT: Yeah. I don't have -- let's take a
    look at --
 8
        MR. SULLIVAN: I submitted it.
 9
10
        THE COURT: Do you have a number?
        MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, I can get it real guick.
11
        THE COURT: It would be nice if they had a better
12
    index here. Let's see. I know the one we're talking
13
    about, and I've given it before, but I don't --
14
15
        MR. SULLIVAN: It's basically you give one or the
16
    other.
        THE COURT: Right, but I just need to find it.
17
    problem is this is an old book and everything is on the
18
19
    computer now. See if you can find that one
    instruction.
20
21
        MR. SULLIVAN: It's 3.4.
2.2
        THE COURT: What is it?
        MR. SULLIVAN: It's the Ninth Circuit 3.4,
23
    defendant's decision to testify.
24
25
        THE COURT:
                    3.4, let's see if we can pull that up.
```

```
1
    Thank you. And then we'll make three copies of it.
        Okay. So that will be the number -- let's see.
 2
    That would be number --
 3
        MR. CAMERON: Your Honor, I did have a problem with
 4
    the reasonable doubt instruction.
 5
        THE COURT: With what?
 6
 7
        MR. CAMERON: I did have a problem with the
    reasonable doubt instruction.
 8
        THE COURT: You know, you're speaking down.
 9
10
    can't hear the last part of what you're saying.
        MR. CAMERON: Well, I have a problem with the
11
    reasonable doubt instruction because it goes through
12
13
    and says if you're convinced beyond a reasonable
    doubt -- I'm sorry. I read it backwards. If you're
14
15
    not -- no, I'm fine. I was looking at the last part
16
    and it looked to me like you're saying, well, if
    there's not enough evidence, you still have to find him
17
    quilty, but the first part clears that up.
18
19
        THE COURT: No, this is stock language.
        MR. CAMERON: I see that now. I was simply reading
20
21
    it wrong.
2.2
        THE COURT: And this one will be -- let's go ahead
    and mark the rest of them.
2.3
        So No. 3 will be the one that Mr. Sullivan is
24
25
    referring to which I will give as soon as I find it.
```

```
1
        Number 4, "Proof beyond a reasonable doubt."
        5, "The evidence you are to consider."
2
        MR. SULLIVAN: Can you hang on one second? Let me
3
   catch up with you. 3, 4. Okay. You're up to 5 now?
4
        THE COURT: Right.
5
        And then 6 --
6
7
        MR. SULLIVAN: Wait. Which one was 5, "The
   evidence you are to consider"?
8
9
        THE COURT: "The evidence you are to consider in
10
   deciding what the facts are, " that would be No. 5.
        Number 6, "In reaching your verdict."
11
12
        7, "Evidence may be direct or circumstantial."
13
        8, "In deciding the facts."
        9, "You are here only."
14
15
        10 is the indictment.
        11, "The defendant is charged in Count One."
16
        12, "The defendant is charged in Count Two."
17
        13, "A threat is knowingly made."
18
19
        14, "The government does not have to prove."
        So No. 15 then is the one we've just discussed
20
21
   about diminished capacity. That's the new one.
2.2
        MR. SULLIVAN: The one that we're inserting.
        THE COURT: The one we're inserting. That's 15.
23
24
        MR. CAMERON: 15 is the diminished capacity
    instruction?
25
```

```
THE COURT:
1
                    Yes.
        16, "The punishment provided by law."
2
3
        17, not to discuss the case.
        And 18 is the last one, "When you begin your
4
   deliberations, "two pages.
5
        Okay. So I will pull up that one on the defendant
6
7
   testifying. So go ahead and take about ten minutes,
   whatever you need, and then we'll come back and we'll
8
   have the final arguments.
10
        MR. SULLIVAN:
                       Okay.
        THE COURT: And then I -- will counsel stipulate on
11
    the record that we have settled the instructions?
12
13
    the record so stipulated on behalf of the government?
        MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor.
14
15
        MR. CAMERON: Yes, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: Does the government have any objections
16
   to any of the instructions to be given by the Court?
17
        MR. SULLIVAN:
                       No, Your Honor.
18
        THE COURT: Does the defense?
19
        MR. CAMERON: I do not, Your Honor.
20
21
        THE COURT: Does the government wish to offer any
2.2.
    that I have rejected?
        MR. SULLIVAN: No, Your Honor.
23
        THE COURT: And does the defense wish to offer any
24
25
   I have rejected?
```

```
1
        MR. CAMERON:
                      No, Your Honor.
                    All right. So they've been settled in
        THE COURT:
2
3
   open court.
        I will get that one and get it to you right now.
4
   Take about ten minutes or so and then we'll come back
5
   and I will instruct the jury and then we'll have final
6
7
   arguments. Thank you very much.
                     (A recess was taken.)
8
9
        THE COURT:
                    Mr. Sullivan, are you ready to proceed?
10
        MR. SULLIVAN: This is the new No. 3?
11
        THE COURT:
                   Yes.
12
        MR. SULLIVAN:
                      Okav.
13
        THE COURT: Just insert that new one as No. 3.
       All right. We can go ahead and bring the jury
14
15
   back.
16
                (In the presence of the jury.)
17
        THE COURT: You may all be seated.
        The jurors are all present.
18
19
        All right. Now, two things remain to be done.
    is for me to instruct you on the law that applies to
20
21
   this case. And then the attorneys will make their
2.2
   concluding arguments and then you'll retire for
   deliberations.
2.3
24
        I don't have the instructions memorized, so I'll
25
   read them to you. I'll attempt to do so slowly so that
```

you can follow along. And then I will provide you with a copy of them in the jury room so that you can refer to them in the jury room. I think that may be helpful. Sometimes it's hard to catch everything when you're listening to it, so I think it's appropriate that you have a copy in the jury room so you can go over them again in the jury room during the course of your deliberation.

Members of the jury, now that you've heard all the evidence, it's my duty to instruct you on the law that applies to this case. As I've indicated, a copy of the instructions will be provided to you for your ability to consult them in your deliberations.

2.2

It is your duty to weigh and evaluate all the evidence received in the case and, in that process, to decide the facts. It's also your duty to apply the law as I give it to you and apply it to the facts as you find them, whether you agree with the law or not. You must decide the case solely on the evidence and the law and must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes, any opinions, prejudices, or sympathy. You will recall that you took an oath promising to do so at the beginning of the case.

You must follow all of these instructions and not single out some and ignore others. They're all equally

important. Please do not read into any instructions or into anything I have said or done any suggestion as to what verdict you should return. That is a matter entirely up to you.

2.2

The indictment is not evidence. The defendant has entered a plea of not guilty to the charges. The defendant is presumed to be innocent unless and until the government proves the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. In addition, the defendant does not have to present testimony to prove innocence. The government has the burden of proving every element of the charge beyond a reasonable doubt.

The defendant has testified. You should treat his testimony just as you would treat the testimony of other witnesses.

Now, you've heard me discuss reasonable doubt, the standard of proof that the government has in this case. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof that leaves you firmly convinced that the defendant is guilty. It is not required that the government prove guilt beyond all possible doubt.

A reasonable doubt is a doubt based on reason and common sense and is not based purely upon speculation. It may arise from a careful and impartial consideration of all of the evidence or from lack of evidence.

2.2

If after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence, you are not convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty, it is your duty to find the defendant not guilty. On the other hand, if after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty, it is your duty to find the defendant guilty.

The evidence you are to consider in deciding what the facts are consists of the sworn testimony of witnesses, exhibits received into evidence, and any facts to which the parties have stipulated or agreed.

In reaching your verdict you may consider only the testimony and exhibits received in evidence. The following things are not evidence and you may not consider them in deciding what the facts are:

Questions, statements, objections, and arguments by the lawyers are not evidence. The lawyers are not witnesses. Although you must consider a lawyer's questions to understand the answers of the witness, the lawyer's questions are not evidence. Similarly, what the lawyers have said in their opening statements, what they'll say in a few moments in their closing arguments is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but those statements are not evidence. If the facts as you

remember them differ from the way the lawyers state them, your memory of the facts controls.

2.2

Any testimony that I excluded or struck or instructed you to disregard is not evidence. In addition, some evidence was received only for a limited purpose. When I gave you such an instruction, you're bound by it.

Finally, anything you may have seen or heard when the court was not in session is not evidence. You're to decide the case solely on the evidence received here at the trial.

Now, evidence may be both direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence, that is, proof of one or more facts from which you can find that another fact exists.

You are to consider both direct and circumstantial evidence. Either can be used to prove any fact. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. It is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

In deciding the facts in the case, you have to decide what testimony to believe and what testimony not

1 to believe. You may believe everything a witness says
2 or part of it or none of it.

2.2

In considering the testimony of a witness, you may take into account the following -- and this is not an exhaustive list -- the witness's opportunity and ability to see or hear or know the things testified to; the witness's memory; the witness's manner while testifying; the witness's interest in the outcome of the case, if any; the witness's bias or prejudice, if any; whether other evidence contradicted the witness's testimony; the reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all the evidence; and any other factors that bear on believability.

The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testified. What is important is how believable the witnesses were and how much weight you think their testimony deserves.

You are here only to determine whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty of the charge in the indictment. The defendant is not on trial for any conduct or offenses not charged in the indictment.

Now, the indictment charges two counts. Count One is a threat against the President of the United States, and it's alleged that on or about March 1, 2016, in the

```
1
   District of Nevada, Steven Eugene Ford, the defendant
   herein, did knowingly and willfully make a threat to
2
   take the life of the President of the United States.
3
   Specifically, he told a White House telephone operator
4
   that, quote, "I'm going to kill that president. I hate
5
   him, " end of quote, all in violation of Title 18,
6
7
   United States Code, Section 871(a).
        Count Two is the interstate communication of a
8
   threat. On or about March 1, 2016, it's alleged in the
9
10
   District of Nevada defendant Steven Eugene Ford
   knowingly and willfully did transmit in interstate
11
   commerce from Reno, Nevada, to Washington, D.C., a
12
13
   threat to injure a White House telephone operator.
   Specifically, he stated that, quote, "I'm going to kill
14
15
   you, bitch. I'm going to kill your children by cutting
    their heads off. I'm going to burn you alive.
16
   going to bury you alive, " end of quote, all in
17
   violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section
18
   875(c).
19
        Now I'm going to discuss each of those counts and
20
21
   the elements that must be proven beyond a reasonable
2.2
   doubt by the government before you can find the
   defendant quilty.
23
24
        The defendant is charged in Count One of the
25
    indictment with threatening the life of the President
```

of the United States in violation of Section 871(a) of Title 18 of the United States Code. In order for the 2 3 defendant to be found quilty of this charge, the government must prove each of the following elements or 4 things beyond a reasonable doubt: 5 First, the defendant made a verbal threat by 6 7 telephone to a White House telephone operator that he was going to take the life of the President of the United States. 9 10 Second, the defendant understood and intended the words to be a threat or knew that the communication 11 12 would be viewed as a threat against the President of 13 the United States. And, third, the defendant made the threat knowingly 14 15 and willfully. 16 Now, the defendant is charged in Count Two of the indictment with making a verbal threat in interstate 17 commerce by telephone to a person in violation of 18 Section 875(c) of Title 18 of the United States Code. 19 In order for the defendant to be found quilty of this 20 21 charge, the government must prove each of the following 2.2 elements beyond a reasonable doubt: First, the defendant knowingly sent a verbal threat 23 in interstate commerce over the telephone to injure a 24 25 White House telephone operator.

2.2

2.3

Second, the defendant understood and intended his words to be a threat or knew that the communication would be viewed as a threat against the White House telephone operator.

Third, the defendant made the threat knowingly and willfully.

Now, the government need not prove that the defendant intended to carry out the threat.

You heard me use the words "knowingly and willfully." A threat is knowingly made if the defendant knew and was aware of the threat he made.

A threat is willfully made if the defendant makes the threat voluntarily and intentionally.

The government does not have to prove that the defendant knew his conduct was illegal or that he intended to violate the law.

Now, evidence has been admitted that the defendant may have suffered from a diminished capacity at the time the crimes charged in the indictment were committed.

You may consider evidence of the defendant's diminished capacity in deciding whether the government has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant had the ability to form the intent to threaten the President of the United States and/or the White House

telephone operator and acted with the intent required to commit the crimes charged in Counts One and Two of the indictment.

2.2

The punishment provided by law for the offenses charged in this case is for the court to decide. You may not consider punishment in deciding whether the government has proved its case against the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now, as I've reminded you, you're not to discuss this case with anyone or permit anyone to discuss it with you or in your presence. I know you have cell phones, iPhones, perhaps Blackberries, iPads, the internet and other communication devices. The prohibition against discussing the case certainly extends to emails, text messaging, discussions on any blog or website as well as any network sites, including Facebook, My Space, You Tube, Twitter. During recesses I indicated to you you could use your cell phones to communicate concerning personal schedules and matters unrelated to the trial, but only those calls can be made and nothing involving the case or discussing the case at any time.

And, finally, when you begin your deliberations, you should elect one member of the jury to serve as foreperson of the jury, and that person will preside

over your deliberations and speak for you here in court.

2.2

You'll then discuss the case with your fellow jurors to reach an agreement if you can do so. Your verdict, whether it is not guilty or guilty, must be unanimous, that is, all of you must agree before you can return a verdict of either not guilty or guilty in this case.

Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but you should do so only after you have considered all of the evidence, discussed it fully with the other jurors, and listened to the views of your fellow jurors.

Do not be afraid to change your opinion if the discussion persuades you that you should, but do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right.

It is important that you attempt to reach a unanimous verdict, but, of course, only if each of you can do so after having made your own conscientious decision. Do not change an honest belief about the weight and effect of the evidence simply to reach a verdict.

Your verdict must be based solely on the evidence and the law as I have given it to you in these instructions. Nothing I have said or done is intended

to suggest what your verdict should be. That is a matter entirely up to you.

2.2

Now, I have prepared a verdict form, and it recites Count One and Count Two. After you have reached unanimous agreement on a verdict, your foreperson will fill in the form that's given to you, sign it and date it and advise the marshal or bailiff outside your door that you're ready to return to the courtroom.

If it becomes necessary during your deliberations to communicate with me, you may send a note through the bailiff signed by the foreperson or another member of the jury. No member of the jury should ever attempt to communicate with me except in a signed writing, and I will communicate with any member of the jury on anything concerning the case only in writing or here in open court. If you send out a question, I'll consult with the lawyers before answering it which may take some time. You may continue your deliberations while waiting for an answer from the court to the question.

Remember that you're never to tell anyone, including me, how the jury stands numerically or otherwise on the question of the guilt of the defendant until after you've reached a unanimous verdict or been discharged.

What I mean by that is if after your deliberations

1 you're divided, you're not to advise the court. can tell the court, "We're hopelessly deadlocked and 2 can't reach a verdict." You could send a note to me on 3 that, but it would be inappropriate for you to tell me 4 in that note what the numerical division is of the jury 5 in getting to that point. 6 7 That completes my instructions. You'll have a copy of them in the jury room to consult with them if you 8 need to examine them more closely and more fully. And 10 now we'll proceed with the closing arguments. remember, they're the statements made by counsel, what 11 they believe the evidence shows. Your memory of the 12 13 facts as they've been presented to you in this case will control. 14 15 Because the government has the burden of proof, 16 Mr. Sullivan will make the closing arguments on behalf of the government first. Mr. Cameron then will make 17 the closing arguments on behalf of the defense. And 18 19 because the burden does rest with the government, they'll have the final closing argument in rebuttal. 20 21 Mr. Sullivan, you may go ahead. 2.2 MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you. The Court just went through all the instructions. 23 I don't intend to read them all again, but I would like 24 25 to narrow them down. There are two counts, as you've

```
been told. You know that there's a Count One that
   alleges a threat against the President of the U.S.
2
3
   the government would have to prove for you to be able
   to return a quilty verdict that the defendant made a
4
   verbal threat by telephone to a White House operator
5
   that he was going to take the life of the President of
6
   the United States.
7
        What evidence do you have? It's very
8
9
   straightforward. The government brought in that White
10
   House operator. She told you in detail when she
   realized that she was being threatened and the
11
   President was being threatened, she started writing it
12
13
   down and turned it over to the Secret Service.
        She told you, "I'm going" -- the threat, the
14
   specific threat, basically, "I'm going to kill" -- let
15
16
   me read it correctly here. "I'm going to kill that
   president. I hate him." I submit that that proof
17
    shows that a threat was made.
18
        The next element says, second, the defendant
19
   understood and intended the words to be a threat or
20
21
   knew that the communication would be viewed as a threat
2.2
   against the President of the United States.
        Well, first of all, how do we know it was the
23
24
   defendant? We know that it was Mr. Steven Eugene Ford,
25
   the defendant in this case, because he gave his name.
```

He also used an unusual biblical name that he also used to identify himself, Elezar Melchizedek. And the White 2 3 House operator captured his telephone number from the caller ID, the precise number that was calling in. 4 he called back a second time. It was the same number 5 when he identified himself as Elezar Melchizedek. 6 7 Third, the defendant made the threat knowingly and willfully. Well, did he know what he was doing? he do this? Was it mistake or accident? 9 10 You have the testimony of two agents, Secret Service Agent George Cheretis, who is the case agent in 11 12 this case, and a Reno police officer, a detective, Ryan 13 Ashton, both of whom testified that as part of their investigation they went out that same day later in the 14 15 day when they got to work and got the referral and went to talk to Mr. Ford. 16 And, yeah, he was obnoxious, he was calling them 17 names, and they had to wait for a little bit for him to 18 calm down, but eventually, even though he wasn't under 19 arrest, they read him his rights. He seemed to 20 21 understand them according to the agent. 2.2 After reading the rights -- you will recall, I think, even the defendant himself said this 23 statement -- they kind of had a deal, "Look, quit 24 25 talking. Let me talk for a while and then you can talk

2.2

for a while." But two witnesses here have testified under oath that the defendant stated to them, "Yes, I made the call earlier today," and, "Yes, I made those threats. And if you were good Catholics, you would help me to carry out those threats."

I submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, that that evidence that has been presented here in court today shows you beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant committed that crime to threaten the President of the U.S.

The second count is the count that deals with the several threats that were made against the White House operator, Lilia Claude. The government had to prove first that the defendant knowingly sent a verbal threat in interstate commerce over the telephone to injure a White House telephone operator.

Well, once again -- I don't mean to belabor this -there's the telephone call. I'm not going to repeat
all the threats. "I'm going to kill you, bitch," so on
and so forth, "I'm going to kill your children." All
those threats she copied down.

The second element, once again, the defendant understood and intended his words to be a threat or knew that the communication would be viewed as a threat against the White House telephone operator. Well, once

```
again, as I mentioned, he, according to two witnesses
   who swore under oath this is what he told them, "Yes, I
2
3
   made it, I made that call, and I made those threats."
       And, third, the defendant made the threat knowingly
4
   and willfully. It's pretty much the same as in the
5
   other one, that he knew what he was doing, it wasn't a
6
7
   mistake, it wasn't an accident. And as that
   instruction also says, the government need not prove
8
9
   that the defendant intended to carry out the threat.
10
       Ladies and gentlemen, I'm not going to take much
   more of your time. I submit to you that the government
11
   has proved to you here today beyond a reasonable
12
13
   doubt -- and keep in mind that, as the judge just read
   that instruction -- I'm not going to read it again --
14
15
   it's not beyond all possible doubt. It's beyond a
   reasonable doubt. The evidence in this case is clear.
16
   The defendant is quilty of Count One, he's quilty of
17
   Count Two, and I ask you to return verdicts of quilty
18
19
   on both.
        Thank you.
20
21
        THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Sullivan.
2.2
       Are you ready to proceed?
       MR. CAMERON: I am, Your Honor. Thank you.
23
24
        THE COURT: All right. Mr. Cameron, you may go
   ahead.
25
```

2.2

MR. CAMERON: Ladies and gentlemen, here we are at a quarter to 4:00. I have to tell you, in 45 years of being involved in trials, this is the shortest trial I can ever remember. I think that's because the issues in this case are very straightforward.

There were four witnesses that testified from the stand, and that's where you get the information that you use to decide whether the government has met its heavy burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt in this case. And I will submit to you there are a number of issues in that that I think we need to discuss fairly thoroughly. And I think it would be easier simply to start with Count Two, the alleged threats against the White House operator.

Now, the government has already advised you, as well as the judge, what elements they have to prove to do that and what they have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt. But as a threshold issue, I think you need to decide whether there was a threat at all made against the White House operator.

The language from a 13-minute telephone call that she wrote down covers about 30 to 40 seconds of that call. I asked her that on the stand. She said, "White House," and the person on the other end of the line started rambling and ranting. He was speaking

irrationally. He was excited. He was angry. But what did he say? He said, "I'm going to kill you, bitch."

And she wrote that down.

2.2

But as the agents testified, that's not a single gender word anymore. The agent had heard many times that a male can refer to another male in a derogatory fashion by using the word "bitch."

So from the very outgo has the government proved that's a threat against the White House operator beyond a reasonable doubt? She testified, never heard of Mr. Ford, had no information about him, he had no reason to dislike her. They didn't have any conversation that he could get angry about. She simply answered the phone.

Mr. Ford testifies he doesn't even remember making the call. And we'll get into the significance that has after we meet the threshold burden of whether there was a threat made against the White House operator, because he goes on to say, "I'm going to kill your children by cutting their heads off."

What's the testimony about that? First, this young lady has no children. The government in investigating this case never even bothered to determine that. In the words of the agent, everybody just assumed the threats were against her.

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Well, that's not the burden of proof that the government has, the assumption. They're basically asking you to fill in and do their work for them by saying, "Oh, yeah, we're going to assume it as well." That's not the burden of beyond a reasonable doubt. She has no children. He never knew her. never had any beef. There was no reason for him to make threats against the White House operator, especially against her children that she doesn't have. "I'm going to burn you alive. I'm going to bury you alive. I'm going to cut their heads off." I would submit to you, ladies and gentlemen, if you read the bare words -- and that was all that was offered by anybody, any witness that testified against Mr. Ford, the bare words -- the meaning of those words could be directed towards the President and not towards the operator at all. And if that's the case, and if that's what you believe, then you need to direct your inquiry as to whether those are threats knowingly made and threats that he wanted directed towards the President, to him. There is no case about the White House operator. Just based on the government's presentation, there's no proof beyond a reasonable doubt that they were directed towards the White House operator, because there was no

2.2

need to do that. There was no basis for it. There is no children. Who has children? The President and the First Lady. I think that's all you really need to know as to who those threats were directed towards.

This is a fairly easy decision to make in what could otherwise be sometimes a complex case. If you believe the testimony, that these threats were made, and you believe that there was another 11, 12 minutes of that conversation that nobody knows what the context was, then the government hasn't really proved their case beyond a reasonable doubt, because the context is everything when the words are gender neutral.

Think to yourself. If somebody calls to threaten the President, why would they threaten the operator? And if these words were, "Ms. Operator, I'm going to do this to you because you picked up the phone," then they would have a case. But the verbiage they presented to you and the context or lack of context that they have presented is certainly not proof beyond a reasonable doubt or even a prima facie case -- or even a prima facie case that these threats were made against the White House operator.

Both agents testified they had no idea what state of mind Mr. Ford was in when he allegedly made these calls. The language itself can be interpreted one of

1 two ways. Now, when you have two interpretations that are equally easy to make, neither one has been proved 2 3 beyond a reasonable doubt. But in this case, threats against the operator that answered the telephone, that 4 had no beef with Mr. Ford, that had no children, I 5 would submit this language is gender neutral and, if 6 7 considered at all, should only be considered as additional threats against the President. There's no 9 case for the White House operator. 10 Now, when you get into what this case is really about, alleged threats against the President of the 11 12 United States, you understand what the burden is that 13 the state has. It's proof beyond a reasonable doubt. And that means they have to convince you beyond a 14 15 reasonable doubt that every element of this offense was 16 knowingly and willfully committed by my client, Mr. Ford. 17 Now, I cross-examined these agents from the stand. 18 And I don't have a lot of reason to doubt the 19 credibility of what they said, because I think they 20 21 were fairly unbiased in their testimony. But what did 2.2 they say? The agent in charge got a memo from Washington, D.C., that said, "Hey, go out and interview 23 this guy." The same day, the same day, within hours 24 25 after these alleged threats had been made, he did that.

1 He went out. What did he do? He confirmed what the White House 2 3 operator wrote down on her report, that Mr. Ford was irrational, he was excited, and he was rambling. 4 Not just the resident agent in charge. 5 Police Department officer testified to the same thing. 6 7 He says he tried to talk to him and tried to understand him, but he wasn't making any sense at all. 9 Now, consider, if you will, the fact that the 10 allegations are a threat against the President. According to the agent's testimony, when they go there, 11 after they can calm him down from being irrational, 12 13 rambling, nonsensical, they're able to elicit what they call a confession from him, that he made the calls, 14 15 that there was a threat, and that all good Catholics, 16 all religious people, should follow him and help stamp 17 out evil. Even during this alleged confession my client is 18 19 irrational. You can tell that from the agent's 20 testimony if you didn't glean it from his own 21 testimony. But what supports that? First, every 2.2 witness the government called, starting with the White 23 House operator through the Secret Service agent and the Reno detective, all testified. And the thing that 24 25 really, I think, brings that home more than anything

else is after the alleged confession to a very serious crime, they don't arrest him. According to the agent, 2 it's because he wants to consults with the U.S. 3 Attorney's Office. But you heard the facts. You heard what he testified to. He was concerned about the 5 mental state of Mr. Ford. 6 And what did he find out about that? Well, first 7 of all, he found out that the next day he was picked up 9 by the Reno Police Department, but before that 10 happened, 17 calls to the Secret Service office, 17 calls that were recorded but not kept. But I'll take 11 the agent at his word, all 17 were nonsensical, were 12 13 rambling, were religious pronouncements by Mr. Ford, because, ladies and gentlemen, Mr. Ford was in the 14 15 midst of a psychotic event exacerbated by drinking. 16 You can tell that by the other telephone calls he made to the Russian Embassy, to the Israeli Embassy, to 17 This is a man who doesn't remember what he 18 did at all, because it was a psychotic breakdown. 19 that's what he was arrested for the next morning. 20 21 won't call it an arrest, because technically it's a 2.2 civil commitment. The police find somebody on the street who is 23 rambling incoherent, threatening to take his own life, 24 25 they take them in. He's got to be held at least 72

hours until a psychiatrist can make a determination that he's mentally stable enough to be released. 2 this is the next morning. This is a continuing event 3 if you believe the testimony of the agents, that he was 4 rambling, incoherent from the time that they met him 5 through the 17 calls that he made to the Secret Service 6 7 office right afterwards, through the next morning when he goes to the 7-Eleven and is picked up by the Reno 9 police and taken to the Veterans Hospital to be examined for his mental deficits. 10 What do they come up with? He's psychotic. 11 12 having an antisocial diagnosis of schizophrenia. 13 drinking. They find gallon bottles of vodka in the And Mr. Ford testified, amongst other things, 14 15 that that's when he drinks, at the end of the month 16 when he sees how much money he has left over. I asked every agent that testified, "Do you know 17 what Mr. Ford's mental state was at the time of this 18 alleged incident?" in other words, the calls. None of 19 them knew that. And they couldn't know it, because 20 21 they weren't there. But your job is to determine, 2.2 first of all, do you think he was operating from diminished capacity. Well, that's clear on the face of 23 the testimony. 24 25 The doctors at the Veterans Hospital said he was

1 having a psychotic episode. They put him on Risperdal. They kept him not 72 hours, but they kept him seven 2 3 days until they could bring him down to a point where he could be safely released. 4 The agents testified he wasn't making any sense at 5 all the day that it happened. The White House operator 6 7 testified that he was irrational. Now, we don't have the context of the rest of the conversation. 9 help you make the determination as to what his mental 10 state was at that time. Why? Because they didn't record it and they didn't write any of it down and they 11 12 didn't bring the Secret Service agent that heard it. 13 That's not Mr. Ford's job to disprove. innocent. He has the presumption of innocence. 14 15 the government's job to prove it beyond a reasonable And they certainly didn't do it with the 16 testimony from the stand. The testimony from the stand 17 is the exact opposite. This was a man in the middle of 18 19 a psychotic episode when these events took place. Now, Mr. Ford testified, because it's his right to 20 21 testify. The judge gave you an instruction on that. 2.2 And you're to give his testimony the same examination that you would any other witness. And what was the 23 difference between his testimony and the testimony of 24 25 the agents? Clearly, he's mental. You can hear that

2.2

2.3

from his testimony and from the events surrounding this event, this alleged crime. He is clearly mental.

He was having a psychotic episode according to the VA Hospital, according to the agent's investigation, and according to their observations of him at the time it took place. That's the testimony. That's what you have to decide when you decide the question was there diminished capacity.

And I would submit, ladies and gentlemen, if he doesn't even remember the conversation, it's difficult for the government to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that he intended that as a threat. They don't have to prove that it was a reckless statement that he made. They don't have to prove that a reasonable person would have thought that that was a threat.

What they have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that Mr. Ford made these calls and he made these statements, he at the time had the mental capacity to determine that it was a threat and it's what he wanted to do. That has clearly not been proved at all in this case, much less beyond a reasonable doubt. All the testimony indicates that he was not in control of his faculties at the time these alleged threats were made.

Now, there's a couple of instructions I wanted to talk about specifically. And we've talked about Count

Two, so I'm going to focus the rest of my argument on Count One.

2.

2.2

2.3

Now, you've seen the indictment. You've seen that the judge has given you the instruction that he has the presumption of innocence. He starts not guilty, and the government through their witnesses have to take him to the level of reasonable doubt. And proof beyond a reasonable doubt has to firmly convince you that every element of this offense has been proven. And the elements that they have to prove are that he knowingly came in and made this call believing it to be a threat against the President, knowing what he was doing, and made this threat willfully. That's the essence of the diminished capacity defense.

If he was unable to do that at the time the act took place, then it is impossible for the government to prove any of those elements beyond a reasonable doubt. So that's the crux of the issue. That's what you need to decide. Does the proof convince you that he had diminished capacity at that time?

The government hasn't produced any evidence whatsoever that his capacity was not diminished. In fact, their witnesses have all supported that proposition. And you can go back and review their testimony when you think about it.

2.2

And Mr. Ford doesn't make the rules. That's a rule as ancient as English common law, that to commit a crime you can't just do the act, you have to willfully and knowingly have the intent to do that. That's one of the protections in the criminal justice system for people like Mr. Ford who are not like us. They do have a different world view, and they don't know that they're out of step.

In fact, I asked Mr. Ford that. "Do you consider yourself to be impaired?" And he had to think about it, and finally he said, "Well, yes, I guess I would be." But I think you can tell from the tenor of his testimony, he believes the things he was telling you. And the agents reported it that way. The White House operator reported it that way.

Go back and try to think of one shred of evidence that came from that stand that said he does not have diminished capacity. I can't think of any, but, of course, your recollection of the evidence will be controlling. But think closely about the questions I asked the agents. Was he rambling? Was he incoherent? Was he spouting bible verses? Did you have some concern about his mental state? Both of them did. Even the White House operator who answered the phone who only listened to it and only had her notes, that's

1 the only thing she remembers about the conversation. She said he was irrational. 2 3 When you put that together with all the other testimony, I think it paints a pretty clear picture of 4 his mental state during that timeframe. He was not 5 able to form the requisite intent. He was drinking 6 7 heavily. He was psychotic. He was taking Trazodone. And Trazodone and alcohol simply do not mix. 8 schizophrenic personality disorder. He has 9 10 posttraumatic stress disorder. He believes a lot of things are going on that may or may not be true. 11 12 This is the evidence that the government has 13 presented and this is the evidence that they ask you to convict on. Read the judge's instructions. When you 14 15 retire, think about it individually, and then see if you can reach a conclusion that my client's diminished 16 capacity was able to keep him from the requisite intent 17 to commit this crime. 18 19 The government is going to have the ability to come back and close, because they have the burden of proof 20 21 beyond a reasonable doubt. And I can tell you what 2.2 they're going to tell you. They're going to say, "He did it. He made the phone call. Look at the phone. 23 There's five calls to Washington, D.C." 24

Of course, we only know about two, and we only know

25

1 30 seconds of the two calls that they allege were made. We don't know what the other calls were about. 2 3 don't know if they had any explanation of what his intent was. We don't know if it would have any bearing on this case whatsoever, because they seem to have just 5 disappeared. 6 7 But the question is for you to answer individually and then see if you can agree as a group. 8 9 judge will instruct you, if you have a honest 10 conviction that he was suffering from diminished capacity, that negates the other elements of the 11 offense, the willfulness, the knowing, the fact that it 12 13 was a real threat. Then it's your duty to act on that and it's your duty to return with a not quilty verdict. 14 15 And that's what we'll ask you to do. 16 Thank you very much. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Cameron. 17 Mr. Sullivan, you may conclude the arguments. 18 19 MR. SULLIVAN: When you get back in the jury room, as the judge has told you, he's going to give you a 20 package of instructions, the ones that he read to you, 21 2.2 the ones that we've been referring to. I'm not going to refer to the elements again. I already -- both of 23 us have already talked about the elements for both of 24 25 the crimes. But what I would ask you to do is look at

both of the crimes, and you will see that there is no requirement that the government have to prove the 2 motive of someone who commits a crime here. In this 3 case, neither of those two crimes have in those 4 elements the government has the duty to prove why 5 Mr. Ford did what he did. 6 7 And defense counsel has made a big deal out of the words that were said. "Well, we don't know who was 8 9 being threatened. We don't know this; we don't know 10 that. We don't know if 'bitch' means a guy or it means a woman." 11 I submit to you that's just nothing but a red 12 13 herring. These threats are pretty straightforward. The operator testified that she answered, "The White 14 15 House." You immediately hear a female voice. Whether 16 he -- how he intended to use that word "bitch," who cares. But the important thing is that he didn't say, 17 "I'm going to blow up Russia," or, "I'm going to do 18 this to so-and-so." He said, "I am going to kill you. 19 I am going to kill your children." Not somebody else's 20 21 children. Not Obama's children. "I am going to kill 2.2 you, bitch. I am going to kill your children by cutting off their heads." 23 24 I don't know how much more threatening you can get than that. 25

1 "I am going to burn -- I'm going to burn you alive. I'm going to bury you alive." 2 Well, we don't have to prove, once again, from the 3 elements that he intended to kill anybody with respect 4 to Count Two. The threat -- or the only requirement 5 that the government has is that he intended to make a 6 7 threat to injure the person. And that I submit is what he did. He used the word "you." And when he was talking about the President, "I'm going to kill that 9 10 president." Defense counsel has made a big issue too about the 11 jury instruction that the judge read to you about 12 13 diminished capacity. I'm not going to spend a whole lot of time on it. I would simply submit to you that 14 15 simply having some form of mental illness or problems 16 doesn't excuse you from violating the law. What this instruction says is that if you find that 17 he really did not know what he was doing, that he 18 19 didn't do it knowingly -- and let me just emphasize that instruction. It's very short. 20 21 "A threat is knowingly made if the defendant knew 2.2 and was aware of the threat he made." Keep in mind that two agents testified to you under 23 oath that he admitted, "Yeah, I made the call," and, 24 25 "Yeah, I made those threats. And you'd help me out too

1 if you were a good Catholic." "A threat is willfully made if the defendant makes 2 the threat voluntarily and intentionally." 3 Well, there's no testimony or evidence that 4 somebody put a gun to his head and made him do it. 5 I submit to you that diminished capacity, yes, could be 6 7 a defense in certain circumstances. I would submit to you that all of us at some point in time -- I mean, my 9 kids and my grandkids often accuse me of having a 10 senior moment. Sometimes my colleagues accuse me of having a senior moment where I just can't remember 11 stuff. 12 13 I'm not saying necessarily that that's a mental I'm just saying that we all interact with 14 15 people in our daily lives. Some of us do suffer from 16 certain forms of mental illness. We get obsessed with Maybe we like to gamble too much and it's too 17 much an addiction. Maybe we like to shop too much. 18 19 Does that mean that we don't know what's going on 20 around us? No. It means you have a problem, but you 21 still can perform daily tasks, you can still do things knowingly. 2.2 And here I submit to you that the defendant, even 23 though you find when you discuss this case back in the 24 25 jury room that, yeah, he's kind of strange, he's got

some ideas that we don't really understand, but did he know what he was doing? Did he do this intentionally?

I submit to you, yes, he did. The evidence says he did do it intentionally.

2.2

And then, finally, I think some of the other evidence -- you will recall that the judge told you that, you know, you have to consider all the evidence and decide what weight to give it. But consider this too. Where do you find the number for the White House and call directly to the White House? You have to be kind of smart.

I think in this day and age most of us would agree. I mean, I live by this cell phone. I think the judge asked you this morning, "Does anybody not have a cell phone here?" Nobody raised their hands. Everybody lives by cell phones and computers and iPads.

It takes some skill to get on line and figure out -- it's not that hard, but you still have to go in and Google or whatever search engine you're using to figure out, "Okay. How do I get ahold of the White House? I want to call up the White House."

And you punch it in and you come up with the right number. Think about that. The defendant had to do some research there, had to figure that out. That took some thought and some consideration. And he talks to

the operator and then calls back the same number. One last point that I just want to make and then 2 3 I'm going to sit down and you guys can decide this case. One of the instructions -- I'm not going to read 4 the whole thing, but one of the instructions that the 5 judge read to you was how to decide the facts in this 6 7 case. And you have to decide who to believe. You know, do you believe this witness or do you believe 9 this witness or do you believe everything this witness 10 says or part of what they said or none of what they said? 11 One of the things that is in the list there is 12 13 you're to consider, amongst many things, the witness's interest in the outcome of the case. I would just ask 14 15 you to think about that when you consider that the defendant denied and disputed that two sworn law 16 enforcement officers said, "He admitted these things 17 and challenged us to help him carry it out." 18 19 I mean, the fact that he challenged them to carry it out shows -- is more evidence that it was done 20 21 knowingly and willfully. Otherwise, when they showed 2.2 up at his door and said, "We're here to talk to you about some threats" --2.3 "What on earth are you talking about? I don't know 24 25 what you -- what? I didn't make no call; I didn't make

no threats."

2.2

Think about that. That shows that even though he may have been drinking, he may have some mental issues, he still knew what he was doing. And I once again ask you to find him guilty as charged on both counts, Count One and Two.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan.

That concludes the arguments. You will have a copy of the instructions in the jury room with you to consult. In addition, all of the evidence that's been received, and there are not very many exhibits, but those exhibits will be submitted to you in the jury room.

At this time -- in a moment I'll have the marshal come forward to take charge of the jury. Two of you are alternate jurors. You may recall at the outset of the trial I indicated two of you would be alternate jurors. Alternates are selected by virtue of the random draw that we had, the order in which you were called as a juror.

Alternate jurors are not permitted to go into the jury room and deliberate unless you replace a permanent member of the panel, for some reason that person cannot continue as a juror. Fortunately for all of you,

```
1
   perhaps unfortunately for the alternates, you're still
   part of our jury and we do not have a juror to replace.
2
3
        So I'm going to ask the two alternates to pick up
   anything that they have in the jury room and then come
4
   back here, if you have anything, or just remain here,
5
   and I'll give you further instruction that you must
6
7
   remain under the direction of the court and you may get
   called back in case a juror cannot continue.
                                                   It's rare
9
   that that would happen, but that will be the
    instruction of the court.
10
        And because of the draw, Juror No. -- in fact, to
11
    the left, Mr. Weis --
12
13
        Do you know pronounce it Weess or Wiess?
        ALTERNATE JUROR WEIS:
14
                              Weess.
        THE COURT: Mr. Weis and Mr. Diaz are our two
15
16
   alternates.
        So do either of you have anything in the jury room?
17
   All right. Why don't you remain here then, and then
18
19
   I'll have the marshal come forward and I'll give you
   further instruction.
20
21
        I'll have the marshal come forward to take charge
2.2
   of the jury.
        And I'll have you start your deliberations.
23
           I probably will contact you around 5:00 o'clock
24
25
   and see if you want to continue this evening or come
```

1 back tomorrow morning, and you will make that decision at that time. 2. (The oath was administered to the bailiff.) 3 THE BAILIFF: I do. 4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 5 And at that time I'll make the decision on whether 6 7 or not you should continue your deliberations this evening or come back tomorrow. It's always my advice 8 9 that you not continue deliberations into the evening hours, but I'll hear from you. 10 I know one of you has to let your employer know, I 11 think, by 6:00 o'clock this evening whether or not 12 you'll be available tomorrow or not. So I don't want 13 the fact that I'm going to have you come back in about 14 15 45 minutes and decide whether to go home or continue 16 your deliberations to have any bearing whatsoever on the length of your deliberations. 17 You should take whatever period of time is 18 19 necessary to decide this case. It's very important to the government, it's very important to the defense, and 20 21 it's very important to you. So you should take 2.2 whatever due deliberation is necessary in connection with this case, however long that takes, but at some 2.3 point later I need to call you back here and we'll find 24 25 out what we're going to do, whether to stay this

evening or come back tomorrow. And I'll probably do that around 5:00 o'clock or so unless you send me a note before and indicate what you want to do.

2.2

You may take your notes with you. You're allowed to look at your notes during the course of your deliberation. Again, I'm going to ask you to leave your cell phones outside the jury room with the marshal. And so please take your cell phones out and give them to the marshal. And they will be given to you then when you're ready to either go home this evening and come back tomorrow or if you reach a final verdict at some point today then you'll get them back after that.

Thank you very much for your attention in this case, and I will see you again. If you send a note out to me, you can simply send a note indicating that you have reached a decision, or you can send a note and say we wish to retire for the evening and come back tomorrow and continue our deliberations, or you could tell me that you're hopelessly deadlocked, or something else, but I will respond to whatever note you send to me. And if I don't receive anything from you, I will call you back around 5:00 o'clock and we'll discuss whether we stay this evening or come back tomorrow. Thank you.

1 We'll be in recess subject to the call of the jury. (Outside the presence of the jury.) 2 3 THE COURT: And I'll talk with both of you in just a moment. 4 All right. First off, I want to -- you may be 5 I want to thank both of you for serving on 6 7 this panel. It is possible that you'll still be called to serve as a juror and deliberate with the other 8 9 They would have to resume their deliberations 10 and have you be a part of it if a juror could not continue. So you'll be under the admonition of the 11 court not to discuss this case with anybody or to allow 12 13 anyone to approach you about the case. I do ask that you make certain that my courtroom 14 15 deputy has your telephone number where you can be 16 reached, because we may have to call you and bring you back here. That would apply to tomorrow too if the 17 jury continues to deliberate tomorrow. 18 19 As soon as we get a verdict from the jury or some resolution from the jury, we'll let you know 20 21 immediately, and you'll then be discharged from any 2.2 further obligation in connection with the case, and we'll tell you what happened. Because I will be 23 calling the jurors back around 5:00 o'clock, I would 24 25 ask you to stay here. And you can stay in the rooms

right outside the door here and what have you.

2.2

You can't talk about the case or let anyone talk about the case with you. But I would perhaps ask you to stay until 5:00 until I know whether the jury is coming back tomorrow or if they're going to continue tonight. And then I'll give you further instruction. But if they decide to come back tomorrow, then I'm going to release you to go home but subject to being called back tomorrow. And we have your telephone number to reach you.

If they decide they want to continue deliberating tonight, then I'll either discuss with you staying here or having you go ahead and go home tonight but subject to being called tonight in case I have to bring you back here.

So, again, it's important that you not discuss this case with anyone. So you'll be released at this point, not from the orders of the court, but to go ahead and go into the rooms. My clerk can show you where the rooms are out there where you can stay until 5:00 o'clock. And then I'll call the jurors back at 5:00 and we'll make a decision on what to do.

Thank you, counsel. I appreciate it. Stay in touch. I will reconvene at 5:00 o'clock if we don't get a note sooner. I'll let you know so we can come

```
1
   back earlier, and then we'll decide what to do at
    5:00 o'clock. Again, it will be my suggestion that
2
3
   they come back tomorrow, but if they say they want to
   stay this evening and they're all committed to doing
4
   that, in all probability I'll make that arrangement and
5
   have food brought in to them, but I'll make that
6
7
   decision at 5:00 o'clock. Thank you very much.
                                                      I'11
   see you back here in about 35 minutes.
8
9
                     (A recess was taken.)
10
        THE COURT:
                    If they come back tomorrow, counsel,
   would you willing to stipulate that I would have our
11
   courtroom deputies simply take roll and make sure
12
13
   they're here and they can proceed with deliberations
   without having to come into court?
14
15
        MR. SULLIVAN: Absolutely.
16
        MR. CAMERON:
                      That's fine with me, Judge.
        THE COURT: And then we'll let you know if we need
17
    to call you in.
18
                    Okay.
19
                (In the presence of the jury.)
        THE COURT: You may all be seated.
20
21
        All right.
                    The jurors are all present.
2.2
        I think you recall I indicated I would bring you in
   around 5:00 o'clock and see what your pleasure is,
23
   whether you wish to remain here this evening -- and, if
24
25
   so, we can make arrangements to have some food brought
```

```
1
         I think we order through Jimmy Johns or someplace.
    Is that where it is? I don't know.
2
3
        THE CLERK: Yes.
        THE COURT: -- or alternatively come back tomorrow
4
              I would have you come back either at 8:30 or
5
    9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning. My preference is for
6
7
   you to come back tomorrow, because I rarely like to
   have jurors here in the evening hours deliberating, but
   certainly I will accommodate you if that is your
9
10
   choice. So that's really what I need to find out from
   you, whether or not you wish to come back tomorrow
11
   morning or if you wish to stay tonight.
12
13
        Whatever you do, again, I urge you to make certain
   that you take all the time that's necessary to decide
14
15
   this case and to resolve the case, if you can. And so
16
    the fact that I'm bringing you back in now should have
   no bearing whatsoever on the speed in which you
17
   deliberate or attempt to make a decision.
18
19
       Do you all understand that? Because I'm willing to
20
   accommodate you in any way that will work for you.
21
   is it your choice to come back tomorrow then or to stay
2.2
   here and continue this evening or is that something you
   want to go back and talk some more about?
23
        JUROR RUDOLPH: We hadn't decided.
2.4
        THE COURT: You haven't decided?
25
```

```
1
        JUROR RUDOLPH: We hadn't gotten to that.
   just getting to it when he knocked.
2
3
        THE COURT: To talk about that. Okay.
        JUROR RUDOLPH:
                        There were some on yes, some on no.
4
   So we hadn't really --
5
        THE COURT: Well, unless you're -- I'll put it this
6
7
   way. Unless you're unanimous on staying tonight, I'll
   have you come back tomorrow morning. I'll just make
   the decision. So that's what we'll do.
                                             I don't want
9
10
   to force you to be unanimous about that decision, but I
   just think it's unfair to be taking a divided vote on
11
   whether you stay tonight or not, because it is a
12
13
   hardship on people that don't want to stay in the
   evening hours, because when you sign up for jury duty,
14
15
   normally you don't anticipate that you could be here
16
   for a long time in the evening hours. So that would be
   my practice. And I employ that in all the cases that I
17
   have.
18
19
        If all of you wish to stay this evening, then I'll
   have you stay this evening and we'll make arrangements.
20
21
   And then I would at some reasonable hour release you
2.2
   this evening anyway. I'm not a judge that keeps people
23
   here until 10:00 or 11:00 o'clock at night. But if you
   are unanimous in staying, we'll make those
24
25
   arrangements. If you're not, then I'll have you come
```

back tomorrow morning, and we can start either at 8:30 or 9:00 o'clock, whatever is convenient. Normally we 2 3 start our court -- except for today when we selected the jury, because of the procedures we had to go 4 through, I normally would start at 8:30 in the morning. 5 If that's too early for a number of you, then we can 6 7 start at 9:00 o'clock, but I'll make that decision in a few minutes. 9 So go ahead back to the jury room, and then you can 10 let me know in a moment. I'm not sure I have to call you back in again. If you decide that you can't all 11 agree that you're going to stay this evening, you can 12 13 just send a note saying we've decided to go home this evening. And then if that's the case, I would instruct 14 15 you all to be back here at 8:30 tomorrow morning. And 16 make certain that our courtroom deputy, Ms. Rich, has a telephone number to reach you. 17 If one of you does not come back tomorrow morning 18 19 at 8:30, then I might have to declare a mistrial. 20 you all have to be here tomorrow morning at the 21 appointed time so we can start with the deliberations 2.2 at that point. Until finally I discharge you, you're still under the control of the court and the admonition 23 that you're not to discuss this case with anyone. 24 That 25 includes your family members, anyone else. Just say

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

you're in court, you're on the jury, you've been instructed by the court that you cannot talk about this case. I know I'm a broken record, but you heard me say in all the years I've been on the bench I've never declared a mistrial because of juror misconduct. thing that is juror misconduct is to not follow my admonition and talk with somebody about this case or let somebody talk with you about it. That would be improper. And if I found out about it, then I would have to declare a mistrial. So that's a very important instruction from the court. So why don't you go back. And then if you want to send a note out, you can do that, and then I'll release you until tomorrow morning. If the note says you want to stay here this evening, then we'll make immediate arrangements to try to get some food, bring it in probably around 6:00 o'clock or 6:15 for you this evening, and you can continue your deliberations tonight. It's been a long day. You got here really early. And it's not often that we can put a trial on in a day. So there certainly is nothing wrong with coming back

tomorrow to continue your deliberations. And I don't

want to compel any of you to be here if you don't want

1 to be here this evening to deliberate. So why don't you go ahead and just either say you 2 3 want to come back in here again or just send a note out telling me what you want to do. 4 Thank you very much. 5 (A recess was taken.) 6 7 (Outside the presence of the jury.) THE COURT: Counsel, I got a note from one of the 8 9 jurors, and that may be one of the problems. She said 10 she doesn't want to be part of the jury. Okay. here's the note. You can take a look at it. 11 She is the one who had car problems, said that, you 12 13 know, her husband -- she had to leave at 3:00 o'clock in the afternoon. She's the one that came in late this 14 15 afternoon, and that's why we started late. And now she 16 says she doesn't want to be a part of the jury. I still have two alternates, as you know, here. 17 And I'm inclined to release her and have another 18 19 alternate in unless any of you have any other ideas about what you want to do. 20 21 MR. SULLIVAN: I'll agree to that, Your Honor. 2.2 THE COURT: She definitely has had problems about being in this trial from the initial stages. 23 Oh, you got another note? Let's take a look at the 24 25 other note. At least I'm getting a lot of notes.

```
1
       All right.
                    They've indicated they want to come
   back at 8:30 tomorrow morning. My concern is this,
2
3
   that she may not even come back tomorrow morning at
    8:00 o'clock or 8:30. And I do have two alternates
   there that are perfectly willing and prepared, I'm
5
    sure, to sit, and I don't want to lose them.
6
7
        So you tell me what you want to do on this. And I
   can bring her in here and canvass her, if you want me
8
9
   to do that, but I'll defer to your consideration in
10
    terms of what you wish to do at this point.
       MR. SULLIVAN: Well, based on what you've
11
   described, Your Honor, I don't want to antagonize the
12
13
   poor woman. I have no problem with substituting one of
   the alternates.
14
15
        THE COURT: Mr. Cameron.
16
       MR. CAMERON: Well, I think in the final analysis
    that may save us some time, Your Honor. I'm not
17
    inclined to have people on a jury that don't want to be
18
19
    there, because verdicts can come back for reasons other
    than the reasons they're chosen.
20
21
        THE COURT: So would you both stipulate that she
2.2
   could be excused --
       MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.
23
        THE COURT: -- without an additional canvass on why
24
   she doesn't want to be here?
25
```

```
I don't really care why she doesn't
 1
        MR. CAMERON:
    want to be here, so I would stipulate to that.
 2
 3
        MR. SULLIVAN: I'll stipulate to it.
        THE COURT: All right.
                                Let's bring her in.
 4
    going to excuse her first. Then I'll bring the other
 5
    jurors in. I'll need to replace her with -- our first
 6
 7
    alternate juror is Mr. Weis; is that correct?
    will advise Mr. Weis that he will be replacing her.
 9
    And her name is --
10
        THE CLERK: Villafana.
        THE COURT: -- Ms. Villafana. I'll bring her in.
11
        Could I have the note that she sent in here?
                                                       Thank
12
13
    you.
        If you'll have her take a seat here.
14
15
        Will you take a seat here, please.
16
        I got this rather short note from you saying you
    don't want to be a part of this trial. I know that you
17
    had difficulties on car arrangements and what have you
18
19
    and indicated that you had to leave at 3:00 o'clock
    this afternoon and then were able to make other
20
21
    arrangements, and then you were late coming this
2.2
    afternoon, so I got the impression you probably didn't
    want to be a part of the trial. Counsel has been
23
    willing to stipulate to release you as a juror. And so
24
25
    I'll go ahead and release you.
```

```
That's what you want; is that correct?
1
                          Yes, sir.
        JUROR VILLAFANA:
2
3
        THE COURT: I don't think I'm going to release you
   from our jury pool, though, because I don't know -- you
4
   know, maybe you're upset with the jury concept or you
5
   don't have time for it. And that's a shame, but that's
6
7
   a decision you have to make. There is an important
   constitutional provision for jury trials. And it's a
8
   shame you've made that decision, but we're going to go
9
10
   ahead and release you and have an alternate replace
11
   you.
        So I'm going to direct the jury commissioner not to
12
13
   take your name off our roll for the two-year period
   that I'm going to take off for other jurors, but you're
14
15
   released from this case. You're free to go. You can't
    talk with any of the other jurors.
16
17
        So do you have anything in the jury room?
        JUROR VILLAFANA: Just my phone.
18
        THE COURT: Pardon me?
19
20
        JUROR VILLAFANA: Just my phone.
21
        THE COURT: Okay. Let's go get her phone, and then
2.2
    I want her to go out this way.
        You might have to go identify what your phone is
23
   unless they know.
24
25
        Did you find the phone?
```

```
1
        Oh, she can get her phone from there.
       All right. You're released -- thank you -- from
2
3
   this trial. I'm sorry you for whatever reason don't
   care for jury trials, but you're free to go.
4
        JUROR VILLAFANA: May I speak?
5
        THE COURT: Pardon me?
6
7
        JUROR VILLAFANA: May I say something?
        THE COURT: You may if you want to.
8
9
        JUROR VILLAFANA: It's not that I don't care for
10
   jury trials, but in speaking to the other jurors, it
    just became difficult for me like --
11
        THE COURT: You mean the language is difficult?
12
13
        JUROR VILLAFANA:
                          No. Just everyone's opinions.
        THE COURT: Okay. Well, and some people tell me at
14
15
   the outset of a trial that they, you know, have
16
   difficulty talking with other people and trying to
   reach agreement on things. So if that's a problem you
17
   have, you know, I'm sorry that that's a difficulty that
18
19
   you have, but I respect that, so you're released.
   Thank you.
20
21
       JUROR VILLAFANA:
                          Thank you.
2.2
        THE COURT: Now, if you'll go ahead and call in
23
   Mr. Weis. And just have him take a seat in the jury
   box where Ms. Villafana was.
24
25
       And you're free to go out this way. Thank you very
```

1 much. All right. If you'll take a seat, the third seat 2 3 in from the front here, Mr. Weis. And you'll be replacing what we have designated here as Juror No. 12. 4 She has been released. You're under the same 5 admonition that I've given the other jurors. And I'll 6 7 ask the jurors then to start their deliberations anew with you as part of the jury, but we're going to 9 adjourn tonight and it will all start anew tomorrow 10 morning. All right. Thank you. Bring all the other jurors back, please. 11 And you can be here tomorrow morning; is that 12 13 correct? JUROR WEIS: Yes. 14 15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 16 I think because of these developments I'm going to ask you to be here at 8:30 tomorrow morning, because, 17 again, I'm going to tell the jurors to start their 18 deliberations anew at 8:30. And I'll tell them that 19 again tonight, but I think as a matter of precaution we 20 21 should do that unless you're willing to stipulate that 2.2 I give them that instruction now. Then they can start their deliberations first thing tomorrow morning anew 23 with the new juror. 24 MR. SULLIVAN: I think the last part you just said, 25

```
1
   give it now.
        THE COURT: Would you be willing to accept that?
2
3
        MR. SULLIVAN:
                       Yes.
        THE COURT: On behalf of the defense?
4
        MR. CAMERON: Yes, Your Honor.
5
        THE COURT: Okay. Fine. We'll handle that right
6
7
   now then.
                (In the presence of the jury.)
8
9
        THE COURT: All right. You may all be seated.
10
        Well, I received two notes, one indicating that you
   wish to come back tomorrow morning and start your
11
   deliberations at 8:30 tomorrow morning. I'm certainly
12
13
   going to accommodate you on that.
        When you come back tomorrow morning, you'll simply
14
15
   come back through security and then up here to the jury
16
   room.
           You're not to discuss this case among yourselves
   before everybody is here and Ms. Rich has come in and
17
   taken the roll to make sure all of you are here.
18
19
    then the doors close and then you can start your
   deliberations.
20
21
       Now, one other thing happened. One of your jurors
2.2
   ask to be excused as a juror, and I granted the request
   to be excused. And that's the reason we have alternate
2.3
             I don't have to use our alternates very often,
24
   jurors.
25
   but Mr. Weis is alternate No. 1, and so he now is
```

```
1
   replacing Juror No. 12, Ms. Villafana, and he will be
   one of your jurors.
2
3
       And I'm going to direct you at 8:30 tomorrow -- I'm
   going to direct you now that at 8:30 tomorrow morning
4
   you start your deliberations anew. I know you were
5
   only in there for about 20 minutes, but with a new
6
7
   juror you're directed by the court to start your
   deliberations anew.
9
        If you discussed anything up to that point, discuss
10
    it with Mr. Weis. And then you will continue your
   deliberations as if you were starting anew with the 12
11
   of you that are now seated.
12
13
       Do you all understand that and are you all in
   agreement to do that?
14
15
       All right. Thank you very much. It's been a long
   day. I appreciate your patience. You've been very
16
   attentive. Have a nice evening. Be careful tonight
17
   when you drive home.
18
19
        If for some reason somebody has a problem being
   here at 8:30 tomorrow morning, please get on the
20
21
   telephone and call the number you have, because that
2.2
   will create some additional problems. I have another
   alternate, but I don't want to have to use alternates
23
   if we don't have to. So if there's any reason --
24
25
       Now, I understand there's a storm coming in
```

1 tonight, you know, and we're supposed to get some snow. Who knows how much. We never know what the 2 3 forecasters -- how accurate they can be. So if you are going to be late, let us know. 4 can still, you know, start the deliberations around 5 9:00 o'clock. Maybe I ought to just say 9:00 o'clock 6 7 and give you a little more time in the morning. Is that all right with all of you if we start at 8 9 9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning? That way if there is 10 snow on the ground, it gives you a little extra time to get here. And I don't want to force you to be up at 11 5:00 o'clock in the morning so you can get here. 12 13 let's say we'll meet here at 9:00 o'clock. You'll start your deliberations anew as soon as 14 15 you're all here and roll is taken. And then send a 16 note out to me any time during the course of the day tomorrow that you either need further instruction, 17 which I'll try to accommodate you on, or that you've 18 19 reached verdicts or that you can't agree and you're deadlocked. So I'll wait and respond on that. But, 20 21 again, thank you very much for your patience, and you 2.2 can start your deliberations anew at 9:00 o'clock 23 tomorrow morning. So we'll see you sometime tomorrow. Thank you very 24 25 much. Have a nice evening.

```
1
        And I would like to have the jurors escorted down,
   if we could.
2
3
        THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir.
        THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
4
              (Outside the presence of the jury.)
5
        THE COURT: All right. We'll just be in touch
6
7
   tomorrow morning so that I can get in touch with you
   and have you come in within, say, a 15-minute
8
   notification, if that's all right. Okay. I'll see you
9
10
   tomorrow morning sometime or sometime tomorrow.
        THE CLERK: Judge, do you want me to let the
11
   alternate juror know he can --
12
13
        THE COURT: Yes. Let's have the other alternate --
   you can advise the other alternate juror that he's to
14
15
   stay in touch by making certain that we have his
16
    telephone number and he can be reached and we'll let
   him know what happens.
17
              Thank you.
18
        Okay.
19
        Thank you very much. We'll see you tomorrow
   sometime.
20
21
         (The proceedings were adjourned at 5:20 p.m.)
2.2
                             --000--
23
24
25
```

```
1
    STATE OF NEVADA
                        SS.
    COUNTY OF WASHOE
2
         I, LORI URMSTON, Certified Court Reporter, in and
3
    for the State of Nevada, do hereby certify:
4
         That the foregoing proceedings were taken by me
5
    at the time and place therein set forth; that the
6
7
    proceedings were recorded stenographically by me and
    thereafter transcribed via computer under my
8
    supervision; that the foregoing is a full, true and
10
    correct transcription of the proceedings to the best
    of my knowledge, skill and ability.
11
         I further certify that I am not a relative nor an
12
    employee of any attorney or any of the parties, nor am
13
    I financially or otherwise interested in this action.
14
         I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws
15
    of the State of Nevada that the foregoing statements
16
    are true and correct.
17
         DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 6th day of
18
19
    April, 2017.
20
21
                             LORI URMSTON, CCR #51
22
2.3
                             LORI URMSTON, CCR #51
24
25
```